

Cybersecurity Rubric 2.0

The Cybersecurity Rubric (CR 2.0) is a tool for assessing a school's cyber-readiness, aligned with NIST Cybersecurity Framework (NIST CSF 2.0). It includes 6 Functions and 22 Categories, each rated from Initial (Level 1) to Optimized (Level 5). The overall maturity level is based on Function maturity levels, detailed in the Results tab.

Before starting the rubric, you are encouraged to take the FREE course, "Learn How to Use the Cybersecurity Rubric." This course provides a solid grasp of the NIST evaluation criteria, insights, and tools that will guide you in accurately gauging your school's cybersecurity posture across the NIST categories.

Instructions:

Evaluate the school system's cybersecurity Maturity Level for every category in the function tabs.

Results

The Results tab is next, but data is not entered here. This tab auto-populates ratings, including the overall maturity level. The data comes from the ratings in each of the function tabs after all categories have been assigned a level.

Function Tabs (Govern, Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, & Recover)

The six (6) tabs at the bottom are National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) functions. Each tab has a set of categories that are to be evaluated and assigned a maturity level. Ensure ALL categories are rated to get an accurate maturity level for the school system. Review "NIST Function and Category Descriptions" for an overview of each function and its supporting categories.

This Cybersecurity Rubric is regularly reviewed and updated to reflect current trends. For easy access to the latest version, download the CR from https://www.cybersecurityrubric.org/. Be sure to download a new file copy of the Cybersecurity Rubric each time you conduct a system-wide cybersecurity evaluation.



April 2024

Cybersecurity Rubric for Education

MATURITY LEVEL

		LEVEL 1: INITIAL	LEVEL 2: REPEATABLE	LEVEL 3: DEFINED	LEVEL 4: MANAGED	LEVEL 5: OPTIMIZED
	GOVERN	School executive leadership involvement is minimal, with ad hoc management oversight and undefined roles resulting in sporadic and undocumented cybersecurity practices.	Leadership and management oversight begin to take shape with some governance structures and risk management strategies emerging, though not systematically applied.	Governance and strategic plans are formalized, with clear cybersecurity risk mitigation expectations, contracts, policies, roles, and responsibilities established and communicated.	Leadership is proactive, with governance frameworks and oversight guiding well-defined and managed cybersecurity policies and practices across the school system.	Governance and oversight are deeply embedded and continuously improved, demonstrating high levels of efficiency, effectiveness, and adaptability in the school system's cybersecurity strategies, operations, and processes.
	IDENTIFY	Processes to identify cybersecurity risks are lacking or nonexistent.	Processes for cybersecurity risk identification exist but are in the beginning stages.	Risks to Information Technology (IT) assets are identified and managed in a standard, well-defined process.	Risks to the school system environment are identified and proactively monitored on a regular basis.	Cybersecurity risks are continuously monitored and used to make system-wide decisions.
NIST FUNCTIONS	PROTECT	Asset protection is reactive and ad hoc.	Data protection techniques are implemented across the school system.	Data is formally defined and protected per its risk classification.	The school system environment is proactively monitored using protective technologies.	Protection standards are operationalized through automation and advanced technologies.
	DETECT	Anomalies and events are not detected or not detected in a timely manner.	Anomaly detection is established through detection tools and monitoring processes.	A baseline of normal activity is established and applied against tools and processes to better identify malicious activity.	Continuous monitoring of the cybersecurity program is established to detect threats in real time.	Detection and monitoring solutions continuously learn behaviors and adjust to detection capabilities.
	RESPOND	Processes for responding to cybersecurity incidents are reactive or non-existent.	Analysis capabilities are applied consistently to cybersecurity incidents by Incident Response (IR) roles.	An Incident Response (IR) plan defines steps for pre-, during, and post-incident preparation, analysis, containment, and eradication.	Response times and impacts of cybersecurity incidents are monitored and minimized.	Detection and monitoring solutions continuously learn behaviors and adjust to detection capabilities.
	RECOVER	Processes for recovering from cybersecurity incidents are reactive or non-existent.	Resiliency and recovery capabilities are applied consistently to cybersecurity incidents impacting school system operations.	Continuity and disaster recovery plans define steps to continue critical functions, recover, and resume normal operations.	Recovery times and impacts of incidents are monitored and minimized.	The capabilities of all Information Technology (IT) personnel, processes, and technologies are regularly tested and updated.

GOVERN	LEVEL 1: INITIAL	LEVEL 2: REPEATABLE	LEVEL 3: DEFINED	LEVEL 4: MANAGED	LEVEL 5: OPTIMIZED
	School executive leadership involvement is minimal, with ad hoc management oversight and undefined roles resulting in sporadic and undocumented cybersecurity practices.	Leadership and management oversight begin to take shape with some governance structures and risk management strategies emerging, though not systematically applied.	Governance and strategic plans are formalized, with clear cybersecurity risk mitigation expectations, contracts, policies, roles, and responsibilities established and communicated.	Leadership is proactive, with governance frameworks and oversight guiding well-defined and managed cybersecurity policies and practices across the school system.	Governance and oversight are deeply embedded and continuously improved, demonstrating high levels of efficiency, effectiveness, and adaptability in the school system's cybersecurity strategies, operations, and processes.
ORGANIZATIONAL	CONTEXT				
	Risk mitigation defenses are not clearly defined. Third-party datasharing agreements are not formal. Sensitive data processes need improvement. Risk management decisions are not included in cybersecurity initiative planning.	Operational processes and responsibilities are consistent but mostly ad hoc or reactive. Some contractual data-sharing agreements with third parties are formalized. Sensitive data processes are not routinely measured or enforced.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND risk mitigation operations are documented. Third-party data-sharing agreements are formalized and meet legal, contractual, and regulatory requirements. Sensitive data processes are measured and enforced and include privacy and security requirements. Controls are in place to prevent loss, damage, or theft. Strategic plans address cybersecurity roles and responsibilities. Risk management decisions are understood through the mission, expectations, and contracts.	AND performance controls are in place at department levels to measure and evaluate systemwide risk. Resiliency measures to anticipate, prepare for, and recover from cyber events are in place and are a priority. Regular cybersecurity assessments are conducted to verify optimal performance levels. Strategic planning and budget allocations are fueled by needs assessment data.	AND strategic plans inform cybersecurity roles, responsibilities, and risk management decisions. Controls in place are effective, systematic, and responsive. Fact-based, cybersecurity assessments are optimized based on an organization-wide analysis.

RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

The organization's risk **priorities**, **constraints**, risk **tolerances**, and **assumptions** are not clearly defined. A comprehensive **risk management strategy** is lacking or nonexistent. Processes are not documented or aligned to support the **architecture** and strategic **roadmap**.

The organization's risk priorities, constraints, risk tolerances, and assumptions are being developed. Processes to develop a comprehensive risk management strategy are being established and used to support operational risk decisions. Risk management is transitioning from reactive to proactive.

Meets **REPEATABLE** Maturity Level

AND risk management processes are documented and agreed to by organizational stakeholders. Risk management processes include cybersecurity risk objectives, activities, and outcomes. Supply chain risk management processes are defined. Tracked action plans include disseminating cybersecurity risks to stakeholders. The risk management strategy includes a documented communication plan.

Meets **DEFINED** Maturity Level

AND the shared risk management strategy and desired response patterns are culturally embedded and well understood. All stakeholders are committed to detecting and responding to changing tactics and techniques of cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities.

Meets **MANAGED** Maturity Level

AND the risk management strategy is fully deployed without significant weaknesses or gaps across the school system.

Processes and lessons learned are regularly shared with the education market sector community.

ROLES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND AUTHORITIES

Roles and Responsibilities for the development and implementation of data privacy and cybersecurity policies and practices need to be defined. Resources and budgets need to be allocated to meet cybersecurity and data privacy needs of the school system.

Cybersecurity processes are developing with roles and responsibilities for internal and external stakeholders being defined. A school system executive leader is identified as the person responsible for the development and implementation of cybersecurity policies and practices. Resources and budgets are being allocated, with basic needs identified to meet the cybersecurity and data privacy needs of the school system.

Meets **REPEATABLE** Maturity Level

AND processes that demonstrate cybersecurity roles and responsibilities for the internal and external stakeholders are defined, understood and documented. Designated staff maintain data privacy and cybersecurity policies. Resources are aligned and allocated systemwide to meet cybersecurity current and future needs. Human resource processes include onboard cyber training and regular training.

Meets **DEFINED** Maturity Level

AND system-wide cybersecurity governance includes cybersecurity awareness programs to influence behavior among the workforce to be security conscious and properly skilled to reduce risks to the school system. The TLE practice areas are reviewed annually and improvement initiatives are based on evaluation results.

Meets MANAGED Maturity Level

AND roles and responsibilities for detection are well-defined to ensure accountability.
Governance processes are audited annually to assess federal, state, and local regulatory compliance. The school system is working toward or has obtained the TLE seal mark of distinction.

DOLLOV.					
POLICY	The school's policies for managing cybersecurity risks are lacking. Policies are not documented, communicated, or enforced.	The school's policies for managing cybersecurity risks are being developed. Governance practice includes the approval of strategic direction and policy creation to support operational risk decisions. Risk management is transitioning from reactive to proactive.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND cybersecurity policies are documented and agreed to by organizational stakeholders. Risk assessment policies include identifying new threats, vulnerabilities, and conditions that may impact the system's security.	Meets DEFINED Maturity Level AND policies for managing cyber risks are reviewed, updated, communicated, and enforced to reflect changes in requirements, threats, technology, and the school's mission. All stakeholders are committed to detecting and responding to changing tactics and techniques of cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities.	Meets MANAGED Maturity Level AND policies for risk management strategy are fully deployed without significant weaknesses or gaps across the school. Policy updates and lessons learned are regularly shared with the education market sector community.
OVERSIGHT					
	Lack of oversight in the review of cybersecurity guidelines, processes, or policy by executive leadership is evident. Cybersecurity risk management outcomes are not reviewed or adjusted to ensure coverage of school system requirements and risks.	Cybersecurity guidelines, processes, and policies are approved by executive leadership. Cybersecurity documentation undergoes review, evaluation, and improvement at a minimum annually. Cybersecurity risk management outcomes are reviewed and adjusted to ensure coverage of school system requirements and risks.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND organizational cybersecurity risk management performance is measured and reviewed to adjust strategic direction. Cybersecurity documentation undergoes review, evaluation, and improvement quarterly.	Meets DEFINED Maturity Level AND governance practices adhere to all federal, state, and local regulations.	AND governance includes cybersecurity reviews conducted by an outside independent party on an annual basis.
CYBERSECURITY SU	PPLY CHAIN RISK MANA	GEMENT			
	Processes to manage supplier risks are not documented and are ad hoc, inconsistent, or reactive. A comprehensive inventory of suppliers and third-party partners and the identification of the information systems, components, and services provided is lacking or nonexistent.	An inventory of suppliers and third-party partners with processes to identify, assess, and manage supply chain risks is being developed. A systematic approach to evaluating third-party key processes is evident. Improvement initiatives with suppliers to ensure compliance are being developed.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND risk management processes include suppliers and third-party partners of information systems, components, and services. All processes are identified, documented, prioritized, and routinely assessed using audits, test results, or other forms of evaluations to confirm suppliers and partners are meeting their contractual obligations.	AND suppliers and third-party partners conduct routine tests to evaluate compliance and the adequacy and effectiveness of all implementations. Results from tests ensure all policies, processes, and controls are managed as expected by ensuring the appropriate cybersecurity levels of control. Effective corrective actions are taken to address any weaknesses. Independent audits and valid certifications of proven performance are required.	AND supply chain risk processes are advancing, optimizing, and progressive. Cyber defenses are maximized as evidenced by a comprehensive supply chain security program. Suppliers and partners are chosen based on risk and mission impact. Response and recovery planning and testing are conducted with suppliers and third-party providers at least annually. Results are evaluated and used for continuous improvement.

IDENTIFY	LEVEL 1: INITIAL	LEVEL 2: REPEATABLE	LEVEL 3: DEFINED	LEVEL 4: MANAGED	LEVEL 5: OPTIMIZED
	Processes to identify cybersecurity risks are lacking or nonexistent.	Processes for cybersecurity risk identification exist but are in the beginning stages.	Risks to Information Technology (IT) assets are identified and managed in a standard, well- defined process.	Risks to the school system environment are identified and proactively monitored on a regular basis.	Cybersecurity risks are continuously monitored and used to make system-wide decisions.
ASSET MANAGEME	NT				
	Asset inventory processes are ad hoc, inconsistent, and/or reactive and may be out of date. Controls for asset protection are lacking or need improvement.	Asset inventories are current and processes are consistent. Protection controls for asset protection are transitioning from being reactionary. Improvement initiatives are underway.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND asset inventories are current and document an asset's full life cycle. Data classifications are defined per state standards, regulations, and legal requirements. Protection controls are maintained and offsite asset security measures are in place, including software installs and asset transfers.	AND asset inventory processes are highly managed with defined metrics. Effectiveness and accuracy are statistically analyzed. Asset compliance is evaluated regularly, and noncompliance is identified through root-cause analysis. Protection controls are tested, and results influence improvements.	AND efficient, effective, and innovative asset inventories are in place system-wide with best practice process improvements. Inventory management is well integrated with the current and future needs aligned with system-wide objectives and risk strategy.
RISK ASSESSMENT					
	Risk management capacity is lacking. Processes are not documented and are ad hoc, inconsistent, or reactive. Vulnerabilities are exposed and impacts are unknown. Collaborative approaches to risk identification and management are lacking or not evident.	Leadership demonstrates awareness of the importance of cybersecurity risk to organizational operations, assets, and individuals. The transition from a reactive to a proactive approach to risk management is evident. Processes to identify vulnerabilities and mitigate risks are being developed. Collaborative problem-solving and information gathering from information-sharing forums are being prioritized.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND processes to identify vulnerabilities, mitigate and minimize threats, and determine potential instructional and business operations impacts are documented and built into daily operations. Threat intelligence from information-sharing forums and sources is collected. Information processing facilities are secure. Processes for backup and recovery systems are documented, tested, and evaluated at least annually.	AND established risk management strategy is in place with integrated improvements beyond compliance regulation requirements Priority is given to building knowledge about the risk management process and raising awareness about the needed capabilities to effectively conduct risk assessments and manage risk.	AND risk assessment includes a focus on disciplined optimization and continual process improvement. Qualified cybersecurity practitioners are employed to measure and assess every aspect of the school system for possible cybersecurity issues and improvement opportunities. A fact-based evaluation cycle of improvement is optimized.

IMPROVEMENT				
An improvement posture is not evident or is lacking. Improvement is primarily reactive. The overall capacity for detection, response, and recovery is lacking or limited. Recovery planning alignment and coordination need improvement.	Improvement is beginning to transition from reactive to proactive. The overall capacity for recovery is limited but growing. Leaders demonstrate commitment to improvement. Budgets are being allocated to align the school system's enterprise architecture and strategic roadmap to improve a comprehensive cyber recovery plan.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND actions taken to restore business continuity and protect assets after an incident are routinely analyzed. Improvement actions are identified as lessons learned for continuous improvement. Recovery plans incorporate retrospective (lessons learned) sessions and initiatives. Response and recovery strategies are updated.	AND all stakeholders acknowledge the value of managing a pristine response and recovery plan. The school system evaluates incident response performance, identifies challenges, and improves incident response capabilities in strategic plans. Lessons learned and continuous improvement efforts result in an improved cybersecurity posture and readiness to face future security incidents.	AND the school system continuously evaluates and improves response and recovery processes. Metrics are captured and used to evaluate processes and drive improvement. Advanced technology solutions are used to assist in all phases of incident recovery. Overall cybersecurity maturity and school system resilience improve through optimized incident response, business continuity, and disaster recovery planning initiatives.

PROTECT	LEVEL 1: INITIAL	LEVEL 2: REPEATABLE	LEVEL 3: DEFINED	LEVEL 4: MANAGED	LEVEL 5: OPTIMIZED
	Asset protection is reactive and ad hoc.	Data protection techniques are implemented across the school system.	Data is formally defined and protected per its risk classification.	The school system environment is proactively monitored using protective technologies.	Protection standards are operationalized through automation and advanced technologies.
IDENTITY MANAGE	MENT, AUTHENTICATIO	N, AND ACCESS CONTRO	L		
	Access control processes and protocols for physical and remote access are not established and documented. Multi-factor authentication has not been implemented. Data protection measures are inconsistent. Network integrity processes are not defined. Account review processes for user, supplier, and system accounts are not defined. Conditions for group and role membership are not defined.	Access control processes and protocols for physical and remote access are documented but not routinely managed. At least one multi-factor authentication method is in place. Data protection measures are documented. Network integrity processes are defined. Account review processes for user, supplier, and system accounts are defined but not routinely enforced. Conditions for group and role membership are defined.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND compliant data protection measures are maintained. Network integrity processes, protections, and controls are routinely enforced. Account review processes are routinely conducted. Account managers are assigned. Conditions for group and role membership are routinely met.	AND access control processes, protocols, and protection measures are systematic and well deployed. Multi-factor authentication methods are routinely evaluated for efficacy. Network integrity and account review processes are routinely evaluated for state and federal compliance. Evaluation processes include access control risk mitigation.	AND data-driven decisions to mitigate and avert risk in alignment with best practices are routinely made. The overall approach to cybersecurity awareness, training, and implementation is fully deployed without significant weaknesses or gaps.
AWARENESS & TRA	AINING				
	Processes to ensure all staff and students with user ID accounts are undocumented and are ad hoc, inconsistent, or reactive. Cybersecurity awareness training is not mandatory or scheduled for new or existing users. Specific role-based security training to designated personnel is inconsistent or nonexistent. Personnel to document and monitor information system security training activities are not defined or designated. A records retention policy is not defined.	Processes are being developed to ensure all staff and students with user ID accounts are adequately trained. Cybersecurity awareness training improvement initiatives are being developed. Specific role-based security training for personnel with assigned security roles and responsibilities is defined. Personnel to document and monitor information system security training activities are identified. A records retention policy is defined.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND role-based security training is provided before authorizing access to the information system or performing assigned duties. Personnel to document and monitor information system security training activities are active in their designated roles. Training records are retained based on the records retention policy.	AND regular cybersecurity alerts, training campaigns, and simulated attacks are issued. Staff and student training progress is routinely tracked and updated as needed based on available data. Leadership is involved in continuous process and training monitoring. Designated staff continually monitors, measures, and enforces training adherence.	AND cybersecurity awareness and training methods are focused on continually improving performance through incremental and innovative optimization. Metrics to measure training performance objectives are established. A data-based evaluation cycle of improvement is optimized. Leadership is instrumental in designing, implementing, revising, and monitoring training initiatives and progress.

DATA SECURITY

Processes to manage and protect stored and transmitted data are not documented or are ad hoc, inconsistent, or reactive.

Processes and policies to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information and records are nonexistent or lacking. Leadership demonstrates an incomplete understanding of data protection and risk management.

Stored and transmitted data is managed and monitored consistently. Processes are defined, repeatable, and scalable. Leadership demonstrates sufficient understanding of data protection and risk management. Formal process definitions and protocol for managing and monitoring data and information are being developed and documented.

Meets **REPEATABLE** Maturity Level

AND data includes mitigating controls, protections, and innovative technologies. Data management and protection processes are routinely enforced. Data records are formally managed and are consistent with implemented risk management strategies. Exceptions for non-encryption are routinely evaluated. Dedicated technology staff monitors and investigates alerts.

Meets **DEFINED** Maturity Level

AND risk evaluation processes and measures extend beyond compliance requirements and regulations. Risks are routinely identified, analyzed, monitored, and controlled. Results from evaluation and monitoring processes and activities are used to drive improvements.

Technology staff demonstrates a high degree of skill and knowledge in managing and monitoring

Meets MANAGED Maturity Level

AND state-of-the-art data security solutions are used to provide enhanced visibility into system vulnerabilities. Innovative integrity-checking mechanisms are used to secure all information systems. Cybersecurity controls are adapted to meet the challenges of a dynamic information technology (IT) security environment. Technology staff demonstrates consistent ownership of proactive risk assessment and mitigation measures.

PLATFORM SECURITY

Processes to maintain and repair information systems and applications in accordance with vendor specifications and requirements are lacking. Maintenance systems and tools are not controlled. Maintenance activities are primarily reactive and are sporadic and/or inconsistent. Security controls to verify functionality after maintenance lack definition. **Records** of maintenance activities are ad hoc. inconsistent, or incomplete. Processes to prevent unauthorized access need definition and/or improvement.

Systems and tools used to maintain and repair information systems and applications are routinely and consistently implemented per vendor specifications and requirements. Security controls are identified. documented, and implemented. Maintenance activity **records** are consistently kept. Processes are repeatable as the school system employs qualified "go-to" staff members for organizational knowledge. Formal definition and documentation of **proactive** and **preventative** maintenance activities are underway.

Meets **REPEATABLE** Maturity Level

AND documented processes to maintain and repair information systems and applications are implemented in accordance with vendor specifications and requirements. Maintenance systems, tools, and activities are approved, controlled, tested, and monitored. Post-maintenance security controls are routinely tested. Detailed records of maintenance activities are logged and retained. Remote maintenance of system assets is routinely approved, logged, and performed.

Meets **DEFINED** Maturity Level

stored and transmitted data.

AND maintenance of organizational information systems and applications is managed with acute care by designated, highly qualified staff. Regular maintenance is performed and verified to ensure all **systems** and **components** are effectively protected. Designated staff routinely monitors. measures, and enforces **adherence** to maintenance requirements and refresh cycles. The leadership chain of command is flexible to ensure **resources** are made available if there is a risk of noncompliance or poor performance.

Meets **MANAGED** Maturity Level

AND information systems and applications are implemented in a proactive life cycle of maintenance and repair. Continuous improvement focused on efficiency and effectiveness is optimized and flexible. Change management is strategically planned and consistently prioritized. Designated technology staff is thoroughly trained in cybersecurity and prioritizes routine, proactive system maintenance to meet and exceed security objectives.

TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE

Processes to protect student and staff devices are lacking, or are ad hoc, inconsistent, or reactive. Advanced protective technologies to shield devices from identified and potential threats are lacking or nonexistent. **Security updates** are not conducted in a timely, scheduled manner. **Time** for information technology (IT) staff to capture and review audit logs needs is sparsely allocated or nonexistent. Additional protection measures for removable media and network infrastructure components are needed.

Processes to protect student and staff devices are being defined. Advanced **protective** technologies to shield devices from identified and potential threats are being researched and identified. Resources and budgets are being allocated. Qualified information technology (IT) staff are designated to maintain protection measures and security **updates** focused on ensuring the security and resilience of systems and assets. Protection measures for removable media and network infrastructure components are being determined.

Meets **REPEATABLE** Maturity Level

AND processes to protect student and staff devices are documented and implemented. Advanced protective technologies to protect devices are in place. Timely **installation** of security updates is applied. Audit log records are determined, documented, implemented, and reviewed in accordance with defined practices and policies. Removable media is protected. and use is restricted according to documented and implemented practices and policies. Communications and control

networks are protected.

Meets **DEFINED** Maturity Level

AND the approach for implementing protective technologies is well deployed, with no significant gaps. Best practices drive performance levels, resulting in successful cybersecurity **sustainability**. Enhanced practices to protect changing tactics, techniques, and vulnerabilities of advanced persistent threats are reviewed and evaluated. Corrective actions are routinely taken to address identified weaknesses. Communications and control networks are routinely monitored. **Automated checks** are in place to avert cyber-attacks.

Meets MANAGED Maturity Level

AND the effects of deployed protective technologies are measured using key indicators. Evaluation results are used to guide improvement activities. Protective technologies are standardized across the organization. Processes are enhanced by using sophisticated protective technologies to detect and respond to advanced threats and vulnerabilities. Protective technologies aided by automated checks are resilient with an end goal in mind to ensure system availability and cyber protection is sustained at 100%.

DETECT	LEVEL 1: INITIAL	LEVEL 2: REPEATABLE	LEVEL 3: DEFINED	LEVEL 4: MANAGED	LEVEL 5: OPTIMIZED
	Anomalies and events are not detected or not detected in a timely manner.	Anomaly detection is established through detection tools and monitoring processes.	A baseline of normal activity is established and applied against tools and processes to better identify malicious activity.	Continuous monitoring of the cybersecurity program is established to detect threats in real time.	Detection and monitoring solutions continuously learn behaviors and adjust to detection capabilities.
CONTINUOUS MOI	NITORING				
	Processes to actively manage all assets are nonexistent or incomplete. Processes to detect, remove, and/or remediate unauthorized and unmanaged assets are ad hoc, incomplete, or reactive. Reviews of accounts, firewall rules, and penetration tests of external-facing systems are not consistently conducted. External vulnerability scans are not performed at least quarterly.	Processes to actively manage assets are documented but are primarily reactive. A systematic approach to evaluation and improvement of key processes for detecting, removing, and/or remediating unauthorized and unmanaged assets is being formulated. Schedules for regular external vulnerability scans are being developed.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND processes to actively manage assets and to detect, remove, and/or remediate unauthorized and unmanaged assets are routinely implemented. Quarterly vulnerability scans are regularly conducted. Annual penetration tests are regularly conducted. Systematic account reviews are routinely performed.	AND continuous monitoring processes to rapidly detect cybersecurity risks in real time are embedded in daily operations. Monitored performance of critical security processes using forensics, root cause analysis, threat intelligence, and incident response is prioritized. Effective correction actions are taken to address identified weakness or vulnerabilities.	AND continuous security monitoring uses data to verify the effectiveness of proactive measures and optimizes real-time threat detection to aid timely mitigation, improve cybersecurity maturity, and reduce risk. Gap analyses via multiple technologies are conducted to identify vulnerabilities, develop failsafe measures, and drive process improvement.
ADVERSE EVENT A	NALYSIS				
	Processes to collect, review, and correlate event data from a cybersecurity attack are not documented or are ad hoc, inconsistent, or reactive. A baseline of network operations and expected data flows for staff, students, and systems is nonexistent or lacking. Technology staff assigned to analyzing the impact of events are needed. The capacity to detect anomalies is lacking.	Anomalous activity is detected consistently but the approach is mostly reactive. Processes to collect, review, and correlate event data from a cybersecurity attack are being developed and improvement initiatives are being addressed. Technology staff are assigned to analyze the impact of events and the school system is transitioning from being reactionary. Staff are developing their skills in learning about pattern recognition and attack targets and methods.	AND documented processes to collect, review, and correlate event data from multiple sources and technology systems are in place. A baseline of network operations and expected data flows is established and managed. Processes for collecting evidence and conducting forensic analysis are documented and in place. Designated technology staff routinely analyze the impact of events. Detected anomalies are analyzed via a formalized process. Pattern recognition technologies are used.	AND rigorous analytics generated from anomalies and trends at the subprocess level of the school system's applications, systems, and databases are used. Formal analysis is conducted of patterns of information technology activities outside of normal behavior. Underlying causes of vulnerabilities are identified through root-cause analysis. Issues related to vulnerability identification are tracked and reported to relevant managers.	AND advanced processes generating immediate results are used to identify and detect anomalies and events and trigger rapid response. Cybersecurity technologies are based on threat intelligence combined with data analytics to segregate normal behavior from abnormal activity. Proactive technologies alert unauthorized access or suspicious behavior in real time.

RESPOND	LEVEL 1: INITIAL	LEVEL 2: REPEATABLE	LEVEL 3: DEFINED	LEVEL 4: MANAGED	LEVEL 5: OPTIMIZED
	Processes for responding to cybersecurity incidents are reactive or non-existent.	Analysis capabilities are applied consistently to cybersecurity incidents by Incident Response (IR) roles.	An Incident Response (IR) plan defines steps for pre-, during, and post-incident preparation, analysis, containment, and eradication.	Response times and impacts of cybersecurity incidents are monitored and minimized.	Detection and monitoring solutions continuously learn behaviors and adjust to detection capabilities.
NCIDENT MANAGI	EMENT				
	Approved processes to maintain a comprehensive cybersecurity incident response plan are lacking or nonexistent. Designated staff responsible for developing and implementing of the incident response plan have not been identified and/or trained.	An incident response plan is documented. Improvement is a priority as evidenced in strategic plans. The beginnings of a systematic approach to the evaluation and improvement of key processes are evident. Appropriate staff are designated and trained to ensure a timely response to detected cybersecurity events.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND a comprehensive, formal cybersecurity incident response plan is documented and annually reviewed. Designated staff are responsible for participating in the development and implementation of the practices outlined in the plan. In-house and third-party scenario-based plan testing is routinely conducted. Results are systematically evaluated.	AND a customized, scenario-based cyber event playbook is used to measure and evaluate risk. Business continuity, resilience, and agility are prioritized and incorporated in scenarios. Planning time is allocated to evaluate impact, response, and recovery. Practice is incorporated to strengthen recovery plans. Findings through practice are instrumental in generating action plans.	AND the documented, reflective, flexible response planning process pervades organizational culture and undergoes continuou improvement on an annual basis, or more frequently where possible. Results from feedback drive change and aid retrospective (lessons learned) sessions and initiatives.
NCIDENT ANALYSI					
	Processes to analyze incidents are insufficient. Adequate response and support for recovery activities are ad hoc, inconsistent, or reactive.	A cross-functional process is employed to examine incidents. Systematic response and support for recovery activities are being developed. Proactive evaluation and improvement of key processes are being prioritized.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND incidents are analyzed and categorized consistently with response plans. Measures used in the analysis include compliance with federal and state regulations. Analysis findings and results are systematically evaluated. Additional third-party analysis is conducted.	AND response analysis is culturally embedded and drives design, implementation, and evaluation. Detailed analysis is managed at the subprocess level to understand causation and correlation. Analysis drives processes identification and inventories for effectively mitigating risks and determining response levels.	AND broad incident analysis is employed to identify shortfalls and performance gaps and drive process improvement. A team of knowledgeable information technology (IT) staff measures an assesses the full cybersecurity landscape, analyzing possible issues and identifying improvement opportunities.

INCIDENT RESPONSE REPORTING AND COMMUNICATION

Processes to define orderly response activities are lacking or nonexistent. Criteria for incident reporting are not clearly defined or are primarily reactive.

Communication processes for incident reporting are unclear or nonexistent.

Processes to define orderly response activities are being developed. Proactive coordination with internal and external stakeholders is improving. Consistent criteria for incident reporting is being determined. A systematic approach to evaluation and improvement of key processes is being designed.

Meets **REPEATABLE** Maturity Level

AND processes to ensure orderly response activities are defined and shared. Coordination with stakeholders is in line with response plans. Information is shared with leadership and internal and external stakeholders to achieve transparent situational awareness.

Meets **DEFINED** Maturity Level

AND processes are documented in a managed cybersecurity incident communication plan. Incident responsibilities are assigned to designated staff members. Defined criteria are used to measure the success of communications. Evaluation of the communication process is periodically conducted. Evaluation results drive tracked improvement initiatives.

Meets **MANAGED** Maturity Level

AND communications are optimized through collaboration with external cybersecurity and privacy groups, associations, and solution providers. Cybersecurity alerts and recommendations are obtained from and shared with external sources. Response activities are optimized using best practices, innovative processes, and technological aids.

INCIDENT MITIGATION

A systematic approach to prevent the **expansion** of an event is lacking or nonexistent. Processes or technologies to **mitigate** the effects of and **eradicate** an incident are not defined, and practices are ad hoc, inconsistent, and reactive. Processes for preventing the expansion of an event, mitigate its effects, and eradicate the incident are defined. The overall approach for cyber defense is consistent. Collaborative problem solving is used to prevent the expansion of an event.

Meets **REPEATABLE** Maturity Level

AND activities are routinely performed to prevent event expansion. Processes and technologies to mitigate the effects of and eradicate an incident are available and practiced. Incidents are contained. Identified vulnerabilities are mitigated and/or documented as accepted risks.

Meets **DEFINED** Maturity Level

AND sophisticated response mitigation during a cybersecurity incident is routinely managed using best practices, tools, and techniques. Effective mitigation is used to isolate and block threats in near real time. Processes are universally deployed and maintained to manage mitigation and generate data.

Meets MANAGED Maturity Level

AND risk mitigation activities and technical mechanisms are proactively and interactively improved. Roadmap development is prioritized. Leadership understands the importance of building resilience. Resources are made available when gaps in capabilities are identified.

Vulnerabilities are cataloged and best practices are collaboratively established.

RECOVER	LEVEL 1: INITIAL	LEVEL 2: REPEATABLE	LEVEL 3: DEFINED	LEVEL 4: MANAGED	LEVEL 5: OPTIMIZED
	Processes for recovering from cybersecurity incidents are reactive or non-existent.	Resiliency and recovery capabilities are applied consistently to cybersecurity incidents impacting school system operations.	Continuity and disaster recovery plans define steps to continue critical functions, recover, and resume normal operations.	Recovery times and impacts of incidents are monitored and minimized.	The capabilities of all Information Technology (IT) personnel, processes, and technologies are regularly tested and updated.
INCIDENT RECOVER	RY PLAN EXECUTION				
	Incident plans are nonexistent or lacking. Recovery action steps for educational continuity are not determined. If a plan is present, it does not align with strategic roadmaps or cybersecurity objectives.	Incident plans are documented. Recovery action steps describe restoration procedures with clearly defined roles and responsibilities. Plan execution is detailed in depth for during and after cyber incidents.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND asset protection, direct and indirect processes affecting normal operations. Evidence of plans being executed during or after cyber events is documented.	AND incident plans are well managed. Pre-incident asset protection and recovery processes are routinely successful. Business continuity is tested. Leadership and information technology (IT) teams regularly review recovery processes. Gap analyses are completed with identified weaknesses translating to actionable improvement plans.	AND fully integrated incident plans are embedded into daily decision making. Recovery planning is optimized using innovative techniques. Postrecovery (staged recovery planning or real-time cyber event recovery) includes addressing lessons learned and making improvements to the recovery plan. Leadership is committed to evolving strategic plans based on education market sector trends.
INCIDENT RECOVER	RY COMMUNICATION				
	A systematic approach for communicating restoration activities is lacking or nonexistent. Conditions and responsibilities under which the recovery plan is to be invoked are not defined or documented.	Processes are being developed to manage media interactions, handle and 'triage' communication requests, and ensure staff are apprised of public relations and privacy policies. Action steps to reduce damage inflicted by an event are defined. Communications include steps for handling data breaches and recovery activities.	Meets REPEATABLE Maturity Level AND conditions and responsibilities under which the recovery plan is to be invoked are documented. Communication about restoration activities and responsibilities is documented. Public relations, including reputation restoration after an event, are managed. Recovery activities are communicated to internal and external stakeholders, law enforcement agencies, and leadership teams.	AND a coordinated communication response to achieve a balance between the cybersecurity investigation and recovery is managed with concern. Communication channels are identified in advance. Communication templates derived from a playbook or tabletop exercises are described. Improvement initiatives are set forth based on the evaluation results from communication exercises.	AND recovery communications undergo a continuous, cyclical improvement process and include a documented feedback and communication strategy. Feedback analysis identifies shortfalls or gaps in performance and drives measurable process improvement. Transparent communication is an integrated practice. A playbook with predefined communication topics helps to restore order and optimize the communication strategy.