IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

No. CIV. 14-1025 JB\SMV

THE CITY OF ALBUQUERQUE,

Defendant,

VS.

THE ALBUQUERQUE POLICE OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION,

Intervenor.

AMENDED STIPULATED ORDER ESTABLISHING AN EXTERNAL FORCE INVESTIGATION TEAM

This matter comes before the Court on the Joint Motion of Plaintiff United States of America and Defendant City of Albuquerque (collectively, the Parties), with the concurrence of the Independent Monitor, for entry of this Amended Stipulated Order, which modifies and supersedes the Stipulated Order Establishing an External Force Investigation Team that this Court entered on February 26, 2021 (Original Order). Doc. 720. The Original Order required the City to establish, on a temporary basis, an External Force Investigation Team (EFIT) to assist the Albuquerque Police Department (APD) in conducting investigations of Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force by APD officers and improve the quality of force investigations conducted by APD's Internal Affairs Force Division (IAFD). The Original Order also required the City to improve APD's internal affairs processes, maintain an increased number of IAFD investigators, and provide additional training to IAFD investigators.

This Amended Order restates many of the Original Order's requirements and supplements them in two ways. First, this Amended Order requires the City to modify its existing contract with DLG, Accounting and Advisory Services (DLG) to enable EFIT to investigate all use-of-force incidents occurring between January 1, 2020, through July 16, 2021, that APD did not investigate, in full or in part (Backlog Force Cases), in violation of the Court Approved Settlement Agreement (CASA), Doc. 465-1. Second, this Amended Order extends by 24 months, from May 2022 through May 2024, the period during which the City shall continue to enable EFIT to assist IAFD in investigating new Level 2 and Level 3 use-of-force incidents (New Force Cases).

The Parties intend the measures in this Amended Order to ensure high-quality, timely investigations of New Force Cases, to minimize and correct deficiencies in IAFD investigations as identified in the Independent Monitor's Twelfth and Thirteenth Reports, Docs. 652 and 782, and to address APD's failure to investigate the Backlog Force Cases as identified in the Independent Monitor's Fourteenth Report, Doc. 872. The Court approves this Amended Stipulated Order and enters it as an Order of the Court.

A. Definitions

- 1. For the purposes of this Amended Order,
 - a. "IAFD" means APD's Internal Affairs Force Division;
 - b. "IAFD personnel" includes IAFD investigators and supervisors, other than IAFD
 Commanding Officers;
 - c. "investigations of New Force Cases" include both investigations and the review of investigations by supervisors of Level 2 and Level 3 use-of-force incidents that occur after July 16, 2021;

- d. "investigations of the Backlog Force Cases" means investigations of all use-of-force incidents that occurred between January 1, 2020, through July 16, 2021, and that IAFD failed to investigate, in whole or in part, as required by the CASA, a set that includes, but may not be limited to, 143 Level 3 uses of force, 470 Level 2 uses of force, 42 Level 1 uses of force, and 12 uses of force that IAFD subsequently investigated; and
- e. "Independent Monitor" may include members of the Independent Monitoring

 Team.

B. Establish an External Force Investigation Team

- 2. The City shall establish and maintain EFIT to guide and direct IAFD personnel, and when necessary, conduct investigations of New Force Cases; assess investigations carried out by IAFD personnel; provide written feedback on IAFD personnel's work product; and complete investigations of the Backlog Force Cases.
- 3. EFIT shall be overseen by an Administrator. The City shall empower the EFIT Administrator to hire and retain the staff necessary to fulfill the requirements of this Amended Order. The EFIT Administrator shall ensure that a sufficient number of EFIT investigators to meet the requirements of Paragraph 16 of this Amended Order are physically present in Albuquerque and able to respond to the scene of Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force. The EFIT Administrator shall also ensure that a sufficient number of EFIT

3

¹ The City provided DOJ and the Independent Monitor with this accounting of 667 cases. Doc. 872 at 4. If EFIT identifies other uninvestigated force cases that occurred during the relevant period or finds a different number of force cases in a particular category, those cases will be considered Backlog Force Cases.

- investigators and supervisors are hired and retained exclusively to investigate the Backlog Force Cases, as required by Paragraphs 7–9 of this Amended Order.
- 4. The EFIT Administrator shall have experience and expertise in investigating law enforcement misconduct, the constitutional standards for police officers' use of force, and systems reform litigation. EFIT supervisors and investigators shall have experience and expertise in investigating law enforcement actions and the constitutional standards governing use of force. The EFIT Administrator, supervisors, and investigators shall have no current or previous employment relationship or contract for services with APD or the City when they join EFIT. The Parties agree that the City selected a qualified candidate, DLG, to be the EFIT Administrator and that the EFIT Administrator has, to date, selected qualified supervisors and investigators in compliance with the Original Order's requirements. Doc. 720, ¶¶ 4, 6–7; see also Doc. 873, ¶¶ 12–35 (EFIT personnel's qualifications). If DLG ceases to be the EFIT Administrator before the termination of this Amended Order pursuant to Paragraphs 39 or 41, the City shall reconstitute EFIT pursuant to the process and subject to the requirements laid out in the Original Order. See Doc. 720, ¶¶ 3–10.
- 5. The City shall contract with the EFIT Administrator and fund the operations of EFIT in accordance with its Public Purchases Ordinance, specifically, ROA 1994, § 5-5-20(U) (exempting "[c]ontracts and expenditures in connection with court or administrative proceedings, including, but not limited to, experts, mediators, interpreters, translators, court reporters, process servers, witness fees, and printing and duplicating of materials for filing" from competitive requirements of the article), or any other appropriate provision of the Public Purchases Ordinance. The contract between the EFIT Administrator and the

City shall include all standard terms for City contracts. In compliance with the Original Order, the City finalized a contract with DLG, on June 23, 2021, which enabled DLG to commence operations as the EFIT Administrator on July 16, 2021. Doc. 873, ¶ 2; *see* Doc. 782, ¶¶ 2, 5 and Exhibit D. Subject to the terms of Paragraphs 39–41, the City shall modify the EFIT Administrator contract as necessary to enable EFIT to provide the additional services identified in this Amended Order.

6. The City and the EFIT Administrator shall establish and maintain protocols that require APD and EFIT to coordinate on investigations of new Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force. The protocols shall, at a minimum, specify how IAFD personnel and EFIT personnel will coordinate their work, and direct APD to transmit investigative files to EFIT. The protocols shall be submitted to DOJ and the Independent Monitor for review and comment pursuant to the procedures of Paragraphs 147 and 148 of the CASA. Doc. 465-1 at 49–50. The protocols shall not preclude EFIT from investigating the Backlog Force Cases.

C. Investigate and Report on the Backlog Force Cases

- 7. The City shall enable the EFIT Administrator to establish a Backlog Team to complete investigations of the Backlog Force Cases in accordance with the Scope of Work (SOW) attached to this Amended Order as Exhibit 1. The Parties shall jointly file a notice with the Court when the EFIT Backlog Team is fully constituted and commences operations.
- 8. When the Backlog Team completes an investigation, the EFIT Administrator shall submit the case materials, including narratives and recommendations, to IAFD for final closure. Upon receiving a completed backlog case from EFIT, IAFD shall close the case by performing the administrative steps outlined in the revised IAFD process narrative, Doc. 862-1, ¶¶ 59-63.

- 9. The Force Review Board (FRB) shall review completed EFIT Backlog Team investigations pursuant to the process identified in SOW, ¶ 20. Consistent with the CASA, Doc. 465-1, ¶ 78(b), any Backlog Team investigation reviewed by the FRB shall be presented by the EFIT Administrator or Deputy Administrator.
- 10. Within 30 days after EFIT completes an investigation pursuant to the SOW, APD shall respond in writing to the recommendations, if any, made by the EFIT Administrator and/or the EFIT Executive Team in that investigation pursuant to the SOW.
- 11. Within 90 days after EFIT completes investigation of all Backlog Force Cases, the City shall provide a written report to the EFIT Executive Team, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor, which includes, at a minimum:
 - a. the City's response to the EFIT Administrator's summary report, required by the SOW, ¶25, which identifies significant findings in individual cases, trends among all cases, root causes of the backlog, recommendations, and potential solutions to ensure against future IAFD investigations failing to be completed within timelines imposed by the CASA;
 - b. the number of APD officers who were involved in a use-of-force event between January 1, 2020, through July 16, 2021, which did not comply with APD policy or the law;
 - c. the number of use-of-force incidents occurring between January 1, 2020, through July 16, 2021, that did not comply with APD policy or the law;
 - d. the EFIT recommendations made pursuant to the SOW that APD adopted or will adopt, and APD's plan for implementing them; and

e. the EFIT recommendations made pursuant to the SOW that APD rejected or will reject, and the rationales for APD's decisions to reject each recommendation.

D. Maintain Increased Staffing of IAFD; Technical Assistance

- 12. The City shall ensure that APD maintains at least twenty-five (25) force investigators assigned to IAFD, unless and until APD can demonstrate by an internal staffing analysis that fewer investigators are necessary to timely investigate all Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force.
- 13. As required by the Original Order, Doc. 720, ¶ 14, the City filed a written process narrative for IAFD investigations with the Court on July 16, 2021. Doc. 839-1. The City filed a revised process narrative on September 27, 2021. Doc. 862-1. Any further revisions to the IAFD process narrative shall be subject to the agreement of the City, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor. If the City, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor cannot agree on a proposed revision, the City or DOJ may submit the matter to the Court for resolution.
- 14. As required by the Original Order, Doc. 720, ¶ 15, the Parties agree that the Independent Monitor provided APD with intensive technical assistance as to implementing the IAFD process narrative required by Paragraph 13 of this Amended Order.
- 15. The City shall endeavor to negotiate longer investigative deadlines with the recognized exclusive representatives of relevant bargaining agreements. Nothing in this Amended Order requires the City to violate the Labor Management Relations Ordinance or any collective bargaining agreement.

E. Investigate New Level 2 and Level 3 Uses of Force

16. EFIT commenced operations on July 16, 2021. Doc. 873, ¶ 2. Since then, APD and EFIT have deployed investigators to the scene of every Level 2 and Level 3 use of force, as

- required by the Original Order. Doc. 720, ¶ 17. The EFIT and APD shall both continue to deploy investigators to the scene of these New Force Cases, unless APD deploys an IAFD investigator who has satisfied the requirements of Paragraph 34 of this Amended Order.
- 17. IAFD personnel shall act as the lead on-scene investigators for all New Force Cases and shall be primarily responsible for conducting the on-scene requirements of CASA Paragraphs 69(a), (b), (c), (d), and (e), Doc. 465-1 at 27, including but not limited to:
 - a. respond to the scene and consult with the on-scene supervisor to ensure that all personnel and subject(s) of use of force have been examined for injuries, that the use of force has been classified according to APD's classification procedures, that subject(s) have been interviewed for complaints of pain after advising the subject(s) of his or her rights, and that all officers and/or subject(s) have received medical attention, if applicable;
 - b. ensure that all evidence to establish material facts related to the use of force, including but not limited to audio and video recordings, photographs, and other documentation of injuries or the absence of injuries is collected;
 - c. ensure that a canvass for, and interview of, witnesses is conducted. In addition,
 witnesses should be encouraged to provide and sign a written statement in their own
 words;
 - d. ensure, consistent with applicable law, that all officers witnessing a Level 2 or Level 3 use of force by another officer provide a use of force narrative of the facts leading to the use of force;

- e. provide a written admonishment to involved and witness officer(s) to the use of force that they are not to speak about the force incident with anyone until they are interviewed by [an] . . . investigator
- 18. The City shall transmit all documents, evidence, and investigative notes created or obtained by the on-scene investigator(s) to EFIT within 72 hours of the use of force, and on an ongoing basis as additional evidence is obtained. EFIT will acknowledge receiving all forwarded investigative documents, evidence, and notes.
- 19. Except as provided by Paragraph 23 of this Amended Order, IAFD personnel and EFIT personnel shall jointly investigate and review all new Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of the CASA, APD policy, and the CBA.
- 20. EFIT shall have full, direct, and timely access to APD staff, employees, facilities, documents, data, and evidence to the extent necessary to fulfill the requirements of this Amended Order. EFIT shall coordinate with APD and APD's legal counsel to access personnel, facilities, and documents in a reasonable manner. If APD or APD's legal counsel decline to provide EFIT with access to documents or data based on privilege, APD shall inform EFIT, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor that it is withholding documents or data on this basis, and shall provide EFIT, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor with a log describing the documents or data and the basis of the privilege.
- 21. For each use of force investigation, EFIT shall evaluate the quality of IAFD personnel's work product and immediately notify APD and APD's legal counsel of any deficiencies or misconduct by IAFD personnel related to their investigations. APD shall promptly address these deficiencies or misconduct through corrective action or discipline, consistent with the CASA, APD policy, and the CBA.

- 22. EFIT shall be authorized to complete investigations and supervisory reviews of investigations of New Force Cases without the involvement of IAFD personnel if either of the following conditions are met:
 - a. EFIT or APD has alleged that IAFD personnel assigned to the investigation has committed misconduct in the course of the investigation, and EFIT believes that IAFD personnel's continued participation in the investigation is likely to undermine the integrity of the investigation; or
 - b. EFIT or APD believes that deficiencies in the tactics or work product of IAFD personnel assigned to the investigation is likely to prevent the investigation from being completed within the deadlines provided for in the CASA, APD policy, and the CBA.
- 23. The EFIT Administrator shall provide written notice to DOJ, APD, and the Independent Monitor when EFIT exercises the authority under Paragraph 22 to complete investigations of New Force Cases without the involvement of IAFD personnel. The notice shall explain in writing the grounds for the EFIT Administrator's actions. If DOJ or the City believes that the EFIT Administrator's actions were improper, they will seek to resolve the matter with EFIT and the other party. If DOJ, the City, and EFIT cannot reach a resolution, DOJ or the City may bring the matter before the Court for resolution.
- 24. IAFD and EFIT shall identify all misconduct that occurred during the course of each New Force Case investigation. IAFD and EFIT investigators shall provide information about all misconduct they identify to APD for screening, assigning an internal affairs number, and tracking by APD Internal Affairs. IAFD and EFIT investigators shall complete the investigation of all misconduct related to the use of force, and APD Internal Affairs

Professional Standards shall complete the investigation of all misconduct not related to the use of force.

- 25. EFIT shall complete its investigations of New Force Cases within 60 days of receiving onscene investigation materials from APD. At the end of each investigation, IAFD and EFIT
 personnel shall prepare a joint investigative report, consistent with the requirements of the
 CASA and APD policy. The report shall include a recommended determination of whether
 each use of force complied with APD policy and state and federal law. When IAFD
 personnel recommend that an officer violated APD policy or state or federal law, they shall
 recommend appropriate corrective and/or disciplinary action, consistent with the CASA
 and APD policy.
- 26. An IAFD Commanding Officer shall review each investigative report and recommendation to determine whether they concur with the report and the recommended finding for each use of force; the recommended disposition of any misconduct allegations; and any recommended corrective and/or disciplinary action. The IAFD Commanding Officer shall explain any concurrence or non-concurrence in writing. Any recommended discipline resulting from an investigation will be reviewed by APD's executive staff consistent with APD policy.

F. Role of the Independent Monitor with Regard to EFIT

- 27. The Independent Monitor shall continue to assist APD, DOJ, and the EFIT Administrator by, at a minimum:
 - a. orienting EFIT personnel regarding CASA requirements and relevant CASA compliance deficiencies by APD;

- b. providing technical assistance to EFIT regarding the Independent Monitor's compliance assessment methodology; expectations regarding EFIT's processes, work product, and records production; and other relevant matters, as the EFIT Administrator and the Independent Monitor deem appropriate; and
- c. conducting informal assessments of the Backlog Force Case investigations and the New Force Case investigations completed with EFIT's involvement, particularly in the early stages of EFIT's implementation, to ensure that investigations completed with EFIT's involvement comply with CASA requirements regarding the quality of force investigations. The Independent Monitor shall convey the outcome of these informal assessments to the EFIT Administrator, APD, and DOJ.
- 28. The City recognizes that the requirements of Paragraph 27 of this Amended Order are beyond the scope of the Independent Monitor's duties under the CASA and the City's annual budgets for the Independent Monitor's services under the CASA. The City filed an unopposed motion to provide additional compensation to the Independent Monitor for the additional services required by Paragraph 27 of this Amended Order, which the Court granted. Doc. 837 (Motion); Doc. 845 (Order).
- 29. The Independent Monitor shall conduct formal compliance assessments of force investigations completed with EFIT's involvement as it would investigations completed by APD. Except for the requirements of Paragraph 27, this Amended Order is not intended to, and does not, alter the responsibilities or authority of the Independent Monitor under the CASA.

G. Remedial Action Plan for New IAFD Investigations

- 30. The City submitted a remedial action plan for IAFD investigations to DOJ, the Independent Monitor, and the EFIT Administrator on December 14, 2021. Doc. 720, ¶ 31. The plan must identify concrete actions to improve the quality and timeliness of IAFD's investigations of new Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force. The Independent Monitor may recommend changes or approve the plan consistent with the requirements of Paragraph 147 of the CASA. After the Independent Monitor approves of the plan, the City shall file it with the Court. If either the City, DOJ, or both disagree with the Monitor's recommendations, such party or parties may file the plan with the Court and move for its approval.
- 31. After filing a joint remedial action plan or after the Court approves the plan, and until the plan has been fully implemented, the City shall file brief reports to the Court, due every three months from the date the remedial action plan is filed, to inform the Court of progress in implementing the plan, any barriers to implementation that it has faced, and any modifications to the plan that may be necessary. The City's quarterly reports shall, at a minimum:
 - a. summarize the City's progress on implementing the IAFD process narrative required by Paragraph 13, including a summary of the technical assistance provided by the Independent Monitor;
 - b. summarize EFIT's written evaluations of the quality of investigations conducted by IAFD investigators during the previous quarter;
 - c. summarize EFIT's written feedback on the work product of IAFD investigators' during the previous quarter;

- d. identify any formal training that IAFD investigators received during the previous quarter;
- e. identify the number of force investigators assigned to IAFD and, if APD has not yet retained 25 IAFD investigators, the steps that APD will take in the next quarter to achieve full staffing;
- f. identify the number of investigations or reviews of investigations that EFIT completed without the involvement of IAFD personnel, pursuant to Paragraph 22;
- g. identify the number of IAFD investigators conducting investigations independent of the EFIT, pursuant to Paragraph 35; and
- h. for investigations of Level 2 and Level 3 use-of-force incidents that occurred after July 16, 2021, identify:
 - i. the number of investigations initiated during the previous quarter;
 - ii. the number of investigations completed during the previous quarter;
 - iii. the average and mean number of days from initiation to completion for the investigations completed during the previous quarter;
 - iv. the number of investigations during the previous quarter that were completed within the deadlines required by the CASA, APD policy, and the CBA; and
 - v. the number of investigations during the previous quarter that were not completed within the deadlines required by the CASA, APD policy, and the CBA.

H. Train IAFD Personnel

32. Subject to extensions necessary due to COVID-19-related restrictions and availability, and subject to the approval of the proposed contractor by the Independent Monitor and DOJ, within three months of the entry of this Amended Order, APD shall identify and hire a contractor who shall, in concert with APD's Academy, develop and provide training to IAFD personnel on conducting high-quality and timely force investigations. This training shall be developed, approved, and provided consistent with APD policy and the CASA, and shall incorporate problem-solving, experiential adult-learning principles.

I. Returning Responsibility for Full Investigations of New Level 2 and Level 3 Uses of Force to APD

- 33. An IAFD Commanding Officer, with input from the EFIT evaluations prepared pursuant to the process narrative, shall prepare written evaluations of each investigator and supervisor who are assigned as IAFD personnel on a quarterly basis. These evaluations shall be considered confidential consistent with City Personnel Rules and Regulations and state law, but shall be provided to the Independent Monitor and DOJ upon request and shall be kept confidential pursuant to the requirements of Paragraph 326 of the CASA. These evaluations shall, at a minimum:
 - a. describe the nature and extent of all training provided to the IAFD investigator or supervisor during the previous quarter;
 - b. summarize EFIT's written assessments of the quality of the IAFD investigator's or supervisor's investigations;
 - c. summarize EFIT's written feedback on the IAFD investigator's or supervisor's work product;

- d. describe any misconduct allegations against the IAFD investigator or supervisor related to their investigations during the previous quarter, including how the allegation was ultimately resolved;
- e. compare the number of the IAFD investigator's or supervisor's investigations from the previous quarter that failed to satisfy CASA requirements for investigations with the number of investigations that the IAFD investigator or supervisor conducted during the previous quarter;
- f. evaluate the IAFD investigator's or supervisor's overall performance; and
- g. identify any actions that will be taken during the following quarter to improve the IAFD investigator's or supervisor's performance.
- 34. APD may transfer responsibility for conducting full investigations of Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force from EFIT to IAFD personnel only after a quarterly evaluation demonstrates:
 - a. that the IAFD investigator or supervisor has received training on all aspects of Level 2 and Level 3 force investigations;
 - b. that the IAFD investigator or supervisor has regularly conducted high-quality investigations for at least two months, as demonstrated by EFIT's written assessments;
 - c. that the IAFD investigator or supervisor regularly produces high-quality work product, as demonstrated by EFIT's written feedback;
 - d. that the IAFD investigator or supervisor has not committed misconduct during the course of investigations; and
 - e. that 95% of the IAFD investigator's or supervisor's investigations from the previous quarter satisfied all CASA requirements for investigations.

- 35. APD shall notify the EFIT Administrator in writing two weeks before APD intends to transfer sole responsibility for conducting full investigations of Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force from EFIT to an IAFD investigator or supervisor. The EFIT Administrator shall promptly notify the City, APD, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor in writing if the EFIT Administrator determines that the IAFD investigator or supervisor does not meet the qualifications identified in Paragraph 34 of this Amended Order. The City, APD, DOJ, the Independent Monitor, and the EFIT Administrator shall confer about any disagreements between APD and the EFIT Administrator regarding the qualifications of any IAFD investigator or supervisor to take responsibility for conducting full investigations of Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force. The City and DOJ shall seek to resolve any such disagreements. If the City and DOJ are unable to resolve such disagreements, they may bring the matter before the Court for resolution.
- 36. The City and DOJ anticipate that APD will take responsibility for conducting full investigations of Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force over time as individual IAFD investigators and supervisors meet the qualifications identified in Paragraph 34.
- 37. The City will endeavor to ensure that the responsibility for conducting full investigations of Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force returns entirely to APD within 24 months of that date this Amended Order is entered as a Court order. The Parties shall evaluate APD's progress every six (6) months, including whether EFIT is contributing to improvements in APD's progress on complying the CASA. After conducting two evaluations, the City, in consultation with DOJ, will file a status report with the Court indicating whether the services of the EFIT should extend beyond 24 months.

- 38. The City and DOJ agree to jointly ask the Court to terminate this Amended Order once there are a sufficient number of IAFD personnel who have met the qualifications identified in Paragraph 34 to complete investigations of all new Level 2 and Level 3 uses of force within the timelines required by the CASA, APD policy, and the CBA, provided that the EFIT Backlog Team has completed investigations of the Backlog Force Cases pursuant to the Scope of Work attached to this Amended Order as Exhibit 1.
- 39. Notwithstanding Paragraph 38 of this Amended Order, if the Independent Monitor, after conducting the informal assessments required by Paragraph 27(c), or the formal assessments required by Paragraph 29 and the CASA, determines that EFIT regularly fails to conduct investigations consistent with CASA requirements and APD policy, the City, with the concurrence of DOJ, may seek to terminate its contract with the EFIT Administrator, and the Parties may seek to modify this Amended Order accordingly.
- 40. If the City and DOJ are unable to reach agreement about asking the Court to terminate this Amended Order, either Party may seek to terminate this Amended Order. However, before the City may file a motion to terminate this Amended Order, the City shall notify DOJ in writing of the grounds for the motion. Thereafter, the City and DOJ shall promptly confer about the City's assertions. If, after a reasonable period of consultation and the completion of any audit or evaluation that DOJ and/or the Independent Monitor may wish to undertake, the City and DOJ cannot resolve any disagreements, the City may file a motion to terminate this Amended Order. If the City moves to terminate this Amended Order, DOJ will have 60 days after the receipt of the City's motion to file objections. If DOJ does not object, the Court may grant the City's motion. If DOJ objects, the Court will hold a hearing on the motion, and the burden shall be on the City to demonstrate that it has fully complied with

this Amended Order and that the grounds for termination of this Amended Order are supported by a preponderance of the evidence.

The Court recognizes and approves of the measures in this Amended Order as good faith efforts by the Parties to address deficiencies in IAFD's investigations, as identified by the Independent Monitor in his Twelfth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth Reports, and therefore approves this Amended Order as an Order of the Court.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED that the Parties' Joint Motion for Entry of this Amended Stipulated Order Establishing an External Force Investigation Team is approved, and the Amended Stipulated Order is hereby entered as an Order of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the provisions of the Order.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Counsel:

Fred J. Federici
United States Attorney
Elizabeth M. Martinez
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
Albuquerque, New Mexico

--and--

Paul Killebrew Deputy Chief Patrick E. Kent Trial Attorney Jared D. Hager Trial Attorney
Jean M. Zachariasiewicz
Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
Special Litigation Section
Washington, D.C.
Attorneys for the United States

Kevin A. Morrow
Acting City Attorney
Lauren Keefe
Special Counsel
Carlos Pacheco
Managing Assistant City Attorney
Trevor Rigler
Assistant City Attorney
City of Albuquerque
Albuquerque, New Mexico
Attorneys for the City of Albuquerque

Exhibit 1: Scope of Work to Remedy the Backlog Force Cases

The City of Albuquerque (City) shall modify its existing contract with DLG Consulting and Advisory Services (DLG), to enable the External Force Investigation Team (EFIT) to investigate all use-of-force incidents that the Albuquerque Police Department (APD) Internal Affairs Force Division (IAFD) did not investigate, in whole or in part, from January 1, 2020, through July 16, 2021 (Backlog Force Cases), in violation of the Court Approved Settlement Agreement (CASA), Doc. 465-1 of the Court's docket. The EFIT shall investigate the Backlog Force Cases pursuant to this Scope of Work (SOW).

A. Establishing the EFIT Backlog Team

- 1. Pursuant to DLG's modified contract with the City, the EFIT Administrator will create an EFIT Backlog Team, which shall consist of additional staff hired and retained by the EFIT Administrator to fulfill the requirements of the Amended Stipulated Order and this SOW. It is anticipated that the EFIT Administrator will hire and retain at least six (6) additional investigators and two (2) additional supervisors to investigate, evaluate, identify appropriate corrective action, if any, that APD shall formally adjudicate, and report back on the Backlog Force Cases in accord with this SOW.
- 2. The EFIT Administrator will ensure that EFIT Backlog Team members have experience and expertise in investigating law enforcement actions and constitutional standards governing use of force. Members of the EFIT Backlog Team shall have no current or previous employment relationship or contract for services with APD or the City.
- 3. The EFIT Executive Team, which currently consists of Darryl Neier, William Hurlock and Darriell Bone, shall have discretion to determine whether and to what extent EFIT Backlog Team members will provide services in Albuquerque or from remote locations.
- 4. As soon as practicable after the Amended Stipulated Order is entered by the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico (Court) as an Order, and a contract is signed with the City, the EFIT Backlog Team will be fully constituted and begin investigating the Backlog Force Cases. The EFIT Administrator will advise the City and DOJ of the date on which the EFIT Backlog Team is fully constituted and operating so that the Parties can notify the Court.
- 5. The EFIT Backlog Team shall be sufficiently staffed and shall complete the tasks outlined in this SOW within 24 months of the date the Amended Stipulated Order is entered as a Court order and a contract is signed with the City.
- 6. The EFIT Administrator shall, within one month of the entry of the Amended Stipulated Order, provide the City, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor with a written plan for how it will accomplish the requirements of the Order. The plan shall describe, at a minimum, the methodology that the EFIT Backlog Team will use in investigating the Backlog Force Cases and how the EFIT

² EFIT was not involved in and is not in any way responsible for APD's backlogs.

Backlog Team will prioritize its investigations of the force incidents included in the Backlog Force Cases. The City, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor shall provide any comments or suggestions on the written plan to the EFIT Administrator within two weeks of receiving it. The EFIT Administrator shall work in good faith to address the concerns and suggestions provided by the City, DOJ, and the Independent Monitor.

B. Investigation Protocol for Backlog Force Cases

- 7. Within two (2) days of the date the City and DOJ file a joint motion to amend the EFIT Stipulated Order, Doc. 720, IAFD shall provide to the EFIT Executive Team access through IAPro/BlueTeam for all documents in its possession for each of the Backlog Force Cases, whether final or in draft form, including but not limited to: the IAFD case files, officers' use-of-force narrative forms, on-scene recorded verbal statements, on-body recording device (OBRD) recordings, the names of all involved officers, witness officers, and civilian witnesses, any recorded written or verbal witness statements, crime scene specialist photographs, responding supervisors' on-scene checklists, any related Internal Affairs Request (IAR) or Multi-Agency Task Force (MATF) referrals, all supervisory on-scene investigation forms, IAFD Evaluative Data forms, any entries in Blue Team or IAPro, and any other evidence of the force incidents.
- 8. The EFIT Executive Team shall exercise its discretion in deciding how to assign the Backlog Force Cases to the EFIT Backlog Team investigators and supervisors, while first prioritizing Level 3 force investigations involving use of lethal force and then prioritizing cases from 2020. EFIT will have discretion to expand the scope of a use-of-force investigation as necessary to reach reliable conclusions.
- 9. For each use-of-force incident, the EFIT Backlog Team investigators shall develop an appropriate investigative plan with input from their respective supervisors, using as guidance the revised IAFD process narrative, Doc. 862-1, ¶¶ 31–40. An appropriate investigative plan will vary depending on the use-of-force incident and the available evidence. However, unless variance is granted by the EFIT Executive Team, an appropriate investigative plan will include, but is not limited to, the following minimum requirements:
 - a. Evaluating APD's on-scene investigation, if any, using as guidance the revised IAFD process narrative, Doc. 862-1, \P ¶ 1–30, however, the plan shall incorporate the IAFD process narrative as it relates to potential criminal conduct, id., \P 17;
 - b. Reviewing available documentary evidence, including but not limited to use-of-force narratives, OBRD recordings, and recorded witness statements; and
 - c. Conducting appropriate interviews of involved officers, witness officers, and, if necessary, civilian witnesses. The EFIT will have discretion to decide whether interviews will be conducted in person or remotely, by Zoom.
- 10. EFIT Backlog Team investigators will prepare a narrative report for each case that, at a minimum, identifies the data reviewed, evaluates the use-of-force incident, and recommends a

finding for whether each application of force complied with APD policy. Investigators will identify training, equipment, or policy concerns arising from the use-of-force incident. Investigators will also identify other substantial APD policy violations related to the use of force that they become aware of during an investigation.

- 11. When policy violations are found, investigators will identify appropriate corrective action, which may include but is not limited to: training, counseling for involved or witness officers, equipment modifications, changes to policies or protocols, or reassignment of involved officers. While EFIT may make recommendations, it is APD's responsibility to review the recommendations and make the final determination for such actions.
- 12. EFIT Backlog Team investigators will submit completed investigations, including narrative reports, case materials, and recommendations to their supervisors for further review.
- 13. EFIT Backlog Team supervisors will review each completed investigation for accuracy and completeness, using as guidance the revised IAFD process narrative, Doc. 862-1, ¶¶ 41–50. The supervisor will discuss with the investigator, at a minimum, the incident, data, and recommended findings, and determine whether any revisions or additional investigation is needed. If revisions or additional investigation is needed, the supervisor will return the case to the investigator with an appropriate plan of action and a deadline to resubmit the completed investigation.
- 14. EFIT Backlog Team supervisors will prepare a supervisory narrative that confirms whether each application of force complied with APD's use-of-force policy and identifies other substantial violations of APD policy. The supervisors will also approve, modify, or disapprove any corrective action recommended by the investigator.
- 15. EFIT Backlog Team supervisors will submit the completed investigation and supervisory narratives to the EFIT Executive Team for final review and comment.
- 16. The EFIT Executive Team will review the completed investigation and supervisory narratives to determine if they are thorough, objective, and complete, or if any revisions or additional investigation is needed, using as guidance the revised IAFD process narrative, Doc. 862-1, ¶¶ 51-58. If revisions or additional investigation is needed, the Executive Team will return the case to the supervisor with an appropriate plan of action and a deadline to resubmit the completed investigation.
- 17. The EFIT Executive Team will finalize the investigation of a backlog force case by identifying whether each application of force complied with APD's use-of-force policy, identifying other substantial violations of APD policy arising from the conduct under investigation, and identifying training, equipment, or policy concerns arising from the use-of-force incident.
- 18. When policy violations are found, the EFIT Executive Team's final review will identify appropriate corrective action, which may include but is not limited to: training, counseling for involved or witness officers, equipment modifications, changes to policies or protocols, or

reassignment of involved officers. The completed investigation will also identify what, if any, discipline may have been warranted had the City and APD met their obligation to investigate the force incident within timelines imposed by the CASA and APD policy. The EFIT Executive Team shall provide this information to APD. While EFIT may make recommendations, it will be APD's responsibility to review the recommendations and make the final determination for such actions. Any corrective actions derived out of the EFIT investigation are at the sole discretion of APD.

C. Closing Backlog Force Cases

- 19. Upon finalizing an investigation, the EFIT Administrator will submit all case materials, including narratives and recommendations, to IAFD for closure pursuant to the administrative steps outlined in the revised IAFD process narrative, Doc. 862-1, ¶ 59-63.
- 20. Consistent with the CASA ¶ 78(b), the EFIT Administrator or Deputy Administrator will present to the Force Review Board (FRB) completed investigations that involve (a) an application of lethal force, and (b) an application of non-lethal force that EFIT identifies as not complying with APD's use-of-force policy. The EFIT Administrator or Deputy Administrator also will present a general status report on the Backlog Force Cases to the FRB on a monthly basis, including any emerging themes or issues, data about the type of force being used and whether it complies with APD policy, and specific examples of any officers whose conduct is indicating a concerning pattern or anything else problematic that EFIT is identifying.

D. Ongoing Reviews and Reports

- 21. Backlog Team investigators and their supervisors will meet at regular intervals, to be determined by the EFIT Executive Team, to discuss the status of ongoing investigations, revise investigative plans as necessary, and resolve any impediments to timely completing investigations.
- 22. The EFIT Executive Team will meet with the Backlog Team supervisors and investigators at regular intervals, to be determined by the EFIT Administrator, to discuss the status of ongoing investigations, address concerns, and ensure that the Backlog Force Cases will be completely investigated within 24 months.
- 23. The EFIT Executive Team will provide weekly written reports to DOJ, the City, IAFD, and the Independent Monitor that, at a minimum, contain status updates on the Backlog Force Cases, including cases assigned and completed, significant findings, and corrective actions.
- 24. The EFIT Administrator will file quarterly reports with the Court that provide status updates on the Backlog Force Cases, including cases assigned and completed, significant findings, recommended corrective actions, and analyses provided to the FRB.
- 25. Within 30 days of investigating all Backlog Force Cases, the EFIT Administrator shall provide the City and DOJ with a summary report identifying significant findings in individual cases, trends among all cases, root causes of the backlog, recommended corrective actions, and potential solutions to ensure against future IAFD investigations failing to be completed within

timelines imposed by the CASA. The City and DOJ will have two weeks to review and provide comments on the summary report. The EFIT Administrator will have two weeks to consider the comments and revise the draft summary report. Within 60 days of investigating all Backlog Force Cases, the EFIT Administrator will file a final summary report with the Court.