Institute for Competitive Governance



The Law and Policy of Refugee Cities

by

Michael Castle Miller

ICG Policy Paper #4 - November 2018

Abstract

Migration is quickly becoming one of the most pressing issues of our time. Conflict, persecution, natural disasters, and economic inequality are driving people from their homes in record numbers. Meanwhile, traditional responses to mass migration are becoming increasingly inadequate. Humanitarian assistance and border policing are ineffective and costly over the long term because they fail to address the root causes of migration. Barriers to the labor market, both legal and socio-economic, prevent migrants from contributing to the economic development of the countries hosting them and force them into dependency. This paper will study designated areas in which refugee rights are respected and the policy benefits of migrant integration are achieved.

Note: The Institute for Competitive Governance co-sponsored publication of this paper and its presentation at *Special Jurisdictions Within and Outside of the United States*, a symposium hosted by the Chapman University Fowler School of Law, *Chapman Law Review*, held Friday, January 26, 2018, at Chapman University, in Orange, California.

ICG: A better way to better government



The Law and Policy of Refugee Cities: Special Economic Zones for Migrants

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

- I. Background of Global Forced Displacement
 - A. Responses to Refugees
 - B. Refugees' Access to the Labor Market
- II. Special Economic Zones History and Policy Functions
 - A. History of Development
 - B. Function of SEZs
- III. The Refugee Cities Concept
 - A. Benefits of Refugee Cities
 - 1. Host Countries
 - 2. International Community and Aid Agencies
 - 3. Benefits for Businesses and Investors
 - 4. Benefits for Refugees
 - B. Progress
- IV. Law Applicable to Refugees
 - A. International Law
 - 1. Rights to Property
 - 2. Rights to Work
 - 3. Residency and Movement
 - 4. Administrative Facilities
 - 5. Additional and Blanket Rights
 - B. Domestic Laws Pertaining to Refugees
 - 1. Overview of Domestic Law
 - 2. Turkey

CONCLUSION



The Law and Policy of Refugee Cities: Special Economic Zones for Migrants

Michael Castle Miller¹

Introduction

Migration is quickly becoming one of the most pressing issues of our time. Conflict, persecution, natural disasters, and economic inequality are driving people from their homes in record numbers.

Meanwhile, traditional responses to mass migration are becoming increasingly inadequate. Humanitarian assistance and border policing are ineffective and costly over the long term because they fail to address the root causes of migration.² Barriers to the labor market, both legal and socio-economic, prevent migrants from contributing to the economic development of the countries hosting them and force them into dependency.³

Recognizing this, some countries are exploring pragmatic pathways toward integrating migrants into economies. The special economic zone ("SEZ") concept offers one potential path forward. SEZs are designated areas designed to promote development through a distinct policy and

(www.politasconsulting.com/) and the founder and executive director of Refugee Cities (www.refugeecities.org/).

administrative framework. They can serve as vehicles for initiating beneficial policies when political obstacles stand in the way of nationwide reform.

Refugee cities would be a type of SEZ designed to facilitate migrant integration. They would be special-status jurisdictions in which displaced people—who would otherwise be barred from working—can be employed, start businesses, access finance, and rebuild their lives.

Applying principles from SEZs, refugee cities could help countries benefit from migrants' presence in a politically realistic manner. They could also deliver high-quality infrastructure, foreign direct investment, and improvements to the business environment.

Refugee cities would also serve as a pathway for countries to come into closer alignment with international law. Under the Convention and Protocol Relating to Refugees ("Refugee the Status of Convention" "1967 Protocol," and respectively), refugees are entitled to relatively strong rights regarding property, employment, and entrepreneurship.4 However, most countries' domestic legislation falls well short of these rights.

Migration is one of the most pressing issues of the age, and current solutions are failing. Some countries, however, have developed projects for integrating migrants into their economies.

This paper explores these gaps to

¹ Michael Castle-Miller is an international lawyer and public policy consultant who helps design special jurisdictions for governments and private investors. He is the CEO of Politas Consulting

Infra Section I(A).

³ Infra Section I(B).

⁴Protecting Refugees: questions and answers, UNHCR (Feb.

^{1,2002),}http://www.unhcr.org/afr/publications/brochures/3 b779dfe2/protecting-refugees-questions-answers.html[http://perma.cc/XY46-Z396].



show how refugee cities could fill them by creating designated areas in which refugee rights are respected and the policy benefits of migrant integration are achieved. Part I provides the background of the global migration situation. Part II discusses the evolution and role of SEZs. Part III explains the refugee-cities concept and its policy benefits. Part IV analyzes international and domestic law pertaining to refugees, including a special focus on Turkey.

I. BACKGROUND OF GLOBAL FORCED DISPLACEMENT

Forced displacement is a growing major global concern. By the end of 2016, the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ("UNHCR") reported 65.6 million people were forcibly displaced by persecution, conflict, violence, or human rights violations.⁵

Included in that total are 22.5 million refugees,⁶ 40.3 million internally

displaced persons ("IDPs"),⁷ and 2.8 million asylum seekers.⁸Moreover, 10.3 million people were forcibly displaced during 2016 alone, meaning that twenty people were forced to flee their homes every minute that year.⁹

55% of the world's refugees come from 3 countries: Syria,
Afghanistan, and Sudan.

Developing countries hosted a growing majority of the world's refugees. ¹⁰ The top ten refugee hosting countries at the end of 2016 were:

- Turkey 2.9 million
- Pakistan 1.4 million
- Lebanon − 1 million
- Iran 979,400
- Uganda 940,800
- Ethiopia 791,600
- Jordan 685,200
- Germany -669,500
- Democratic Republic of the Congo 452,000
- Kenya $-451,100^{11}$

Lebanon hosted the highest number of refugees relative to its population, with one in every six people in the country

UNHCR, GLOBAL TRENDS: FORCED DISPLACEMENT IN 2016, at 2 (2016), http://www.unhcr.org/5943e8a34.pdf [http://perma.cc/5CCH-HMCT]. Actual numbers of displaced persons, including refugees, are probably much higher than the numbers provided in this section due to the number of people who would qualify as refugees, but are undocumented and thus not counted in official tallies. See Roger Zetter & Héloïse Ruaudel, Refugees' Right to Work and Access to Labor Markets - An Assessment, Part I: Synthesis11 (KNOMAD Study 2016) (noting, for instance, that the Iranian government estimates 1.4 million to 2 million undocumented Afghans are within its borders, beyond the 979,400 documented refugees, and that there are an estimated 175,000 undocumented refugees in Venezuela, compared with only 5000 who are documented).

UNHCR, *supra* note 4, at 2. "Refugees" generally includes people who have been forced to leave their country because of a well-founded fear of persecution and includes people who fall under the definition of refugee under international treaties, people granted complementary forms of protection and temporary protection, and people in "refugee-like situations." *Id.* at 56

⁷ *Id.* at 2. "Internally displaced persons" are people who have been forced to leave their homes but have not left their country. *Id.* at 56.

⁸ *Id.* at 2. "Asylum seekers" are those who have applied for international protection in a country, but whose refugee status is yet to be determined. *Id.* at 39.

⁹ Id. at 2.

¹⁰ Id. (observing that eighty-four percent of refugees under UNHCR's mandate (14.5 million out of 17.2 million) are hosted in developing countries and twenty-eight percent are in less developed countries (4.9 million)).

¹¹ Id. at 14–16.



being a refugee. 12 Jordan (one in eleven) and Turkey (one in twenty-eight) were the next two highest. 13

Fifty-five percent of refugees came from three countries: Syria (5.5 million), Afghanistan (2.5 million), and South Sudan (1.4 million). You Syrians also made up the largest number of forcibly displaced persons (12 million, including 6.3 million IDPs). You was a summary of the summary of th

Sixty-five percent of the Syrian population were forcibly displaced as of the end of 2016, a higher proportion than any other nationality.¹⁶

The year 2017 saw a major surge in Rohingya refugees fleeing ethnic cleansing campaigns in Myanmar.

Between August 25th and September 30th of that year, over 600,000 Rohingya were driven out by reported human rights atrocities. The Bangladesh hosted approximately 800,000 Rohingya refugees as of October 4, 2017 in refugee camps and makeshift settlements that were straining to provide basic services like water, healthcare, shelter, and sanitation. The straining to provide basic services are straining to provide basic services like water, healthcare, shelter, and sanitation.

A. Responses to Refugees

The international response to refugee

situations has evolved over the last decades. several After massive displacement caused by the Second World War, the newly created United Nations formed the UNHCR and adopted a treaty, the 1951 Refugee Convention, obligating member states to respect certain minimum standards of treatment of refugees. Since then, the UNHCR's main objective has been to ensure international protection of refugees and to seek permanent solutions to problems.¹⁹

Traditionally, the UNHCR's focus was on providing short-term humanitarian aid through emergency shelters, food, water, and medical care.²⁰

Over time, the UNHCR increasingly shifted to emphasize "durable solutions" for refugees. 21

The three durable solutions are: voluntary repatriation to the refugee's home country, resettlement to a third country, or integration into the host country.²²

However, in recent years, the UNHCR has increasingly recognized that durable solutions are often only a remote possibility for refugees.²³ Conditions in their home country often do not improve for many years, making repatriation impossible in the near future.

Only a small portion of the global refugee population are accepted for resettlement in third countries, ²⁴ and few

4

¹² *Id.* at 3.

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ Id.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 6.

¹⁶ See id.

¹⁷ Rohingya Refugee Crisis, UN OFFICE FOR THE COORDINATION OF HUMANITARIAN AFFAIRS, https://www.unocha.org/rohingya-refugee-crisis [http://perma.cc/ WKG7-YKNT] (last visited Nov. 27, 2017); see also Jeffrey Gettleman, Rohingya Recount Atrocities: 'They Threw My Baby Into a Fire,' N.Y. TIMES(Oct. 11, 2017), https://www.nytimes.com/2017/10/11/world/asia/rohingya-myanmar-atrocities.html (reporting stories of gang rape, murder, and home burnings from Rohingya survivors).

¹⁸ Rohingya Refugee Crisis, supra note 16.

¹⁹ OFFICE OF INTERNAL OVERSIGHT SERVICES, EVALUATION OF THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED NATIONS HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES 3 (2015).

²⁰ Id.

²¹ *Id.* at 4.

²² Id. at 5.

UNHCR, supra note 4, at 24.

 $^{^{24}}$ In 2016, 189,300 refugees were resettled into thirty-seven countries. *Id.* at 3. The U.S. admitted the



of the major refugee-hosting countries are willing to meaningfully integrate refugees into their societies.

The UNHCR is the foremost provider of international protection to refugees, and seeks permanent solutions to their problems. These "durable solutions" include repatriation, resettlement, and integration.

As a result, most refugees remain displaced for many years, often in isolated refugee camps or informal settlements. As of the end of 2016, 11.6 million refugees (two-thirds of the total) were in "protracted refugee situations," generally lasting five years or more.²⁵ Of that number, 4.1 million people were in refugee situations lasting twenty years or more.²⁶

To address this reality, the UNHCR has been seeking to identify approaches to refugee situations. "complementary pathways," including which countries have implemented when durable solutions are not possible.²⁷ Examples of current complementary pathways include private sponsorship programs, labor schemes. family reunification programs, talent registers, and education programs.²⁸

B. Refugees' Access to the Labor Market

The vast majority of refugees are prevented from working, both de jure and

largest number at 96,900. Id.

de facto.²⁹ In addition to legal restrictions, which are discussed in Part IV, refugees face restrictive policies and practices like forced encampment or bureaucratic and expensive processes for obtaining work permits.³⁰

They also face socio-economic barriers impacting the freedom to work and the ability to assimilate, such as xenophobia and discrimination, language difficulties, inadequate access to the courts, and lack of vocational training for refugees who need to develop new skills.³¹

As a result, most refugees work in the informal sector and under relatively poor conditions where they have less of a positive impact on the economy than if they were allowed to work formally.³²

These barriers exist despite evidence that allowing refugees, and other immigrants to work tends to bring significant net economic benefits to host countries.

Over the medium-term to long-term, refugees tend to raise wages, create jobs, stimulate commerce, fill gaps in the labor market, and increase cross-border trade.³³ Refugees represent a major underutilized labor force that could make significant

²⁵ Id. at 22. "Protracted refugee situations" is defined as situations where 25,000 or more refugees from the same nationality have been in exile for five consecutive years or more in a given asylum country. Id.

 $^{^{26}}$ Id

²⁷ Id. at 24, 29.

²⁸ *Id.* at 29.

ASYLUM ACCESS & THE REFUGEE WORK RIGHTS COALITION, GLOBAL REFUGEE WORK RIGHTS REPORT: TAKING THE MOVEMENT FROM THEORY TO PRACTICE 5 (2014) [hereinafter ASYLUM ACCESS].

³⁰ *Id.*; Zetter & Ruaudel, *supra* note 4,at 14–19.

³¹ ASYLUM ACCESS, supra note 28, at 5; Zetter & Ruaudel, supra note 4, at 20.

³² Zetter & Ruaudel, *supra* note 4, at 26.

³³ See, e.g., id.; see also ASYLUM ACCESS, supra note 28, at 8; OECD, Is migration good for the economy? (May 2014).

https://www.oecd.org/migration/OECD%20Migration%20Policy%20Debates%20Numero%202.pdf

[[]http://perma.cc/345C-LQAL]; ALEXANDERBETTS ET AL., REFUGEE ECONOMIES: RETHINKING POPULAR ASSUMPTIONS (2014),https://www.rsc.ox.ac.uk/files/files-1/refugee-economies-2014.pdf [http://perma.cc/E5EQ-UBVZ].



contributions to the economies hosting them if activated.³⁴

Refugees face socio-economic barriers to work including xenophobia and discrimination, despite the fact that allowing immigrants to work creates net benefits to the host country.

Additionally, work would enable them to develop skills and capital to facilitate their return to their home countries and would help advance the UN's 2030 Sustainable Development Goals to end poverty and fight inequality.³⁵

II. SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES HISTORY AND POLICY FUNCTIONS

An SEZ can be generally understood as a designated geographic area designed to promote economic development through a policy and administrative framework that is somehow different from the typical policy and administrative frameworks surrounding it.³⁶The legal and regulatory

regime is the most central aspect to an SEZ; their geographic, administrative, and infrastructural characteristics are also important, but less so.³⁷ The SEZ concept can include a wide variety of special-status jurisdictions going by different names from ancient to modern times, including free trade zones, export processing zones, freeports, and even semi-autonomous city-states.³⁸

In recent years, new attention is being placed on the role of SEZs as vehicles for policy and structural transformation, such as by helping catalyze growth in new industry sectors or overcoming political roadblocks to beneficial legal reforms.³⁹

SEZs often also serve as industrial parks by providing facilities, infrastructure, and services designed to cater to certain types of businesses. 40 However, an increasing number are mixed-use or urban, in character. 41

A. History of Development

Modern SEZs emerged out of several historical precedents. The island of Delos functioned as a free zone during the Greek and Roman empires by serving as a place where goods could be stored and exchanged free of local prohibitions and taxes. ⁴² Medieval and Renaissance-era city-states, such as those in the Hanseatic League, had almost complete autonomy

 $^{^{34}}$ Zetter & Ruaudel, supra note 4, at 4.

³⁵ Id

See Gokhan Akinci & James Crittle, Special Economic Zones: Performance, Lessons Learned, and Implications for Zone Development2-6, 9-22 (World Bank Foreign Investment Advisory Service, Working Paper No. 45869, 2008) (referring to SEZs as "geographically delimited areas administered by a single body, offering certain incentives . . . to businesses [within it]" and noting how they enhance competitiveness through special policy, regulatory frameworks, and administration); see also Claude Baissac, Brief History of SEZs and Overview of Policy Debates, in Special Economic Zones in Africa: COMPARING PERFORMANCE AND LEARNING FROM GLOBAL EXPERIENCE 23 (Thomas Farole ed., 2011) (defining SEZs as areas where the "rules of business are different from those that prevail in the national territory," generally with more liberal policies and more effective administration); Lotta Moberg, The Political Economy of Special Economic Zones (2015) (Ph.D. dissertation, George Mason University) (defining SEZs as "areas where

a government allows for different rules to apply than the rest of the country").

Baissac, *supra* note 35, at 24–25 (2011) (observing how some SEZ programs—as in the case of "single-factory zone" programs—do not even have a designated geographic area; instead companies can acquire SEZ status while being located anywhere in the country).

³⁸ Akinci & Crittle, *supra* note 35, at 9–12.

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ Id.

⁴¹ *Id.*

⁴² Baissac, *supra* note 35, at 31–32.



from the ruling powers around them and provided spaces for free trade and commerce.43

SEZs are designated geographic areas with a policy framework different from the typical policy surrounding them. This strategy of structural transformation has been around since at least the Roman Empire.

Colonial-era chartered territories and trading posts were independently administered by state-backed private companies. 44Some of these trading posts emerged in the modern era as prosperous and freeports, city-states including Singapore, Hong Kong, and Macau. 45 These also could be characterized as a type of SEZ.46

In the early twentieth century, freetrade zones ("FTZs"), or "free zones," existed near major international transit points, offering exemptions from tariffs for trade-related activities, including warehousing, packaging, sorting, exhibition, and sales. 47

In 1934, the United States adopted the Foreign Trade Zones Act,48 which created these types of zones to mitigate the damaging impact of high tariffs under the protectionist trade policies prevailing just before and during the early Great Depression under laws like the Smoot-Hawley Tariff Act. 49

FTZs were deemed outside the customs territory of the country, which meant businesses could import foreign products and sell them in foreign markets duty free, and only pay customs duties if and when products were sold in the domestic market.⁵⁰

FTZs evolved midthe twentiethcentury by opening up more to manufacturing industries, instead remaining restricted to trade activities.⁵¹

The starkest early example was the Shannon Free Zone (1959) which applied the FTZ model to a wide area located next to a major airport and offered ready-built industrial infrastructure and facilities. dedicated administrative support, and investment incentives.⁵²

The Shannon Free Zone model was copied and spread throughout developing countries under the name

⁴³ Id.

⁴⁴

Id.

⁴⁵

SeeAkinci & Crittle, supra note 35, at 14-16 (identifying Hong Kong, Macau, and Singapore as citywide freeport SEZs).

Baissac, supra note 35, at 32. Notably, however, the FTZ at the Port of Cadiz included substantial industrial production, even featuring one of the first Ford Motor Plants in Europe. Id.

Foreign Trade Zones Act, 19 U.S.C.A. § 81a (1934).

Tariff Act of 1930 (Smoot-Hawley Tariff Act), 46 Stat. 590; see also Baissac, supra note 35, at 32.

Akinci & Crittle, supra note 35, at 9, 52; see also, e.g., World Customs Org., Glossary of International CUSTOMS TERMS 17 (2013) (defining "free zone" as "a part of the territory of a Contracting Party where any goods introduced are generally regarded, insofar as import duties and taxes are concerned, as being outside the Customs Territory"); 19 U.S.C.A. § 81c (2003) (describing the U.S. Customs territory as distinct from the FTZs).

⁵¹ Baissac, supra note 35, at32.

Id. As another example of a growing openness of FTZs to manufacturing, in 1950, the United States amended the Foreign Trade Zones Act to allow for manufacturing activities. Id. However, this did not result in much manufacturing activity until an April 12, 1980 ruling from the U.S. Customs Service changed the formula for calculating the tariff applied to finished products sold from an FTZ to the domestic market so that domestic parts and labor were excluded from the value. ABrief History of the U.S. Foreign-Trade Zones Program. FOREIGN-TRADE ZONE RES. CTR., http://www.foreigntrade-zone.com/history.htm [http://perma.cc/M8N8-SV89] (last visited Dec. 3, 2017).f



processing zone" ("EPZ") from the 1960s to the 1990s.⁵³

For developing countries, EPZs were tools for stimulating export-led industrial development, which boosted employment and labor productivity, diversified the economy, generated foreign exchange, attracted foreign direct investment, and facilitated technology transfer.⁵⁴

Different kinds of zones exist: Free trade zones offer tariff exemptions; export processing zones stimulate industrial export activities.

EPZs also had an important function as policy incubators—they served as pilots for trade liberalization in the midst of protectionist import-substitution regimes, which generally prevailed in developing countries at the time.⁵⁵

Over time, EPZ programs grew increasingly open to a wider range of business activities, to linkages with local businesses outside the EPZs, and to domestic sales, as opposed to an exclusive focus on exports.⁵⁶

China took a monumental step in shaping the nature of SEZs in the early 1980s, when several local officials sought to boost economic growth in their jurisdictions by designating areas as free-market enclaves.⁵⁷

The idea was an outgrowth of the

Open Door reforms, which began in the 1970s as a controlled experiment of market-based reforms.⁵⁸ In 1980, the country designated four "special economic zones" (perhaps the first use of the term now used), which spanned large city-sized areas and granted a wide range of market policies affecting finance, labor, foreign investment, and trade.⁵⁹ Many of these SEZs, especially Shenzhen, experienced explosive growth in investment, wages, population, and living standards.⁶⁰

Beginning largely in the 1990s, zones in Latin America initiated another major shift in the nature of SEZs. 61 Whereas previous zones were primarily government-driven projects, SEZs began to increasingly rely on private-sector companies to finance, own, develop, and provide services to users. 62

This model has allowed the state to

Information/ff/en/1/1X000000/1X09VT4H.htm

[http://perma.cc/7BB3-KU5G]. It grew from a fishing village of 300,000 people to over fourteen million people today, many of them young people from rural areas in search of opportunities. See Da Wei David Wang, Continuity and Change in the Urban Villages of Shenzhen, 4 INT'L J. CHINA STUD. 233, 233–56 (2013). Many of the original fishing villagers became landowners in the city, profiting from the city's success. Id. at 246.

Baissac, *supra* note 35, at 33.

See generally THOMAS FAROLE & GOKHAN AKINCI, SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES: PROGRESS, EMERGING CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS (Thomas Farole & Gokhan Akinci eds., 2011) (evaluating the performance of SEZs in various countries).

⁵⁵ *Id.* (describing policy incubation as an important dynamic benefit of SEZs around the world).

Baissac, *supra* note 35, at 28.

Moberg, *supra* note 35, at 92–93.

Douglas Zhihua Zeng, How Do Special Economic Zones and Industrial Clusters Drive China's Rapid Development?, inBUILDING ENGINES FOR GROWTH AND COMPETITIVENESS IN CHINA: EXPERIENCE WITH SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES AND INDUSTRIAL CLUSTERS 1, 8–9 (Douglas Zhihua Zeng ed., 2010) (noting how Chinese Premier Deng Xiaping referred to the choice to only open certain segments of the economy to the market as "crossing the river by touching the stones").

 $^{^{59}}$ Id

Id. As an example, Shenzhen's GDP grew by fiftyeight percent per year between 1980 and 1984, and its GDP per capita grew from under \$100 at formation to over \$25,000 in 2016. Shenzhen (Guangdong) City Information, HKTDC RES. (Aug. 30, 2017), http://chinatrade-research.hktdc.com/business-news/article/Factsand-Figures/Shenzhen-Guangdong-City-

Baissac, *supra* note 35, at 37.

⁶² Id. (noting how this shift was driven by the need to limit government spending and to regenerate stagnate free zone programs).



concentrate its resources on providing effective regulation, ideally through a dedicated SEZ regulatory authority that independently performs or coordinates many of the functions of government in a streamlined fashion. 63

In general, anecdotal evidence suggests that SEZs managed by privatecompanies or public-private sector partnerships have delivered higher quality services and facilities, better social and environmental outcomes, and higher financial returns at a lower cost than government-run SEZs.⁶⁴

C. Function of SEZs

While SEZs can bring static benefits such as employment generation and foreign direct investment to an area, their greatest potential is in delivering dynamic benefits, especially long-term structural transformation, upgrades to domestic economy capacity, and changes to nationwide policy. 65

Evidence suggests that private investment and management in an SEZ brings better static (investment, employment, etc.) and dynamic (structural change) results than the alternative.

Examples of countries that have achieved these dynamic benefits in a very noticeable way include Mexico, Costa Rica, Dominican Republic, China, South Korea, Taiwan, and Mauritius. 66

Many, if not most, SEZs have failed to achieve significant dynamic benefits; some may have even been counterproductive. For instance, rather than serving as catalysts of good policy, some SEZs may have acted as "pressure valves" that allow elites to avoid or delay nationwide reform by diverting social movements and isolating their impact to zones.⁶⁷

Many SEZs impose economic costs that exceed their benefits, primarily when SEZs rely heavily on the public sector for financing or operation or on massive tax breaks to attract investment. ⁶⁸ Numerous reports have observed that many SEZs have not performed well at advancing beyond low-wage/low-skill jobs, stimulating local economic activity, or promoting labor and environmental performance. ⁶⁹

SEZs have generally been successful at job creation and access to income for women, though there have been significant problems with pay equity, denying women access to attain higher paying positions, discriminatory working conditions, and sexual harassment.⁷⁰

⁶³ Akinci & Crittle, *supra* note 35, at 5.

⁶⁴ *Id.* at 45–47.

⁶⁵ See id. at 32; see also Thomas Farole, Special Economic Zones in Africa: Comparing Performance and Learning from Global Experience 3–16 (2011).

⁶⁶ See Thomas Farole & Gokhan Akinci, Introduction, inSpecial Economic Zones: Progress, EMERGING CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS, supra

note 53, at 1, 8; Akinci & Crittle, supra note 35, at 26, 36.

⁶⁷ Akinci & Crittle, *supra* note 35, at 4, 34, 42.

⁶⁸ *Id.* at 32–34, 39, 45–47.

See, e.g., Sheba Tejani, The Gender Dimension of Special Economic Zones, in Special Economic Zones: Progress, Emerging Challenges, and Future DIRECTIONS, supra note 53, at 247, 262-72; Int'l Labour Office, Report of the InFocus Initiative on Export Processing Zones (EPZs): Latest Trends and Policy Developments in EPZs, at 3-9, ILO Doc. GB.301/ESP/5 (March 2008); Int'l Labour Office, Labour and Social Issues Relating to Export Processing Zones: Report for Discussion at the Tripartite Meeting of Export Processing Zones-Operating Countries, at 47, ILO Doc. TMEPZ (1998). However, SEZs may perform better, in relative terms, than non-zone businesses and performance appears stronger in privately managed geographically demarcated SEZs, as opposed to publicly operated zones and "single-factory" zones scattered throughout the country. Akinci & Crittle, supra note 35, at 17.

See Tejani, supra note 68, at 269–70; see also Int'l



SEZs are becoming increasingly important vehicles for wide-ranging reforms.⁷¹ Previously, their primary function was to reduce tariff barriers between countries.⁷²

SEZs have several weaknesses, as well: failure to deliver structural change, functioning as a tool for delaying much-needed reforms, imposing economic costs, and scandal are all possibilities.

Today, with overall effective tariff rates very low worldwide, their primary value is in easing other constraints in the investment climate through reducing regulatory barriers. unnecessary streamlining customs inspection and procedures. facilitating compliance human development (especially skills), easing access to investment approvals and business licenses, delivering reliable infrastructure, and improving access to work visas for foreign workers.⁷³

Generous tax incentives no longer offset disadvantages in these areas.⁷⁴

III. THE REFUGEE CITIES CONCEPT

The refugee cities concept is an evolution of the SEZ model. Whereas traditional zones have prioritized tax reductions, customs exemptions, business registration and licensing, and similar measures, refugee cities would prioritize

Labour Office, Report of the InFocus Initiative on Export Processing Zones (EPZs): Latest Trends and Policy Developments in EPZs, supra note 68, at 4–7. migrant integration.75

In refugee cities, migrants could legally work, operate their own businesses, access goods and services, have property rights, and enjoy other rights and privileges ordinarily denied to them. 76 Ideally, refugee cities would also include aspects of well-performing SEZs, such as an effective and efficient regulatory system, private-sector investment, and trade facilitation.⁷⁷

However, they would also go beyond these elements, offering diverse, multi-use urban areas, support for entrepreneurs small-sized and medium-sized enterprises. healthcare, trauma counseling, education. financial assistance. and other support with collaboration international organizations and non-governmental organizations.78

A. Benefits of Refugee Cities

1. Host Countries

For countries hosting large numbers of refugees, refugee cities convert a likely problem into an economic growth opportunity. ⁷⁹ Since these countries cannot keep migrants outside their borders for both practical and political reasons, they must decide how they handle migrants. ⁸⁰

If they house migrants in typical

Akinci & Crittle, *supra* note 35, at 6, 42–43.

⁷² See id. at 13.

⁷³ See id. at 57–58.

⁷⁴ See id. at 49.

⁷⁵ See REFUGEE CITIES, REFUGEE CITIES: EXPANDING OPTIONS FOR DISPLACED PEOPLE THROUGH SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONES 4 (Nov. 2016), https://refugeecities.files.wordpress.com/2016/11/refugeecities-concept-paper-november-2016.pdf[http://perma.cc/9ZKR-2AKU].

⁷⁶ See id. at 6–7.

⁷⁷ See id.

⁷⁸ See id. at 8.

⁷⁹ See id. at 6.

⁸⁰ See id.



camps without economic opportunities, the migrants will tend to drain public resources and become more prone to radicalization and violence.⁸¹

The migrants will also tend to find ways to leave or avoid the camps and work in the informal sector "where they have less of a positive impact on the economy than if they were allowed to work legally."82

Refugee cities would focus on migrant integration. The migrants needn't be a strain on national resources, nor take jobs from the domestic populace.

On the other hand, efforts to allow refugees to work anywhere in the country face political resistance, especially due to the fear that they will take away employment opportunities from citizens.⁸³

Refugee cities would help countries realize some of the potential benefits of refugees⁸⁴ by designating new spaces where refugees can work and start businesses, and where new foreign investment can be brought in, without

competing for existing resources in existing spaces.⁸⁵

Host populations could also live and work in the new spaces and benefit from the opportunities and infrastructure developed there. 86

Refugee cities are better tools for accomplishing the goals of refugee camps. Host countries often use refugee camps to cluster refugees to facilitate aid distribution, avoid competition for jobs, and more easily locate and, eventually, repatriate them.⁸⁷

Camps often do not accomplish this goal well, however, since refugees often avoid them because of the few economic opportunities there.⁸⁸ Refugee cities can reverse this trend by attracting migrants rather than repelling them.⁸⁹

2. International Community and Aid Agencies

Refugee cities can also offer the international community a more cost-effective response to refugee crises than existing humanitarian methods. International organizations traditionally respond to mass migration with food aid, tents, water, basic security, and emergency medical care. 90

See generally Rebecca Horn, Exploring the Impact of Displacement and Encampment on Domestic Violence in the Kakuma Refugee Camp, 23 J. REFUGEE STUD. 356 (2010) (analyzing the connection between refugee camp residents' structural conditions and domestic violence); see alsoBARBARA SUDE ET AL., LESSENING THE RISK OF REFUGEE RADICALIZATION 3 (2015), https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/perspectives/PE100/PE166/RAND_PE166.pdf [http://perma.cc/9KWK-J6ZD] (discussing the connection between refugee camp conditions and radicalization).

REFUGEE CITIES, *supra* note 74, at 6; *see also, e.g.*, BETTS ET AL., *supra* note 32, at 5.

See ZETTER & RUAUDEL, supra note 4 (studying twenty countries hosting seventy percent of the world's refugees and observing a general reluctance to ease restrictions on refugees' ability to work).

See Betts et al., supra note 32, at 16–20(covering the economic benefits of allowing refugees to enter the labor market).

See REFUGEE CITIES, supra note 74, at 6–7.

⁸⁶ *Id.* at 4.

⁸⁷ See MILICA Z. BOOKMAN, AFTER INVOLUNTARY MIGRATION: THE POLITICAL ECONOMY OF REFUGEE ENCAMPMENTS 134–36 (2002).

⁸⁸ Id. at 98.

See REFUGEE CITIES, supra note 74, at 6.

⁹⁰ See U.N. ECONOMIC & SOCIAL COUNCIL, EVALUATION OF THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED NATIONS HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES 4 (2015).



Refugee cities would offer these services, while also creating a platform for migrants to become self-supporting.⁹¹

Private capital can be invested in real estate, businesses, and infrastructure and can generate returns from these productive assets. 92 Donor institutions can simply facilitate and abet this investment through technical support, investment guarantees, and monitoring and evaluation. 93

For developed countries, such as in Europe, that are weary or fearful of migrants passing through refugee hosting countries and entering their territory, refugee cities could provide refugees with other attractive areas for settling. 94 Rather than attempting to find opportunities in an advanced economy, they can find opportunities in the countries hosting them. 95

Unlike standard procedure with refugees, refugee cities can bring returns to those that invest in them – furthermore, jobs can even be created for non-refugees by the economy created by a refugee city.

3. Benefits for Businesses and Investors

Refugee cities can also open up new markets and underutilized talent for foreign and domestic investors. 96 Refugees and other migrants are among the most motivated and enterprising workers. 97

However, their abilities are withheld from the labor force. 98 Businesses in a refugee city could benefit from their abilities, as well as from other regulatory and business environment reforms introduced from the SEZ concept. 99

4. Benefits for Refugees

Most importantly, refugee cities allow migrants themselves a rare opportunity to benefit themselves and their families through their own work. 100 They can earn income, experience the psychological benefits of work, and, perhaps, help rebuild their home countries from a better position than if they had lived in a refugee camp. 101

D. Progress

Significant strides are being made migrant-inclusive toward developing SEZs or refugee cities. Several projects I have consulted on are, as of the date of publication, underway in Africa (primarily the transit countries support from Northern Africa) with governments European desiring provide alternatives for migrants who are otherwise seeking refuge and opportunity within Europe.

Jordan has also made significant strides toward developing migrantinclusive SEZs. In 2016, Jordan formed a trade agreement with the European Union that intends to attract EU-oriented

⁹¹ See REFUGEE CITIES, supra note 74, at 3.

⁹² See id. at 1, 4.

⁹³ See id. at 7.

⁹⁴ See id. at 6.

⁹⁵ See id. at 4.

⁹⁶ See id. at 7.

⁹⁷ See, e.g., Personal Income of Migrants,

AUSTRALIA. 2009-10.Australian BUREAU OF STATISTICS(June 2017. 11:30 AM). 9. http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/3418.0 [http://perma.cc/3C8X-VW8U] (analyzing activities of humanitarian migrants in Australia and of income observing above-average rates entrepreneurship).

⁹⁸ See ZETTER & RUAUDEL, supra note 4, at 4.

⁹⁹ See REFUGEE CITIES, supra note 74, at 4.

¹⁰⁰ See id. at 8.

¹⁰¹ See id.



investors to Jordan's SEZs in order to employ both Syrians and Jordanians. 102 The agreement grants manufacturers in eighteen of Jordan's industrial zones concessionary access to the European common market if at least fifteen percent of their employees are Syrian refugees. 103 The agreement covers fifty-two product groups and will last for ten years. 104

Also, in 2016, the World Bank launched a \$300 million Program for Results Loan to improve Jordan's investment climate, attract investment, implement labor market reforms, and allow the Syrian labor force to further Jordan's economic growth. 105 There is a focus on supporting special facilitation, investment promotion, and Syrian entrepreneurship activities in existing SEZs. 106 Disbursements linked to transparency requirements ensuring compliance with good labor practices. 107

As one of the primary destinations for middle-eastern refugees, Jordan has already made agreements with the World Bank and European Union, which provide jobs for migrants in Jordan as well as Jordanian nationals.

Jordan set a global target of bringing 200,000 Syrian refugees into the formal labor market and began issuing work permits free of charge to Syrians for a three-month period. 108

It also removed the requirement for holding a valid passport to obtain a work permit, which was impossible for many Syrians to fulfill.¹⁰⁹

Instead, Ministry of the Interior identification cards now serve as a substitute for a passport.¹¹⁰

The King Hussein Bin Talal Development Area ("KHBTDA"), one of Jordan's SEZs, has been identified as a strong option for allowing refugees access to the labor market.¹¹¹ KHBTDA is located nearby the Za'atari refugee camp in Mafraq, which houses roughly 80,000 Syrian refugees.¹¹²

IV. LAW APPLICABLE TO REFUGEES

The laws applicable to refugees depend on the countries in which they find themselves. International law pertaining to refugees is relatively well-developed; however, the strongest rights are conferred under treaties to which countries may or may not be a party. Even if they are parties, the countries

¹⁰² See European Commission Press Release IP/16/2570, EU-Jordan: Towards a Stronger Partnership (July 20, 2016), http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-16-2570_en.htm [http://perma.cc/V778-NCKP].

¹⁰³ Id. (stating that after three years, the threshold will rise to twenty-five percent, and the agreement modifies the rules of origin applicable to qualifying products so that they are eligible for the same benefits applied to least-developed countries under the Everything but Arms Agreement).

¹⁰⁴ Id

¹⁰⁵ See The World Bank Group, Jordan — Economic Opportunities for Jordanians and Syrian Refugees Program-for-Results Project, at 1–3, Report No. 108201-JO (Sept. 2, 2016), http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/802781476219 833115/pdf/Jordan-PforR-PAD-P159522-FINAL-DISCLOSURE-10052016.pdf [http://perma.cc/6GQ3-DJZ5].

¹⁰⁶ Id. at 5.

¹⁰⁷ Id. at 82.

¹⁰⁸ *Id.* at 4.

¹⁰⁹ Id.

¹¹⁰ Id

Bethan Staton, Jordan Experiment Spurs Jobs forRefugees, News Deeply: Refugees Deeply (July 25, 2016)

https://www.newsdeeply.com/refugees/articles/2016/07/25/jordan-experiment-spurs-jobs-for-refugees [http://perma.cc/7M7D-GBWW].

¹¹² Id.



may have made reservations regarding certain provisions, thereby limiting their applicability.

Domestic legislation varies greatly across countries. In most cases, it falls well short of international law, particularly in those countries hosting most of the world's refugees. Migrantinclusive SEZs, or refugee cities, could help countries move significantly closer to alignment with the standards under international law in designated areas.

This Part analyzes both international law and domestic law. Regarding domestic law, it provides a general overview of countries hosting large refugee populations and then takes a more specific look at the law pertaining to refugees in Turkey—the largest host of refugees.

A. International Law

While certain standards are enshrined in customary international law and in general treaties regarding humanitarian law and human rights (such as the Geneva Conventions), the most specific and protective sources of international law pertaining to refugees is the Convention and Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees.¹¹³

States that are parties to the Refugee Convention are obligated to certain minimum standards of treatment toward refugees within their borders. 114 To qualify as a "refugee" entitled to protection under the Convention, a person must be outside the country of his or her nationality and unable to avail him-or-herself of the protection of that country 115 because of a well-founded fear of persecution "for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership in a particular social group, or political opinion." 116

There are 145 states party to the Refugee Convention. 117

Among those members hosting the largest numbers of refugees as of the end of 2016.

These states include Turkey (2.9 million). Iran (979,400). Uganda

¹¹³ Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, 189 U.N.T.S. July 1951, http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/instree/v1crs.htm [http://perma.cc/KV3T-5BBF] [hereinafter 1951 Refugee Convention]; Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, Jan. 31, 1967. 606 U.N.T.S. http://hrlibrary.umn.edu/instree/v2prsr.htm [http://perma.cc/8NXC-JDQG]. When the 1951 Refugee Convention was adopted, it only applied to people displaced by events occurring before January 1, 1951 (i.e., because of World War II). 1951 Refugee Convention, art. 1(A)(2). Furthermore, states had the option of either applying it only to people displaced from events in Europe or from anywhere in the world, Id. art. 1(B)(1). However, the 1967 Protocol amended the 1951 Convention by removing the date restriction. Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, art. 1.

 $^{^{114}}$ $\,$ See $\,$ generally 1951 Refugee Convention, $\,$ supra note $\,112$ (providing wide-ranging obligations regarding the treatment of refugees).

¹¹⁵ *Id.* art. 1(A)(2). Stateless persons are also protected. For them, "country of his [or her] nationality" is effectively replaced with country of his or her place of habitual residence. *Id.*

¹¹⁶ Id. There are certain types of people explicitly excluded from protection under the Refugee Convention. This includes people who can now receive protection from the country of their nationality. Id. art 1(C)(5). It also includes people receiving assistance from agencies of the UN other than the UNHCR. Id. art 1(D). For example, Palestinian refugees who receive assistance from the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East ("UNRWA"). UNHCR, Revised Statement on Article 1D of the 1951 Convention (Oct. 2009), http://www.unhcr.org/4add88379.pdf [http://perma.cc/Q7UV-3Q9Z]. War criminals and others who have committed serious non-political crimes are also not protected. 1951 Refugee Convention, supra note 112, art 1(F)

^{117 1951} Refugee Convention, supra note 112. There are 142 countries party to both the Refugee Convention and the 1967 Protocol. *Id.* The United States is a party to the 1967 Protocol only. See Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112.

¹¹⁸ Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, *supra* note 112; *see also* UNHCR, *supra* note 4, at 14.

¹¹⁹ Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112; see also UNHCR, supra note 4, at 15.



(940,800), 120 (791,600), 121 Ethiopia (669,500), 122 Democratic Germany Republic of Congo (452,000), 123 and Kenya (451,100).¹²⁴ Notable non-members of the 1967 Protocol with large refugee populations include: Pakistan million), 125 Lebanon (1 million), 126 and (685.200). 127 Jordan Additionally. Bangladesh, which began hosting a sudden influx of Rohingya refugees in the last half of 2017, is not a party to the Refugee Convention. 128

The Refugee Convention contains several provisions that are relevant to the refugee cities concept, including rights to property, work, residency and movement, and administrative facilities. These are discussed below.

1. Rights to Property

First, refugees have the right to property. Article 13 requires states to "accord to a refugee treatment as favourable as possible and, in any event, not less favourable than that accorded to aliens generally in the same

circumstances, ¹²⁹ as regards the acquisition of movable and immovable property and other rights pertaining thereto, and to leases and other contracts relating to movable and immovable property." ¹³⁰ Consequently, if a country generally allows aliens within its borders to purchase land, own shares of stock in a company, or lease real estate, it must also allow refugees this same right. ¹³¹

Several nations with large refugee populations haven't ratified the Refugee Convention of 1951 – this means that they can interpret the guidelines of the RC in a more flexible way – perhaps through refugee cities.

Treatment "not less favourable than that accorded to aliens generally" does not include rights that are only given to aliens by legislative reciprocity, 132 nor treatment conferred because of special economic and customs agreements between nations. 133 Therefore, refugees can only enjoy those rights that are accorded to aliens in the absence of reciprocity requirements or special agreements. 134

However, many commentators consider the right to acquire movable and immovable property as now recognized by

¹²⁰ Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112; see also UNHCR, supra note 4, at 15.

¹²¹ Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, *supra* note 112; *see also* UNHCR, *supra* note 4, at 15.

¹²² Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, *supra* note 112; *see also* UNHCR, *supra* note 4, at 15.

 $^{^{123}}$ $\,$ Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112; see also UNHCR, supra note 4, at 16.

 $^{^{124}}$ $\,$ Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112; see~also UNHCR, supra note 4, at 16.

¹²⁵ See Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112 (not listing Pakistan as a state party); see also UNHCR, supra note 4, at 14.

¹²⁶ See Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112 (not listing Lebanon as a state party); see also UNHCR, supra note 4, at 15.

¹²⁷ See Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112 (not listing Jordan as a state party); see also UNHCR, supra note 4, at 15.

¹²⁸ See Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees, supra note 112 (not listing Bangladesh as a state party).

¹²⁹ For the meaning of "in the same circumstances," see *infra* note 135.

^{130 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 13.

¹³¹ Atle Grahl-Madsen, Commentary on the Refugee Convention 1951: Articles 2-11, 13-37, art. 13 (Oct. 1997),www.unhcr.org/3d4ab5fb9.pdf

[[]http://perma.cc/V7UW-9X7Y]. This article includes the right to receive compensation in the case of expropriation, which is now enshrined in customary international law as well. *Id.*

That is, rights conditioned on the alien's home state conferring similar rights. *Id.*

¹³³ Id.

¹³⁴ Id.



customary international law, which would make refugees entitled to the right even if the country's laws condition the right upon reciprocity.¹³⁵

2. Rights to Work

Secondly, refugees have the rights to work and to operate their own businesses. These rights are included in Articles 17, 18, 19, and 24 of the Refugee Convention.

Article 17 of the Refugee Convention covers wage-earning employment.

It states in the first paragraph that [t]he Contracting States shall accord to refugees lawfully staying in their territory the most favorable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country in the same circumstances, 136 as regards the right to engage in wage-earning employment." 137

Commentators assert that "wage-earning employment" includes all kinds of employment that are not self-employment or a "liberal profession" (two categories treated in Articles 18 and 19, respectively), including work in factories, agriculture, offices, sales, domestic work, and virtually all other industrial or service sector occupations, including state

employment. 138

The standard of "most favourable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country" goes beyond the standard expressed for property rights (at least as favorable as treatment "accorded to aliens generally"). 139

It requires states to give refugees the same rights regarding employment as are given to any other aliens, even if they are given in the context of a special relationship with another state or under international agreements.¹⁴⁰

The purpose of this requirement, as expressed by the French delegate to the Convention, was to not deprive refugees of the support that could have only been obtained by the work of their home government, since refugees, by their very nature, are denied such support.¹⁴¹

According to article 17 of the Refugee convention, refugees are to receive the same rights regarding employment as any other aliens – up to being able to work for the host government.

On its face, this paragraph would appear to give refugees the same rights to receive work permits or visas as any other alien. Refugees would be subject to the most lenient requirements and standards for such permits or visas as are imposed on foreign nationals from other

¹³⁵ Id

[&]quot;In the same circumstances" is understood as including any requirements (such as to length and conditions of residence) that aliens would have to fulfill to enjoy the right in question, except for those requirements that a refugee is incapable of fulfilling by virtue of being a refugee. 1951 Refugee Convention, supra note 112, art. 6. So, requirements such as education, examinations, membership in associations, length of residency, financial solvency, etc., that are generally imposed on aliens would need to be fulfilled by refugees as well. Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 6, cmt. 3. However, for requirements the refugee is incapable of fulfilling, such as, potentially, the inability to produce a certificate of nationality or to produce a certificate of graduation from a university in the home state, the state must provide other means of satisfying the requirements. Id.

^{137 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 17.

Grahl-Madsen, *supra* note 130, art. 17, cmt. 4.

^{139 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 17.

 $^{^{140}}$ Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 17, cmt. 3.

¹⁴¹ UN Economic & Social Council, Ad Hoc Committee on Statelessness and Related Problems, First Session: Summary Record of the Thirteenth Meeting, REFWORLD (Feb. 6, 1950), http://www.refworld.org/docid/40aa1cc34.html [http://perma.cc/4JNB-MXFK].



countries.¹⁴² This would include work visas that are otherwise only issued on the basis of reciprocity.¹⁴³

Refugees would benefit regardless of whether their own government issues such visas or permits.¹⁴⁴

The second paragraph of Article 17 goes further by requiring states to exempt refugees from "restrictive measures imposed on aliens or the employment of aliens for the protection of the national labour market" if they have completed three years' residency in the country or if they have a spouse or children who are nationals of the country. 145

This paragraph has its origin in earlier conventions pertaining to refugees in 1933 and 1938, in which similar paragraphs were drafted, despite the economic depression at the time—a period in which lawmakers became especially concerned with protecting nations' jobs for their own nationals. 146

It was felt that such restrictions should not apply to refugees who had a special link to their country of refuge.¹⁴⁷

Based on his reading of the history of this paragraph, commentator Grahl-Madsen asserts that the requirement of three years' residency is to be interpreted as broadly as possible, even including individuals who have not had the status of refugees for the entire period of their residence, individuals whose presence has not been legal, and individuals who have spent short periods travelling or visiting other countries. 148

The second paragraph only lifts restrictions that intend to prevent competition for domestic jobs. 149

Measures that restrict employment of foreign nationals for other purposes, such as national security, are not affected by this paragraph.¹⁵⁰

The third paragraph of Article 17 requires states to "give sympathetic consideration" to giving refugees the same right to wage-earning employment as nationals, especially refugees who came to the country as part of labor recruitment programs or immigration schemes.¹⁵¹

This provision obligates governments to undergo a good faith process in which they consider fully integrating refugees into the nation's labor market.¹⁵² It does so with extra force if the country attracted the refugees under the promise of having the right to work.¹⁵³

Refugees are given certain liberties regarding the requirements and restrictions about their stay in their host country. This guarantees a good faith process for integrating into the labor market.

The effect of Article 17 is that states must place refugees on par with the most favorably treated foreign nationals when it comes to the right to employment—or better, if the refugees have lived in the country for three years or have a spouse

 $^{^{142}}$ Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 17.

¹⁴³ Id.

¹⁴⁴ Id.

¹⁴⁵ 1951 Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 17.

¹⁴⁶ Grahl-Madsen, *supra* note 130, art. 17, cmts. 1, 5.

League of Nations Doc. G.A. C.2/1933 (1933).

Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 17, cmt. 7.

¹⁴⁹ *Id.* art. 17, cmt. 5.

¹⁵⁰ Id.

 $^{^{151}\}quad$ 1951 Refugee Convention, supra note 112, art. 17(3).

¹⁵² James Hathaway, The Rights of Refugees Under International Law763 (2005).

¹⁵³ Grahl-Madsen, *supra* note 130, art. 17, cmt. 12.



or children who are nationals.¹⁵⁴ In this latter case, the refugees are not held back by restrictions imposed on the employment of foreign nationals for the purpose of preserving jobs for the country's own citizens.¹⁵⁵ States must also favorably consider fully assimilating refugees into their labor market, giving them national treatment.¹⁵⁶

Article 18 extends similar, but slightly different, rights to self-employed refugees. It requires states to:

[A]ccord to a refugee lawfully in territory treatment favourable as possible and, in any event, not less favourable than that accorded to aliens generally in the same circumstances, 157 as regards the right to engage on his account in agriculture. industry. handicrafts. and toestablish commerce and industrial commercial companies. 158

The range of activities covered under this provision is the broadest possible. 159

The term "lawfully in their territory" does not include the "staying" component that is in other articles using this phrase, such as was seen in Article 17.160

This suggests that short-term visitors and persons merely travelling through the state are covered, provided they are refugees and their presence is legal.¹⁶¹

Additionally, the standard of treatment is potentially lower than the standard for wage-earning employment in Article 17. It is the same as was observed for the right to property: "[A]s favourable as possible... [but] not less favourable than that accorded to aliens generally in the same circumstances." 162

Therefore, if the country generally allows aliens to be self-employed in the special of reciprocity absence orarrangements with other states, the country must grant the same rights to refugees. Article 19 provides similar rights refugees "liberal to in professions."163

States must "accord to refugees lawfully staying in their territory who hold diplomas recognized by the competent authorities of that State, and who are desirous of practicing a liberal profession, treatment as favourable as possible and, in any event, not less favourable than that accorded to aliens generally in the same circumstances." 164

Articles 18 and 19 of the Refugee Convention specify that refugees must be treated at least as well as any other aliens, and favorably if possible.

According to Grahl-Madsen, the term "diploma" is to be understood as "any degree, examination, admission, authorization, completion of course which is required for the exercise of a profession," such as admission to the bar (for lawyers). 165

¹⁵⁴ *Id.* art. 17.

¹⁵⁵ Id.

¹⁵⁶ Id.

 $^{^{157}\,}$ For the meaning of "in the same circumstance," see supra note 135.

^{158 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 18.

Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 18, cmt. 4.

¹⁶⁰ Id. art. 18, cmt. 2.

¹⁶¹ Id.

Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 13(II).

 $^{^{163}}$ 1951 Refugee Convention, supra note 112, art. 19(1).

¹⁶⁴ Id.

Grahl-Madsen, *supra* note 130, art. 19, cmt. 3.



The term "liberal profession" is intended to include persons who act on their own in an occupation that requires certain qualifications, such as an advanced degree or license. 166 Lawyers, doctors, dentists, engineers, architects, and probably scientists would be included. 167

Finally, Article 24 requires states to extend to refugees many of the same labor and social security protections as nationals.

This includes covering them under any laws or regulations dealing with remuneration, work hours, overtime, holidays, child labor, apprenticeship and training, work-related injury, maternity, sickness, disability, and unemployment. 168

3. Residency and Movement

Third, refugees have rights pertaining to residency and movement within the territory. Article 26 requires each state party to "accord to refugees lawfully in its territory the right to choose their place of residence and to move freely within its territory, subject to any regulations applicable to aliens generally in the same circumstances." 169

The right to choose a residence and to move about freely is distinct from the right to employment in Articles 17–19. To the extent that the country limits rights to employment to certain areas, that would not technically affect the right of refugees to move throughout the country or settle outside those areas, even though it might do so in practice. 170

Conversely, if the country requires aliens generally to only reside or travel in certain areas, this would also apply to refugees, even if they have the technical right to be employed anywhere in the country in accordance with Article 17.¹⁷¹

4. Administrative Facilities

Fourth, states are required to provide administrative assistance to refugees. Article 25 obligates states to arrange for administrative assistance to be provided to refugees when they would normally only be able to obtain that assistance from a foreign country. This includes documents and certifications like birth, marriage, and death certificates, affidavits, and divorce judgments (or substitute instruments).

It also includes broader forms of assistance, such as correspondence, investigations, and counselling.¹⁷³

The administrative requirements of host governments according to the RC are something that refugee cities are able to deliver on: one-stop shops and other best practices can streamline the screening and residency processes.

Relatedly, Articles 27 and 28 obligate states to allow access to identity papers¹⁷⁴

¹⁶⁶ Id. art. 19, cmt. 4.

¹⁶⁷ Id.

^{168 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 24.

¹⁶⁹ *Id.* art. 26.

 $^{^{170}}$ Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 26, cmt. 5 (observing that "in so far as there are restrictions on the

freedom to seek whatever employment one might desire, the right to choose one's place of residence may be restricted in fact though not in law").

¹⁷¹ Id. art. 26, cmt. 6 (describing situations in which immigrants are only admitted on the condition that they remain in certain regions of the country and how such restrictions would apply to refugees as well).

^{172 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 25.

 $^{^{173}}$ Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 25, cmts. 1–2.

^{174 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 27 (giving the right to identity papers to any refugee "who does not possess a valid travel document").



and travel documents,¹⁷⁵ respectively. Article 34 requires states to facilitate the assimilation of refugees, to expedite naturalization proceedings, and to reduce as far as possible the charges and costs of such proceedings.¹⁷⁶

Refugee cities can be well-positioned to fulfill these requirements concerning administrative assistance by adopting mechanisms employed by SEZs, such as one-stop shops and special dedicated regulatory authorities. Such mechanisms can greatly streamline administrative approvals both onsite and online, 177 which would help overcome the procedural burdens and delays that currently face refugees. 178

5. Additional and Blanket Rights

Other relevant protections in the Refugee Convention include the right of association, ¹⁷⁹ free access to the courts, ¹⁸⁰ housing, ¹⁸¹ education, ¹⁸² and welfare. ¹⁸³

Several of the protections for refugees are considered so fundamental and reaffirmed in other international instruments that they are considered customary international law. 184 These include the principle of non-refoulement, 185 non-penalization, 186 and non-discrimination. 187

Finally, the Refugee Convention contains a requirement for states to "accord to refugees the same treatment as is accorded to aliens generally," unless other articles require more favorable treatment. 188

¹⁷⁵ Id. art. 28 (requiring states to issue refugees documents for the purpose of travelling outside their territory, subject to certain specified exemptions and restrictions).

¹⁷⁶ Id. art. 34.

See supra notes 62–64 and accompanying text.

¹⁷⁸ See infra note 207–210 and accompanying text (discussing the bureaucratic hurdles, costs, and delays associated with refugee status determinations, work permit applications, and other procedures).

^{179 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 15 (granting lawful refugees the most favorable treatment accorded to nationals of a foreign country regarding non-political and non-profit-making associations and trade unions).

¹⁸⁰ *Id.* art. 16 (conferring free access to the courts of law to the same degree as nationals for refugees who are habitual residents (including legal assistance) and, for non-habitual residents, to the same degree as nationals of the country of habitual residence).

¹⁸¹ Id. art. 21 (according to lawful refugees "treatment as favourable as possible and, in any event, not less favourable than that accorded to aliens generally in the same circumstances" as regards housing laws, regulations, or other public agency controls).

¹⁸² Id. art. 22 (providing refugees the same treatment as nationals as regards elementary education and

[&]quot;treatment as favourable as possible and, in any event, not less favourable than that accorded to aliens generally in the same circumstances," as regards non-elementary education).

¹⁸³ Id. art. 23 (securing for lawful refugees the same treatment as nationals as regards "public relief and assistance").

¹⁸⁴ See UNHCR, Introductory Note by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, inConvention & Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees 3 (2010), http://www.unhcr.org/3b66c2aa10.pdf [http://perma.cc/9HW3-HDTZ].

^{185 1951} Refugee Convention, *supra* note 112, art. 33. "Non-refoulement" is a prohibition on expelling or returning refugees against their will to any territories where they fear threats to life or freedom. UNHCR, *supra* note 183, at 3.

^{186 1951}Refugee Convention, supra note 112, art. 31.

¹⁸⁷ *Id.* art. 3.

¹⁸⁸ *Id.* art. 7(1).



This blanket requirement covers all those benefits that aliens generally might enjoy that are not mentioned in the Refugee Convention. 189

The phrase "aliens generally" means that the requirement excludes benefits conferred under special arrangements with other countries or benefits granted on the basis of reciprocity. 190

Refugees enjoy the same rights as other aliens in the host country. If aliens of a certain country enjoy benefits based on legislative reciprocity between the two countries, refugees may also gain these benefits after three years' residence in the host nation.

This would naturally include all those rights provided under customary international law, such as the right to leave the territory of the state, protection from confiscation of property without compensation, and the right to not be expelled without cause.

Moreover, refugees enjoy any benefits aliens that are conditioned on legislative reciprocity after three years' residence. 191

This means that benefits that are only conferred upon foreign nationals if those individuals' home states confer

similar benefits on nationals of the other are available to refugees, notwithstanding the refugee's home state's policies.

B. Domestic Laws Pertaining to Refugees

Domestic law is typically far more restrictive toward refugees than the Refugee Convention. Even though many of the countries hosting large numbers of the world's refugees are parties to the convention, few fully apply key rights, especially work rights.

Common concerns supporting these restrictions are the fear that refugees will decrease the supply of jobs available to citizens, strain and distort an already weak labor market, reduce wages and working conditions, encourage refugees to claim citizenship, and pose security risks. 192

Domestic law is noticeably more restrictive than the RC: work rights are especially targeted. Refugees usually are not allowed to work in government, security, or defence – however, some countries restrict access to other sectors, as well.

Turkey is one example. The vast majority of forcibly displaced people within it do not meet the technical definition of "refugee" and thus do not have access to the rights granted by the Refugee Convention.

Nevertheless, Turkey has progress since the beginning of the Syrian refugee crisis at modifying its legal framework to extend more rights to

¹⁸⁹ Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 7, cmt. 2.

See supra note 32 and accompanying text. Grahl-Madsen, however, notes that reciprocity requirements may not apply at all if the benefits are ones that a country is prepared to grant to any alien and any number of aliens (as opposed to ones conferred on the basis of a particularly close relationship), since these are effectively a form of retaliation against the refugee's home state, but transmitted through the refugee, who has no power to affect his home state's policies. Grahl-Madsen, supra note 130, art. 7, cmt. 5.

¹⁹¹ 1951 Refugee Convention, supra note 112, art. 7(2).

Zetter & Ruaudel, supra note 4, at viii, xi.



refugees. 193 The refugee cities approach could help advance these efforts.

1. Overview of Domestic Law

The legal framework for refugees in many countries has weaknesses in terms of the ability to obtain formal status as refugees and, for those who do obtain refugee status, the protections conferred to them. 194 Inability to obtain formal status and protection as refugees leaves these individuals, such as Eritrean refugees in Sudan and Colombian refugees in Venezuela, vulnerable to roundups, detention, and refoulment. 195

Refugees who are not granted formal status as refugees are sometimes given other classifications, such as temporary protection. 196

Only seventy-five of the 145 states that are party to the Refugee Convention formally grant refugees the right to work. 197 Half of the states have declared full or partial reservations to the rights to work conferred in Articles 17–19, usually imposing similar restrictions as states not party to the Refugee Convention. 198

Only a few countries have refugee and labor legislation that specifically refers to a refugee's right to work. 199 Others, such as Chad, Ecuador, and India, handle refugees under the same provisions applicable to foreigners generally.200

Many countries impose restrictions on the sectors refugees can work in. Prohibiting refugees from working in security and defense, as well as government employment generally, are fairly common.²⁰¹

Many countries go further, such as requiring that no qualified nationals be available to work in the particular sector.²⁰²

Other legal limitations supplement restrictions on the right to work, such as restrictions on owning property, mobility, accessing credit, opening a business, opening a bank account, and entering into contracts.²⁰³

Some countries restrict refugees from employment-related rights and benefits, such as social security, unemployment and disability insurance, and general labor rights, as in the case of stateless Palestinians in Jordan.²⁰⁴

There are exceptions. Uganda's 2006 Refugee Act provides a legal framework for refugees that is strongly oriented toward social and economic integration.²⁰⁵

The Act aligns with the Refugee Convention and provides freedoms to work, operate businesses, access courts, receive an education, move and reside

¹⁹³ See id. at 15, 33.

¹⁹⁴ *Id.* at 11.

¹⁹⁵ Id. Also noting that failure to make refugee status determinations skews official counts of refugees because people who would otherwise receive refugee status are often left undocumented and thus uncounted. Id.

¹⁹⁶ *Id.* at 12.

¹⁹⁷ *Id.* at 4–5.

¹⁹⁸ Id

 $^{^{199}}$ Id.at 12(noting the United States and Uganda as examples).

²⁰⁰ Id.

²⁰¹ Id. at 13.

 $^{^{202}}$ I_{c}

Id. at 13, 16. For example, Pakistan requires refugees to have a Pakistani partner in order to own real estate or a business. Id. at 13. Ecuador and Turkey limit access to financial institutions. Id. Bangladesh prohibits refugees from accessing credit, engaging in trade, and owning property. Id. Refugees in India and Sudan are prohibited from purchasing land. Id.

²⁰⁴ In

See UGANDA: THE REFUGEES ACT 2006 (May 24, 2006), http://www.refworld.org/docid/4b7baba52.html [http://perma.cc/56G6-UYDF].



freely throughout the country, and own property.²⁰⁶ The United States is similar.²⁰⁷

Beyond legal hurdles, countries' policies and practices often impose major constraints on employment. There is significant confusion over where paperwork must be filed and whether obtaining refugee status is sufficient to work or whether an additional work permit is required. 209

Refugee policies in many countries are restrictive – some are confusing and opaque, whereas others simply have costly and burdensome prerequisites.

The processes for refugee status determinations, processing paperwork, and issuing permits and licenses are often slow, complex, costly, and burdensome. ²¹⁰ In many countries, refugees must first obtain a job offer from an employer before they can obtain a work permit, as in Lebanon and Zambia. ²¹¹

Some countries are removing or simplifying these hurdles.²¹² In 2016, for instance, Jordan provided a three-month

period in which it would waive fees for twelve-month work permits for Syrian refugees, and Turkey permitted Syrian refugees to apply for work permits if they were in possession of temporary identity cards and resided in Turkey for six months.²¹³

2. Turkey

Turkey currently hosts the world's largest refugee population at approximately 3.7 million as of 2017, and is a primary route for Syrians and Iraqis to reach Europe. The EU has been providing substantial assistance to Turkey to stop illegal or informal entry of these migrants into Europe. The EU has been providing substantial assistance to Turkey to stop illegal or informal entry of these migrants into Europe.

Turkey is a party to the Refugee Convention, yet counterintuitively, few, if any, of its refugees are actually protected by the Convention. This unusual situation arose from the fact that the primary impetus and focus of the Refugee Convention was the large number of

²⁰⁶ Id. § 29;Refugee Law Project, Critique of the Refugees Act 3 (2006),https://www.refugeelawproject.org/files/legal_resou rces/RefugeesActRLPCritique.pdf [http://perma.cc/L_J4M-KZXX]; see also WORLD BANK GROUP, AN ASSESSMENT OF UGANDA'S PROGRESSIVE APPROACH TO REFUGEE MANAGEMENT (2016) (describing and assessing the impact of the law and policy affecting refugees in Uganda).

See Zetter & Ruaudel, supra note 4, at 12–13.

²⁰⁸ See id. at 15.

²⁰⁹ Id. at 12,15 (observing that in the U.K. and U.S. a work permit is not necessary if a person has been determined to be a refugee, but noting how many countries are different).

²¹⁰ *Id.* at 15.

²¹¹ *Id.*

²¹² *Id.*

²¹³ See id. at 15. But see Wendy Zeldin, Turkey, inRefugee Law and Policy in Selected Countries 256, 273 (The Law Library of Congress, Global Legal Research Center, Mar. 2016) (noting that, in practice, less than three percent of Syrian refugees have been issued work permits under this policy because they have been deemed "unqualified").

European Commission, European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations: Turkey, http://ec.europa.eu/echo/files/aid/countries/factsheets/turk ey_syrian_ crisis_en.pdf [http://perma.cc/RPN7-TZWA] (last updated Jan. 10, 2018).

²¹⁵ Id.; see also, e.g., EU-Turkey Joint Action Plan: Implementation Report(Feb. 10, 2016), https://ec.europa.eu/home-affairs/sites/homeaffairs/files/what-we-

do/policies/european-agenda-migration/background-information/docs/managing_the_refugee_crisis_-eu-turkey_join_action_plan_implementation_report_2016021 0_en.pdf [http://perma.cc/56MS-XJQ3] (pledging €3 million in assistance for measures aimed at curbing irregular migration); Council of the EU Press Release 144/16, EU-Turkey Statement (Mar. 18, 2016) (arranging that for every Syrian returned to Turkey from the Greek islands, one Syrian will be resettled from Turkey to the EU).

²¹⁶ Zeldin, *supra* note 212, at 256.



displaced people in Europe after World War $\mathrm{II}.^{217}$

Therefore, when the Refugee Convention was adopted, its member states had the option of limiting its scope to only people displaced by events in Europe or extending coverage to refugees from anywhere in the world. Turkey was one of a few states that limited its scope to Europe, and expressly continued this limitation when it adopted the 1967 Protocol. Protocol.

As a result, the only people technically under the protection of the Refugee Convention in Turkey are those who have fled European nations.²²⁰ Nearly all of Turkey's refugee population is from non-European countries, especially Syria and Iraq.²²¹

Most refugees in Turkey are not protected by the Refugee Convention: This is because Turkey specified that it will only treat refugees from Europe according to RC guidelines. However, it has adopted the 2013 Law on Foreigners and International Protection.

Nevertheless, Turkey has substantially adjusted its domestic legislation to protect refugees in recent years and collaborates with UNHCR.²²²

The 2013 Law on Foreigners and International Protection ("LFIP")²²³ extended protections to categories of forcibly displaced people not meeting the strict Eurocentric definition of "refugee," including "conditional refugees" and persons covered under "subsidiary protection" and "temporary protection." ²²⁴

UNHCR works with the Ministry of the Interior to conduct status determinations and attempts to resettle refugees into third countries. 225

Generally speaking, the legal framework is geared to prevent integration of refugees and toward a temporary status. The goal of the framework is resettlement in a third country or repatriation. ²²⁶

The LFIP created several classifications into which asylum seekers can fall. First it created the following classifications of persons entitled to "international protection status":

(1) Refugees, which are foreigners who, "as a result of events occurring in European countries," cannot avail themselves of the protection of the country of their nationality or of former residence because of a well-founded fear of

UNHCR, supra note 183, at 2.

²¹⁸ See id. art. 1B(1) (providing states the option of interpreting the scope of Article 1 as applying only to persons displaced by events in Europe or in any nation).

²¹⁹ See UNHCR, STATES PARTIES TO THE 1951 CONVENTION RELATING TO THE STATUS OFREFUGEES AND THE 1967 PROTOCOL 5 (Apr. 2015) (observing that Turkey expressly maintained its declaration of geographic limitation).

²²⁰ Zeldin, *supra* note 212, at 261.

²²¹ Id.

²²² Id

²²³ Law on Foreigners and International Protection (Law No. 6458) (Apr. 4, 2013) (Republic of Turkey) (unofficial English translation), http://www.goc.gov.tr/files/files/eng_minikanun_5_son.pdf [http://perma.cc/KY8Y-H3RW] [hereinafter LFIP].

²²⁴ *Id.* art. 61–63.

²²⁵ Zeldin, *supra* note 212, at 261.

As an example, refugees, conditional refugees, and beneficiaries of subsidiary protection and temporary protection are explicitly exempted from receiving long-term residence permits. LFIP, *supra* note 222, art. 42(2).



- persecution for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion.²²⁷
- (2) Conditional Refugees, which are foreigners who, "as a result of events occurring outside European countries," cannot avail themselves the protection of the country of their nationality or of former residence because of a well-founded fear of persecution for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion. 228
- (3) Beneficiaries of "subsidiary protection," which are foreigners who cannot qualify as a refugee or conditional refugee, but if returned to their country of origin or former residence would face the death penalty, torture, inhuman or degrading treatment, or serious threat of indiscriminate violence from armed conflict.²²⁹

Persons who apply for and receive international protection status must undergo lengthy procedures and are entitled to several rights specified in the LFIP.

However, given the realities of mass migration, particularly of Syrians, and the attendant difficulties of satisfying the procedural requirements of international protection applications for each one, the LFIP added an additional category—*Temporary Protection*. ²³⁰

The LFIP has 3 standard categories for displaced persons, though due to the volume of persons fleeing Syria, a fourth category of "Temporary protection" was added.

Temporary protection status is more immediate than the categories of international protection and does not involve the same procedures and rights as the international protection categories.²³¹

Beneficiaries of temporary protection are those "foreigners who have been forced to leave their country, cannot return to the country that they have left, and have arrived at or crossed the borders of Turkey in *a mass influx situation* seeking immediate and temporary protection." ²³²

Syrians, who compose the vast majority of asylum seekers in Turkey, have been placed under temporary protection as a group, due to the large influx of them in recent years. 233 Non-Syrian asylum seekers are generally processed under one of the international protection categories by the UNHCR. 234

The Temporary Protection status is further defined in the Temporary Protection Regulation. 235

Beneficiaries of temporary protection receive basic-needs assistance, including social services, translation services, IDs, travel documents, access to primary and

²²⁷ Id. art. 61 (emphasis added).

²²⁸ Id. art. 62 (emphasis added).

²²⁹ Id. art. 63 (emphasis added).

²³⁰ Zeldin, *supra* note 212, at 261–63.

²³¹ Id

LFIP, supra note 222, art. 91(1)(emphasis added).

²³³ Zeldin, *supra* note 212, at 261–63.

²³⁴ Id.

 $[\]begin{array}{lll} 235 & Temporary\ Protection\ Regulation & (Oct.\ 22,\ 2014) \\ (unofficial & English & translation), \\ http://www.goc.gov.tr/files/files/temptemp.pdf \\ \hline [http://perma.cc/9V29-HQZ5]. \end{array}$



secondary education, and the opportunity to receive work permits. 236

People under temporary protection are typically required to live in designated reception and accommodation centers.

These centers are managed by the Turkish Disaster and Emergency Management Authority and the Turkish Red Crescent, rather than by the UNHCR.²³⁷

Persons under temporary protection are housed in Red Crescent and Emergency Management Authority centers.
They have the opportunity to apply for one of 3 LFIP categories — which does not guarantee equal footing with other aliens.

Camps reportedly have markets, reliable heating, religious services, communications infrastructure, psychosocial support, banking, and other services.²³⁸

Residents are given three meals a day and electronic cards preloaded with funds for personal needs.²³⁹ Residents are also covered under the country's social security and medical insurance programs.²⁴⁰

Premium payments are at least partially covered by Turkey's Directorate General of Migration Management, though recipients are expected to contribute in full or in part in proportion to their financial means.²⁴¹

Asylum seekers have limited access to the labor market. Persons who apply for international protection, as well as persons given conditional refugee status, may apply for a work permit six months after their international protection application was filed.²⁴²

Persons who acquire refugee status or subsidiary protection status are automatically eligible to work, either in self-employment or regular employment, with their identity document substituting for a work permit.²⁴³

However, such persons are subject to the general laws pertaining to foreign workers, which requires, among other things, for businesses to have at least five Turkish citizens as employees for every foreign worker.²⁴⁴

Additionally, the LFIP states that refugees' and subsidiary protection beneficiaries' access to the labor market may be restricted concerning certain sectors, professions, lines of business or geographical areas for a period when necessary because of "the situation of the labor market," developments in the working life," and employment-related "sectoral and economic conditions." 245

However, no such restrictions apply to refugees and subsidiary protection beneficiaries who have resided in Turkey

²³⁶ *Id.* art. 26–32.

²³⁷ Zeldin, *supra* note 212, at 270.

²³⁸ Id.

²³⁹ Id.

²⁴⁰ Id.

²⁴¹ Id.

²⁴² LFIP, *supra* note 222, art. 89(4).

²⁴³ Id.

²⁴⁴ Id.

²⁴⁵ Id.



for three years or have a spouse or children with Turkish citizenship. 246

Persons under temporary protection may similarly apply for a work permit six months after being registered. In addition to the general requirements regarding the issuance of work permits, temporary protection workers cannot make up more than ten percent of the

Turkish citizens employed at a business, unless the employer can prove there is no qualified Turkish citizen in the province who can perform the job.²⁴⁸ In practice, the government has deemed all but three percent of Syrian refugees as "unqualified" for work permits because they "do not have an identity card... [and their] professions are unknown."²⁴⁹

CONCLUSION

Refugee cities provide a pathway for refugee integration and alignment with international norms in the face of political resistance to countrywide integration. They apply the most important feature of SEZs—their ability to overcome roadblocks to beneficial policy reforms—to address one of the most pressing global concerns and help countries benefit from, rather than be burdened by, migrants.

A refugee city would serve as a demonstration area where the benefits of extending international law pertaining to refugees would be tested. They would serve as a complementary pathway that helps achieve the UNHCR's objective of integrating refugees into host economies—one of its "durable solutions"—in a designated geographic area. They would also help realize the policy benefits of integrating migrants into the formal economy.

Within refugee cities, countries could extend rights to property that fulfill Article 13 of the Refugee Convention. Residents of a refugee city could have formal rights to land, such as a lease, and rights to movable property. At the same time, countries could address reluctance to make refugees permanent by setting time limits and expiration dates on leases, business licenses, or work permits. When the expiration date occurs, the country will have enabled refugees to return home on a much better footing then they would have been on otherwise.

Countries could also extend rights to work and self-employment that match Articles 17–19 of the Refugee Convention. This would mean refugees would have the most favorable treatment accorded to foreign nationals. with restrictions designed to protect the domestic labor market removed for those who have lived in the country for three years or have a spouse or children who are nationals. Alternatively, refugees could be placed on par with nationals, fulfilling aspirations of Article 17, paragraph 3.

Refugee cities could streamline regulatory functions through dedicated regulatory authorities and one-stop shops to enable a more efficient processing of residents' status determinations and applications for work permits. These mechanisms would overcome the current backlog in countries like Turkey and others facing large refugee influxes. Refugee cities could also fulfill the blanket obligation to treat refugees with at least

²⁴⁶ Id. This exemption from labor market restrictions is analogous to Article 17(2) of the Refugee Convention, which would apply anyway to refugees. The LFIP extends the exemption to subsidiary protection beneficiaries. Id.

Zeldin, supra note 212, at 272.

²⁴⁸ Id. at 272–73.

²⁴⁹ *Id.* at 273.



the same treatment as is accorded to aliens generally in Article 7 of the Refugee Convention.

For the developing countries currently hosting the overwhelming share of migrants, refugee cities would transcend the traditional refugee camp model. They would be spaces in which international legal norms align with both political realities and good policy and drive economic and social progress.

The Institute for Competitive Governance, a project of the Startup Societies Foundation, serves the public interest by encouraging, conducting, and publishing scholarship about the impact of competition on governing services. It focuses on special jurisdictions—areas where a host state relaxes some of its usual rules in order to encourage economic activity—because these put the effects of giving consumers more choice in governance in starkest relief.

Interested in learning more about how competition can show us a better way to better governance? Visit our website, at https://instituteforcompgov.org/, and follow our Facebook page, https://www.facebook.com/instcompgov/.