THEOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

VOLUME XIV ECCLESIOLOGY, QUESTIONS IN THE CHURCH, THE CHURCH IN THE WORLD

 $b_{\mathcal{Y}}$ KARL RAHNER

Translated by DAVID BOURKE

Heythrop Library
114 Mount Street
London
W1K 3AH
UK

DARTON, LONGMAN & TODD

OBSERVATIONS ON THE PROBLEM OF THE 'ANONYMOUS CHRISTIAN'

Balthasar⁶ and Henri de Lubac⁷ likewise expressed their opposition to it, attitude to this thesis of the 'anonymous Christian".5 Hans Urs von which has appeared in German, English, Italian and Spanish.2 Klaus theme several times. 1 A. Röper has made this phrase the title of a book European missionaries in the same area took up an emphatically hostile internal to Catholic theology. I myself have given my opinions on this Japan Hans Waldenfels reacted favourably to my thesis,4 whereas other Riesenhuber has presented a comprehensive survey on this question.3 In ¶HE central theme of the remarks which follow will be that summed subject being treated of here is first and foremost a controversy up in the key phrase 'anonymous Christian'. It is recognized that the

Investigations XII (London and New York, 1974), pp. 161-178. also 'Anonymous Christianity and the Missionary Task of the Church', Theological ans', Theological Investigations VI (London and Baltimore, 1969), pp. 390-398, and 1 On this subject cf. the earlier publications by the author: 'Anonymous Christi-

review by H. Vorgrimler, 'Über die "anonymen Christen"', Hochland 56 (1963/64), ² A. Röper, Die anonymen Christen (Mainz, 1963); but see also on this the critical

Pp. 363-364.

³ K. Riesenhuber, 'Der anonyme Christ, nach K. Rahner', Zeitschr. f. Kath. co-ordinated presentation of all the various statements by Rahner on the question. Theol. 86 (1964), pp. 276-303. Riesenhuber attempts to give a comprehensive and

sententia P. Caroli Rahner S.J. circa voluntatem salvificam Dei universalem', Shingaku Kenkyu (Tokio, 1962), no. 12. Cf. also idem, 'Theologische Akkomodation' 4 H. Waldenfels, "... omnes homines vult salvos fieri ..." (1 Tim. 2:4).

Karl Rahners', Theol. u. Glaube 55 (1965), pp. 124–131, and also H. Kruse, 'Die "anonymen Christen" exegetisch gesehen', Münch. Theol. Zeitschrift 18 (1967), pp. Hochland 58 (1965/66), pp. 189-204.

⁵ cf. e.g. L. Elders, 'Die Taufe der Weltreligionen. Bemerkungen zu einer Theorie

§ See H. U. von Balthasar's observations in Cordula oder der Ernstfall (Einsiedeln,

7 cf. H. de Lubac, Paradoxe et Mystère de l'Eglise (Paris, 1967), pp. 153-156.

random selection from among the various possible aspects must be perterms everything which has already been said on the subject. A somewhat doing so we cannot have any intention of repeating in precise or explicit while to present a few further observations on the theme. Admittedly in the controversy, but rather to make it clear that it will perhaps be worth references are intended not to provide any exhaustive bibliography on Schillebeeckx too expressed certain objections to it.8 These

ourselves with the purely terminological problem. of an anonymous Christian. But this is as far as we shall go in concerning can speak of 'anonymous Christianity' so long as we are willing to speak of the 'being Christian' of an individual Christian. In this sense, then, we would draw their attention to in this respect is that 'Christianity' can have Christian' etc. They can count on my agreement on this point. All I mous Christianity', while being ready to use the term 'anonymous mous Christianity'. Some therefore may prefer to avoid the term 'anonyations must, if he merely rejects the above terminology, suggest some signified thereby. But anyone who holds that the reality signified by the Christians, and so for practical purposes the Church, but also the meaning two meanings: not merely that of 'Christendom', i.e. the sum total of 'anonymous Christian' may more readily be admitted than that of 'anony-'anonymous Christian' and 'anonymous Christianity'; that the term been called in question there is a certain distinction to be drawn between de Lubac that with regard to the justification of the terms which have tions which have been put forward in this respect. We may concede to this will probably prove very difficult. I know of no convincing suggesother terminology which according to his opinion is less misleading. Now terminology of this kind in order to be used in other theological considerimportance that they must be condensed within a precisely formulated above phrases, or the material content they point to are of such great leading ipso facto or necessarily implies a rejection of the actual reality effect that the terminology 'anonymous Christian' or 'anonymous that this too has a certain importance, and that not every opinion to the mous Christianity'. So far as the terminology is concerned I do not deny terminology from every point of view, and the further question of the Christianity' are to be rejected on the grounds that many find it misactual reality signified by the phrases 'anonymous Christian' or 'anonybe drawn between the question of what constitutes the best possible By way of preliminary it may be pointed out that a distinction should

8 cf. E. Schillebeeckx, Glaubensinterpretation (Mainz, 1971), pp. 108f.

THE REALITY OF THE 'ANONYMOUS CHRISTIAN'

The actual subject-matter with which we are properly and ultimately speaking concerned here is such that, as I believe, it neither can nor should be contested by any Catholic Christian or theologian. But two points are involved in this subject-matter:

There are men who stand outside the social unity of the Church or of the Christian Churches, who have not been reached by the explicitly Christian message, or at any rate not in such a way that their failure to embrace Christianity in any explicit sense signifies any serious personal fault in God's sight so far as they are concerned. At the same time, however, these same individuals stand in a positive and salvific relationship to God. In other words to put it in the usual theological terminology and in a somewhat abbreviated form, they are justified. They are living in the state of grace. Even those who at the level of their conscious thought interpret themselves as atheist may be numbered among such individuals, although the concept of the 'anonymous theist' who regards himself as an atheist and the concept of the 'anonymous Christian' are not identical.'

But the thesis of the 'anonymous Christian' includes a second point as well, and it is this that primarily and properly speaking gives it its importance and at the same time its difficulty. This individual who is justified even though he is a non-Christian is justified through the grace of Christ and through a faith, hope and love for God and mankind which are to be qualified as specifically Christian in a special sense, even though this triad, constituting the single way to salvation and possession of salvation, is something of which they are not objectively aware in the sense of having consciously explicitated their specifically Christian dimension to themselves. Merely in passing it may be remarked that we might actually apply the term 'anonymous Christian' to every individual who, in virtue of God's universal will to save, and thereby in virtue of the 'supernatural existential', 'o is inescapably confronted with the offering of God's self-bestowal and is totally unable to escape from this situation. In other words according to this terminology absolutely every man is also an 'anonymous

Christian'. But we prefer the terminology according to which that man is called an 'anonymous Christian' who on the one hand has *de facto* accepted of his freedom this gracious self-offering on God's part through faith, hope, and love, while on the other he is absolutely not yet a Christian at the social level (through baptism and membership of the Church) or in the sense of having consciously objectified his Christianity to himself in his own mind (by explicit Christian faith resulting from having hearkened to the explicit Christian message). We might therefore put it as follows: the 'anonymous Christian' in our sense of the term is the pagan after the beginning of the Christian mission, who lives in the state of Christ's grace through faith, hope and love, yet who has no explicit knowledge of the fact that his life is orientated in grace-given salvation to Jesus Christ.

THE THEOLOGICAL MEANING OF THE REALITY SIGNIFIED BY THE TERM 'ANONYMOUS CHRISTIAN'

writings of Pius XII to the effect that even a merely implicit voium for concerning salvation for unbaptized catechumens in Ambrose, through of the Church. We can trace a course of development from the optimism has only gradually become clear and asserted itself in the conscious faith to make, because the optimism of universal salvation entailed in this thesis ing of the gospel in any concrete 'this worldly' sense at any point in their visible historical reality as a result of having been touched by the preachas well), yet who do not belong to the Church or to Christendom as a attain to supernatural salvation in God's sight (and, moreover, to Christ and actually are, individuals who are justified in the grace of God, who room for doubt among Catholic theologians or Christians. There can be, So far as the first element is concerned I believe that there should be no conviction that there can be heretics and schismatics who are in good in this regard which was even more difficult than the development of the more arduous course of development of the emergence of conscious faith the Church and baptism can suffice. From this we can trace a further and Ages and at the Council of Trent, down to the explicit teaching in the the doctrine of the baptismus flaminis and the votum ecclesiae in the Middle here from scripture or tradition. Such a demonstration would not be easy lives. No truly theological demonstration of this thesis can be supplied grounds there may be for justifying it, it can at all events be said that at faith even outside the Church, and whose salvation cannot be doubted. Whatever may be the course of this development, whatever theological least since the Second Vatican Council there can no longer be any room

⁹ cf. also the author's article, 'Atheism and Implicit Christianity', *Theological Investigations* IX (London and New York, 1972), pp. 145-164.

¹⁰ On these earlier ideas of the author's see 'Concerning the Relationship Between Nature and Grace', *Theological Investigations* I (London, 1961), pp. 297–317, and also 'The Dignity and Freedom of Man', *Theological Investigations* II (London and Baltimore, 1963), pp. 235–263.

baptism for salvation it has been added on as a negative point that merely that in presenting a theology of the necessity of the gospel and of possibility is positively asserted by the Second Vatican Council. It is not not Christians at all. In this connection it should be noticed that this personal history and of the kerygmatic and institutional Church, they are level of their concrete 'this worldly' circumstances, and at the level of to be justified and to attain to supernatural salvation even though at the in emphasizing this necessity of the gospel and of baptism we are neveraccepted up to that point were not applied at the Second Vatican Council. salvation is achieved in ways that are known to God alone. In a tacit but Christian to attain salvation, though at the same time it declares that such Second Vatican Council positively asserts that it is possible for the nontheless imposing no limits to the sovereign freedom of God's grace. The negative atheism, between atheism of greater or lesser duration, usually bility of salvation, though here the distinctions between positive and Second Vatican Council that atheists too are not excluded from this possihitherto been more or less unanimous on this point, it was declared at the noteworthy correction to the officially received theology which had can only wonder how few controversies arose during the Council with more or less traditional right down to the Second Vatican Council, we officially received theology concerning all these questions, which was worthy results of the Second Vatican Council. For when we consider the faithfulness and obedience to the individual's own personal conscience. The only necessary condition which is recognized here is the necessity of cisive phase in the development of the Church's conscious awareness of stage or any great stir even though this doctrine marked a far more deto bear on this point, how all this took place without any setting of the too at how little opposition the conservative wing of the Council brought regard to these assertions of optimism concerning salvation, and wonder This optimism concerning salvation appears to me one of the most noterelationship between scripture and tradition, the acceptance of the new her faith than, for instance, the doctrine of collegiality in the Church, the

We now come to the second element which we have previously pointed

rejected by Innocent XI.12 But this has certainly no very great theological tion of God at the philosophical level was sufficient for justification was of a 'faith', a fides late dicta, which at basis amounted merely to a recognisalvation even without faith in a strictly theological sense merely because does not involve the belief that anyone can attain to justification and final trine of the anonymous Christian as it is nowarays providen former. Second Vatican Council it is after all clearly assumed that even in these declaration against the rigorism of Feeney14 and in the doctrine of the he does not act against his own moral conscience. It is true that the theory cases which concern us here. In the document of the Second Vatican stated in these official doctrinal declarations is how a truly supernatural cases of a justified pagan a fides supernaturalis is necessary. What is not incurred the official censure of the Church. But both in Pius XII's fying power of a readiness to believe in principle, a fides virtualis, 18 never A. Straub at the beginning of the present century concerning the justiimportance for our present consideration, especially since the theory of chiefly sense is a scepticism as to whether it is possible at all, even in the faith of this kind in the strictly theological sense can come to be in the over in almost the same words (No. 22). This then is concerned with document of the Second Vatican Council, 'Gaudium et Spes', and morecould ever be present in a man when this man has no contact whatever with most formal way, to find an answer to the question of how such a faith it is impossible to be pleasing to him. And in this formula what we their own to faith by ways which he knows, and that without this faith God can bring men who are ignorant of the gospel through no fault of Council, 'Ad gentes' No. 7, it is stated (albeit merely in an aside) that and in a way which transcends any certainty which the world can offer. preaching of the gospel for a true and supernatural faith to be present in a the gospel. This sense of scepticism also finds expression in a further prevent the theologian from pondering it more deeply. For if he simply But the fact that we cannot find the answer to this question should not finding the answer to the question of how it is possible apart from the renounces any attempt to find an answer at all however formal, then pagan' of this kind, i.e. an assent to God as he imparts himself in freedom

¹¹ On the whole cf. Conc. Vat. II, 'Nostra aetate' (Declaration on the Relationship of the Church to Non-Christian Religions); 'Gaudium et spes' (Pastoral Constitution) (above all the first main section: The Church and Man's Calling), and also 'Ad gentes' (The Decree on the Missions).

¹² cf. DS34 2123.

¹³ See A. Straub, *De analysi fidei* (Innsbruck, 1922). Some of the studies here published go very far back in time.

conscious awareness of her faith in this development as it applies to the of the most astonishing phenomena in the development of the Church's specifically Christian. This optimism with regard to salvation remains one and which at the same time regards all salvation, wherever it is present, as goes) can be prevented only by the grave personal guilt of the individual concerning salvation for all men which (so far as our present question nations and all men. Even when all this is successfully achieved one fact of an explicit Christianity, with its concomitants of gospel and Church, in the 'pagan' must be so formulated as to avoid obscuring the importance any supplementary arguments constructed arbitrarily, such as have not of a personal faith even in a 'pagan', and moreover on the basis of the saving history as a whole and the history of explicit Christianity and of secular and non-Christian world, the awareness of the difference between remains, so I believe, concerning the breakthrough of this optimism and the necessity of the missionary preaching of this Christianity to al tedly any such theology of the possibility of a true and saving faith even effective, and infralapsarian universal will to save on God's part. Admitclearer and more intelligible too will the doctrine become of a real, infrequently been adduced in the past (special enlightenment at death etc.), normal data of theology as self-evident in other contexts, and so without converse can be stated. The more we can make intelligible the possibility and also because of the findings of modern exegesis, which regards the both because of the findings of modern palaeontology and anthropology and ultimate kind of revelation to answer this question, for such answers either the universality of God's will to save towards non-Christian the Church. For it is true that we must not say that the Old or the New for a 'pagan' be fitted into the totality of the Christian faith, and the the better can the doctrine of the possibility of justification and salvation has been transmitted through the centuries of human history. But the having participated in the events concerned nor simply as a record that narrative of Genesis neither as an eye-witness account of God himself as will fail to solve it. The first course has already been rejected by Innocent Yet we cannot invoke either a natural knowledge of God or a primitive Testament simply rule out any such optimistic interpretation of universal lation of this kind from paradisal sources. Nowadays this is impossible presented hitherto is in the form of a handing down of an original revetive and ultimate revelation, the way in which this has customarily been Council. With regard to the second course, i.e. the invoking of a primi-XI and Pius XII as well as by the declarations of the Second Vatican humanity or the necessity of personal faith for this becomes incredible.

PROBLEM OF THE 'ANONYMOUS CHRISTIAN'

salvation, which in the power of the Holy Spirit can hope to find a near brother even in one who is apparently most far removed. But we shall not be able to say either that this development of the conscious awareness of faith is already very clearly set forth in the New Testament or that it does not have to overcome very great obstacles which are inherent in the New Testament statements concerning the necessity of salvation coming through the gospel which is preached in its power.

HOW COULD IT BE POSSIBLE FOR THERE TO BE AN ANONYMOUS CHRISTIAN'?

capable of being expressed thematically in any full sense. If we take these is itself to be thought of not as a fixed entity, but rather as having a scendental and e converso, although this mutually conditioning relationship capable of being expressed in 'this worldly' categories mediates the tranconcepts we have mentioned. Yet it is not disputed that between them are chiefly concerned with is of course the distinction between the pairs of speaking, and in the application of them here intended, mean precisely there always remains also a certain unity (not identity!). That which is the same, or whether further and more precise distinctions should be present purposes whether the concepts we have adduced historically we are seeking to draw, though in this it remains unimportant for our and an a priori formal object concomitantly present with the capacity to consciously adverted to of a given statement, between the material object history of its own so that this relationship and its history are quite inintroduced into them in their turn. 15 For our present purposes what we knowledge – or whatever name we may choose to apply to the distinction know, between the object of knowledge and the further perspectives of is expressed in conceptual form and the further levels of significance not worldly' knowledge and transcendental knowledge, between that which the reality thus known on the other - in other words between 'this known on the one hand, and a non-objective, non-thematic awareness and difference and the unity between objective knowledge and that which is which should be explained and established more fully elsewhere: the question some anthropological insights may surely be taken as given attempting - nothing more than an attempt is intended - to answer this Now how can we conceive of this possibility of faith in the 'pagan'? In

¹⁵ On these observations by the author cf. 'Thomas Aquinas on Truth', Theological Investigations XIII, and the basic studies: Geist in Welt (Munich, 3rd ed., 1964) and Hörer des Wortes (Munich, 2nd ed., 1963).

vidual freely gives himself to, or alternatively rejects, this existential entates these to the immediacy of God as their final end, though of course endowed with spiritual faculties and of the world in general, which oriwhich, as offered (!), is a constantly present existential of the creature well be interpreted on the basis of God's universal will to save as a grace point in a world which is itself profane. On the contrary it can perfectly conceived of as an isolated intervention on God's part at a particular grace of faith and justification offered by God to men does not need to be personal faith in a 'pagan' makes two assumptions: (1) The supernatural concepts of formal anthropology as read the theory of the possibility of call grace. It does this effectively at all times and in all places in the form existential which is and remains continually present.16 God's universal which constitutes the innermost dynamism of his being and its history, an in saying this the question still remains wholly open of whether an indidynamism of this kind, and even without such knowledge it is still sidered as the historical dimension of the creature endowed with spiritual it constitutes the innermost entelecheia and dynamism of the world consalvation. And even though it is unmerited and 'supernatural' in character, of the offering and the enabling power of acting in a way that leads to will to save objectifies itself in that communication of himself which we significance in the spiritual and intentional capacities of knowledge and zation of the history of man is, however, something of which man is aware and the historical dimension belonging to it as the dynamism and finalipresent. (2) This grace constantly implanted in the nature of the creature faculties. It does not need to be consciously and objectively known as a towards the immediacy of God as his final end.17 He brings his spiritual grace offered him and implanted in him as his freedom in the mode of a cannot reflect upon it in itself and in isolation, man is, in virtue of the freedom. Whether man explicitly recognizes it or not, whether he can or ness; it is present in the a priori formal objects, in the further levels of in the manner in which such a reality does impinge upon human awareness. development in knowledge and freedom, and that too not as the God of formal object and of a spiritual perspective of an a priori kind, orientated This awareness does not ipso facto or necessarily imply an objective awaremetaphysical knowledge, as the God of infinite remoteness, but as the himself constitutes the ultimate point of orientation of his whole historical life to its fulness in knowledge and freedom in such a way that God in

God who in himself and of himself, in his own unique reality and sovereignty, constitutes the goal, as the God of eternal life.

of the active spirit of man himself as he shapes his world the individual as its innermost form and dynamism in a manner similar to fixity, but rather has a history of its own within the concrete history of which is not ipso facto known in itself as an object, does not have a special supernaturally elevated transcendentality of man, of which he is aware but tal a priority and that of categorial historicity in man it is clear that this understanding of the relationship between the dimension of transcendenmediacy of God in a dimension of a priori awareness. On any right act as spiritual and in his totality, is orientated by grace towards the imman brings his intellectual nature to its fulness, in which he comes to be in world in general, then, assuming the validity of the above-mentioned with spiritual faculties, and thereby as the innermost dynamism of the at a particular point, and only under specific circumstances. But if we rethat is the subject of conscious philosophical reflection, but in the history that of logic, which has its initial history not in the history of the logic history apart, or does not persist in any sense in a non-historical state of Thomist thesis, it is clear from the outset that the total process by which to man in his freedom) as an abiding existential of the creature endowed gard the self-communication of God (considered as that which is offered prevenient and elevating grace too much as an isolated event taking place applied to our present question - simply because we have regarded the not a thesis discovered ad hoc, but a view which has always been upheld in reflected upon directly or certainly by the individual as such. Now this is a priori formal object, even though this change of awareness cannot be suggesting that grace brings about a change of awareness through a new Thomist theology even though hitherto it has probably hardly been al in himself as a subject endowed with intellect and freedom. We are 'this worldly' categories are sufficient for this which confront the individurevelation. All those forms of mediation belonging to the dimension of capable of being adequately reflected upon by the individual) mediated acy of God, which is both known and unknown, is, it is true, in order to But this mediation does not necessarily need to be an explicit object of through the realities of his world assignable to 'this worldly' categories. be known at all (which does not mean reflected upon as an object or This orientation of the spiritual dynamism of man towards the immedi-

In the light of this we can then go on to say that the total history of mankind is nothing else than the historical mediation, in terms of historical and 'this-worldly' categories, of the supernaturally elevated spirituality

⁶ cf. n. 10

¹⁷ On this cf. the author's article, 'Concerning the Relationship Between Nature and Grace, *Theological Investigations* I (London, 1961), pp. 297-317.

Yet this a priori awareness of man (called revelation) is always accepted in can in the true and proper sense be called faith, even though this faith has a priori awareness which is already revelation, then that is present which gives reality to the concept of revelation already at the stage of an a of man, i.e. the orientation of this to the immediacy of God as its final end, said, however, is this: this grace-given elevation of the transcendentality entered into in any more precise detail here. What can on any showing be are inculpable atheists. And the same point is likewise made in 'Gaudium the following sentence this doctrine is declared to apply also to those who grace, strive by their deeds to do his will as it is known to them through gospel of Christ or his Church, yet sincerely seek God and, moved by Council says in 'Lumen Gentium' No. 16: 'Those also can attain to everachieve a full theological understanding of what the Second Vatican movement of his own spirit. It is only in the light of this that we can in the as yet unrecognizable implications of the dynamism underlying the faith wherever and whenever an individual in unreserved faithfulness to openness of man to the immediacy of God in his act of self-imparting. not yet been objectively explicitated or conceptualized as the absolute words. And when man of his freedom accepts himself together with this natural formal object, as the Thomist would say) has not yet been obpriori awareness. And it does this even though this awareness (the superhistory and the history of faith. But this is a point which cannot be consciously recognized within the history - at that stage it is revelation explicitly to exhibit this supernatural dynamism, so that its presence is of man to man himself. And at that stage at which this history comes the dictates of conscience.' A point expressly to be noticed here is that in lasting salvation who, through no fault of their own, do not know the his own moral conscience accepts himself in freedom as he is, and so too jectively apprehended at the level of conscious thought or expressed in

\$5043180 5 334050+0\$ 5 6382012# +0m 5

already mentioned above. and the Missionary Decree of the Second Vatican Council, as we have of this kind without true faith is ruled out by the declaration of Pius XII achieved, still does nothing of itself to alter this impression. Yet salvation by means of which alone obedience to the dictates of conscience can be comes to be even without faith. Moreover, the emphasis on divine grace, concerning the fulfilment of the dictates of conscience is a salvation which pression that what the 'pagan' is being promised here in the statement On a first simple reading of this declaration we might receive the im-

This grace, therefore, must produce faith, and that too even in cases

PROBLEM OF THE 'ANONYMOUS CHRISTIAN'

statements of the Church cited above, even though they themselves such a thing is possible is explicitly declared in the official doctrinal refrain from making any theological declaration on this fact. out any contact with the explicit preaching of the gospel. For the fact that true supernatural faith in revelation can be present in an individual withincurs the task as a Catholic theologian of explaining in other ways how revealing himself and communicating himself, on whatever grounds, then adverted to. Anyone who rejects this theory of a possible faith in God as grace, and which is present even when it is not yet or not at all consciously scendental change of awareness which is concomitantly given with this innermost being of man (as offered to his freedom), that a priori trannatural specification of man entailed in this and constantly present in obligation to explain how such a faith is conceivable in the conditions recognized in other contexts: the universal will of God to save, the superlogical data we have adduced for this purpose are only such as are already described. We have attempted to give such an explanation and the theowhere no knowledge of the gospel exists. It follows that theology has an him, in virtue of which God's act of self-communication implanted in the

FURTHER IMPLICATIONS OF A THESIS

grow from it to the seed as well into a plant. But the fact that it is not yet developed into a plant is no simply call the Christian faith. The seed has no right to seek not to grow which is objectified and articulated through the gospel, that faith which we reason for refusing to give the name which we give to the plant destined to its own inherent dynamism in such a way as to develop into that faith this faith as it exists in the pagan is properly speaking designed to follow achieve their justification through a faith in salvation. On the contrary the natural morality which they possess, whereas the Christians and only they such that these 'pagans' are acceptable in God's sight even without any if we like to put it so, rudimentary faith. This is of course not to deny that true faith (together with hope and love) as it were in virtue of a merely salvation and that of those who are Christians in an explicit sense is not Spirit. The theory further states that the difference between this state of not insist upon the term 'anonymous Christian') that even outside the theory ascribes to these justified pagans also a real, albeit enexplicitated or, ranks of atheists - who are justified by God's grace and possess the Holy Christian body there are individuals – and they are to be found even in the The theory of the 'anonymous Christian', therefore, states (though we do

=10.5

even though in a way hidden to himself and to others, that which constical objectification, a sacramental sign, a social manifestation. Now if this words, who even as a 'pagan' already possesses the blessing of salvation, while it is not objectified in words, is nonetheless real, a man, in other conscience, one who is thereby constituted as a believer in a form which, existence, one who has accepted this in unreserved faithfulness to his own on which I have no fixed opinion whatever. Admittedly I do regard the refusal. All that is involved here is simply a question of what constitutes of an anonymous Christianity then I will raise no protest against this laid hold of in faith. If we are unwilling to go on from this, and to speak tutes the essence of what it is to be a Christian: the grace of God which is that such men both can and actually do exist. For after all he does possess, mous Christian, seeing that as Catholic theologians we may not doubt is true, then I cannot see why we should not call such a man an anonytions, and in relation to which everything else is merely a means, a histori-Christianity together with the gospel belonging to it and all its instituthat blessing which ultimately speaking is the sole point of concern for imparting of God called grace as the innermost heart and centre of his term 'anonymous Christian' as inescapable so long as no one suggests a the terminology that best suits the purpose and so a question of judgement better term to me. It is possible, then, to envisage a man who is in possession of that self

PERSPECTIVES

which the New Testament regards the process by which salvation is theory we have put forward are to be reconciled with the viewpoint from new perspectives of saving history and the history of faith entailed in the which cannot be resolved here. We should have to enquire how all those Obviously many further questions would still remain to be treated should be rejected. Admittedly in this connection there is actually a ity, a question in the light of which it is very often believed that this theory gained and also saving history itself, albeit with very notable variations. he can perform his missionary work, precisely because he can then appear indispensable condition for him such that it is only on this condition that in Japan has told me that the theory put forward here constitutes the particular example to be mentioned: a Japanese who is a student chaplain tioned above as to the meaning and necessity of the mission of Christian-A further point which should be discussed is the question already mento the anonymous Christian in the pagan and not simply seek to indoc-

PROBLEM OF THE 'ANONYMOUS CHRISTIAN'

as have, for instance, very recently arisen between Schlette and Seckler. 18 basis of the theory of the anonymous Christian. This theory, therefore, religions, even though this question cannot be answered solely on the put forward) are brought to light for the appraisal of the non-Christian have to be resolved is what aspects (on the basis of the theory we have trinate him with a teaching ab externo. A further question which would remains ultimately speaking neutral in relation even to such controversies

and the objectification at the historical and conceptual level of this revepreconceptual level in the roots of man's spiritual faculties on the one hand, addressed to all in virtue of his universal will to save and taking place at a event of revelation consisting in the self-communication of God as and explanation. A distinction is drawn between two factors: an original mordial revelation. It is obvious that the assumptions, in terms of exiseither improbable or impossible from an anthropological and historical mordial revelation cannot be developed precisely in the light of the theory self-realization) with mankind as a whole. For all his harsh experiences of tential ontology which this theory works with call for further clarification point of view, yet which are entailed in the usual conception of the priput forward here, a conception which avoids those elements which are ultimate in man, man as a whole and as final and definitive that is in what it is to be a historical being and of history itself, whenever it is the feels himself at one (at that point at which he truly achieves the fulness of which it has been necessary to draw here, could be the occasion of latory self-communication of God in that which we call revelation and the history of revelation in a more normal sense on the other. This distinction, the present context. The man of today is first and foremost a man who been said, these and many other points cannot be discussed any further in fruitful considerations for many other problems of theology. But, as has The question might be raised of whether a new conception of the pri-

Eine Theologie der Religionen', Hochland 57 (1964/65), pp. 588-590. But see also H. R. Schlette, 'Einige Theses zum Selbstverständnis der Theologie angesichts der several times. Cf. H. R. Schlette, Die Religionen als Thema der Theologie, Quaestiones (1970), pp. 187-194. A. Darlap has presented a general survey on the whole area of Quart. Schrift. 140 (1960), pp. 38-69, and also 'Nichtchristen III. Systematisch' cf. M. Seckler, 'Das Heil der Nicht-evangelisierten in thomistischer Sicht', Theol quium Salutis - Christen und Nichtchristen heute (Cologne, 1965). On the other hand Religionen', Gott in Welt II (Freiburg im Breisgau, 1964), pp. 306-316 and Collo-Disputatae 22 (Freiburg im Breisgau, 1964) and the review of this work: M. Seckler, 'the Theology of Religions' in Sacramentum Mundi V (London and New York, HThG II (Munich, 1963), pp. 239–242 and 'Sind Religionen Heilswege?', StdZ 186 1970), pp. 284–287. 18 Both authors have stated their respective positions on the problems involved

question, he feels himself at one with all. He does not seek any heaven from which some other man is excluded from the outset. If at the same time he still seeks to uphold nowadays the claims of Christianity to be absolute, its universal significance for each and for all, if he wills to recognize, and as a Christian must recognize a single meaning and a single dynamism running through the whole history of mankind, then he must simply have a single answer as to how and in what way he can recognize in every one of his fellows a brother in the sense in which Christianity recognizes every individual as a brother, a sense, that is, which is not merely humanist but truly Christian. There must be a Christian theory to account for the fact that every individual who does not in any absolute or ultimate sense act against his own conscience can say and does say in faith, hope, and love, Abba within his own spirit, and is on these grounds in all truth a brother to Christians in God's sight. This is what the theory of the anonymous Christian seeks to say, and, in so far as it is valid, what it implies.

$\frac{1}{8}$

THE CHURCH'S COMMISSION TO BRING SALVATION AND THE HUMANIZATION OF THE WORLD

'HORIZONTALISM' IN CHRISTIANITY AND IN THE

society', 'responsibility for the world'. This tendency has already been movement) 'commitment at the level of social politics and criticism of in all its doctrines, the true task of the Church, consists in something designated by the term pure 'horizontalism'. perhaps too individualistic or pietistic a ring for the upholders of this which can simply be called 'love of neighbour' or (because this term has essence of Christianity, the true heart and centre which alone is signified which does of course remain quite open is that of the subjective disposiminology, should be called apostasy. In this connection one question Church. We mean here the view that that which constitutes the true tions of those who uphold such tendencies in the doctrine and life of the anity. In other words they constitute that which, in the traditional ter-For in reality they take a course which leads to an elimination of Christi-Christianity or as seeking to draw men out of the Church, and yet which the Church, are far from being explicitly presented as a rejection of teaching and a way of life which, while they have indeed emerged from whole. Today Christianity and the Church are threatened by a form of in reality already imply in a true sense something far more than heresy. heresy from apostasy, meaning a falling away from Christianity as a the result that even at the conceptual level it was customary to distinguish specific and particular points of Christian doctrine and Christian life with danger which has always accompanied the earthly life of faith and of the 'division' implies, within the Church herself, and were chiefly related to Church. In earlier times such heresies emerged, as the word 'heresy' = There have always been heresies which threaten Christianity, the Christian life, and a right understanding of the Church's task. They constitute a