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Many families of Puerto Rico live in dire situations. The overall poverty rate is 44%, while the child poverty rate sits at 57%.\(^1\) This percentage of children and youth living in poverty is more than double that of Mississippi, the poorest state, and almost double that of Native American children, the racial/ethnic group with the highest poverty rate in the United States.

The urgency of the situation is evident in people’s daily lives. In Puerto Rico, 28% of households have no Internet connection, compared to 11% in the United States. One in every three adults experiences food insecurity.\(^2\) Fifteen percent (15%) of the population reports being in poor physical health fourteen or more days every year, compared to 10% of the population of the United States.\(^3\)

Moreover, the series of weather events and health emergencies that Puerto Rico has endured continues to hinder families from gaining stability. Hurricane Maria in 2017, the earthquakes that occurred in the southwestern region of the island at the beginning of 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic, and Hurricane Fiona in 2022 have intensified poverty in Puerto Rico.\(^4\) A study on the effects of Hurricane Maria showed that eight out of ten households with children suffered losses, which were more significant among families with incomes under $15,000.\(^5\) In response to Hurricane Maria, FEMA disbursed families $1.5 billion in economic relief.\(^6\) During the disaster declaration following the earthquakes, almost 41,000 families applied for FEMA aid.\(^7\) With Hurricane Fiona, which made landfall in Puerto Rico on September 18, 2022, the number of new unemployment insurance claims reached 5,052 in the first week of October, the highest since July 2021.\(^8\) Data on aid applications were not available at the time of publication.
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1 Data from the 2019 Puerto Rico Community Survey.  
5 Aníza M. Cox. Impactos del huracán María en la niñez de Puerto Rico [Hurricane Maria’s Impact on Puerto Rico’s Children]. (San Juan: Instituto del Desarrollo de la Juventud, 2019).  
6 FEMA. DR-4339 Hurricane Maria by the Numbers (November 2, 2021). [https://www.fema.gov/fact-sheet/hurricane-maria-numbers](https://www.fema.gov/fact-sheet/hurricane-maria-numbers)  
8 Data from U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Unemployment Insurance Weekly Claims Data. [https://oui.doleta.gov/unemploy/claims.as](https://oui.doleta.gov/unemploy/claims.as)
The child poverty rate

57%
It has been documented$^9$ that during these events, children bear the overwhelming burdens of abuse, mental health issues, food insecurity, and a decline in academic achievement.

Against this backdrop, the Child Tax Credit (CTC), which was expanded by the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) in March 2021, came as a breath of fresh air and a unique opportunity to improve the economic conditions of hundreds of thousands of families with children in Puerto Rico.

In 2021, the CTC awarded $3,000 per child aged 6 to 17 and $3,600 per child aged five and under. It is estimated that 305,000 families in Puerto Rico could have been eligible for the CTC. Considering the high income limits and that no income from employment was required, the CTC practically applied universally to families with children across the archipelago of Puerto Rico.

Concurrent with the CTC that was put into place under ARPA, the federal government made up to $600 million available annually to the local government to strengthen the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), which made work pay for families that earn low salaries in the labor market.

Studies in the United States have demonstrated the potential tax credit policies have for cutting child poverty and opening a path toward families’ economic mobility and security. The EITC and the CTC are part of the social and economic protection system for families, and these two policies are the ones that have the greatest potential for reducing child poverty.$^{10}$ Recent studies show that the expanded CTC under ARPA reduced child poverty in the United States by almost half.$^{11}$

It has been a challenge to ensure that all families in Puerto Rico that are eligible for 2021 expanded CTC receive the benefit. Puerto Rico’s taxpayers generally do not file federal tax returns and are, therefore, unfamiliar with the process. Furthermore, a significant percentage of the population is not fluent in English, and many do not have the necessary resources to file a federal tax return. Lastly, the changes made to eligibility requirements may have created confusion since, before ARPA, only families that were employed and who had three or more children were eligible.

---


This report compiles a variety of data that reveal the impact and significance of the CTC in the lives of families, and it presents public policy recommendations for how this credit is applied in Puerto Rico. This report also presents numerical simulations, created using data from the 2019 Puerto Rico Community Survey, and analyses of the results of a CTC Survey in which 400 families participated and of the content of six focus groups in which a total of forty-five people participated. The report also includes observations made at free service centers organized by government and by nonprofits, as well as IRS data on the number of applicants per ZIP code and applications that were referred to the Taxpayer Protection Program (TPP).

The Story the Data Tell

The CTC is a game-changing credit that ushered in a never-before-seen reduction in Puerto Rico’s child poverty rates. Poverty rates were adjusted to include the CTC and the EITC, and the facts that in 2019 CTC was limited to families with three or more children and a reduced EITC was also in place were also factored in. Simulations show that the CTC in effect in 2021 could reduce the adjusted child poverty rate from 55% to 39%. Combined, the expanded CTC and EITC can potentially reduce this rate to 34%.

The data analyzed indicate the CTC under ARPA brought peace of mind to hundreds of thousands of families in Puerto Rico who divided the use of these funds among savings, debt payments, and miscellaneous purposes. Families were able to use the credit to cover major expenses, such as purchasing a car for an older child to drive to their college or university or buying furniture for children’s rooms. For other families, the CTC provided basic needs such as improved nutrition, food security, and new clothes and shoes for their children.

However, as late as May 2022, many families had not yet received their credit. Families faced language barriers, difficulties verifying their identities, and problems related to identity theft. The IRS poorly anticipated the great need faced by Puerto Rico’s families which made matters worse. Access to the CTC was also hindered because tools were not adequate or were not available at the start of the tax filing season.

The collaboration between nonprofits, the private sector, and the government was key in channeling and solving implementation issues. These collaborations expanded community education and access to free services throughout Puerto Rico to help more families gain access to the credit.

With the expiration of ARPA, CTC eligibility and amounts changed. While families in Puerto Rico with one or more children will continue to be eligible for the CTC, the credit amount will have a maximum of $1,400 per child which could go up to $1,500 with an adjustment for inflation, and the employment requirement will be reinstated according to the law in effect before ARPA.

More than 80,000 families will no longer be eligible compared to 2021 rules, which will leave out 161,000 children currently covered. Under the 2022 CTC, the adjusted poverty rate would be reduced by only one percentage point compared to the base year, and with CTC and EITC, it would be reduced by six percentage points, from 55% to 49%.

Even if the new CTC rules are implemented, the current CTC and EITC combined would still lead to reductions in child poverty, but this impact would be limited due to the high percentage of families with children with not working householder.

Under the new rules, joining the workforce would be key to unlocking CTC and EITC benefits.
The CTC is a tax credit established by the federal government in 1997 under the Taxpayer Relief Act (P.L. 105-34). Initially, it was established as a credit that was generally non-refundable and that required applicants to have earned income. This meant that, for the majority of people, the entire credit had to be spent toward paying off their taxes and that the taxpayer was not refunded any remaining credit amount.

In 2001, through the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act (P.L. 107-16), Congress legislated that the credit could be partially refunded; this partial refund is known as the Additional CTC. The additional eligibility was determined according to one of two formulas: (1) based on 15% of the earned taxable income or (2) based on payroll taxes and with the requirement of having three or more dependents. Puerto Rico was not explicitly addressed in this legislation but, given that the majority of workers in Puerto Rico do not have a federally taxable earned income, the second formula applied to the jurisdiction. Under this formula, only people with three or more dependent children could qualify. In 2019, this formula made it so only 10% of families with children could be eligible.

In subsequent years, various organizations—among which the IDJ was a key player—advocated for Puerto Rico to receive equal access to the CTC. However, during that period, the law was not changed to expand eligibility for families in Puerto Rico.

Since the inception of the CTC, there have been multiple changes to the credit amount and the eligible income levels. However, it wasn’t

---

until 2021 that, under the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) (P.L. 117-2), amendments were made expanding eligibility for families in Puerto Rico. ARPA permanently extends the CTC to families in Puerto Rico with one or two dependent children.

For the tax year 2021, ARPA also increased credit amounts: up to $3,600 per eligible child aged 5 and under and up to $3,000 per child aged 6 to 17. Additionally, the credit could now be disbursed in its totality and was made accessible to families that did not earn income from employment in 2021.

Under the CTC implemented by ARPA, families could receive monthly payments for this benefit, even those that do not file tax returns. However, the families in Puerto Rico that were eligible for this expanded credit had to wait until the beginning of 2022 tax season to file for it using forms 1040-PR or 1040-SS and then had to wait until July 2022 to be able to use the simplified tool GetCTC.org.

With ARPA’s CTC expansion expiring, the stipulations regarding CTC in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (P.L. 115-97) will be reinstated, although families in Puerto Rico with at least one dependent child will continue to be eligible. The maximum benefit that families in Puerto Rico may receive will be cut to $1,400 (with an adjustment for inflation that could increase it up to $1,500), the age of eligible children will be reset to 16 and under, and applicants will be required to have income from employment.

At the time of publication, Congress is debating whether to reinstate the expanded CTC for additional years.
How this Study was Done?

This study’s results are based on five data sources (Figure 2):

1) Puerto Rico Community Survey,
2) CTC Survey,
3) Focus groups,
4) Observations collected at events where free services were provided and from multi-sectoral meeting notes, and
5) IRS data per ZIP code tabulation area.

These data sources present a broad view of the impact of the CTC in Puerto Rico, including families’ experiences when filing for the credit and its implementation issues.

To simulate poverty rates with the CTC, data at the individual level from the 2019 Puerto Rico Community Survey (PRCS) were used; these were the latest data available when the study was conducted. Since the data used is from 2019, it was assumed that families’ incomes did not change significantly between 2019 and 2021, especially when considering inflation. Poverty rates were projected with the assumption that incomes stayed the same as they were in 2019, and the CTC rules for 2019, 2021, and 2022 were applied.

CTC and EITC amounts are not included in families’ incomes report to the Census or to the PRCS. Poverty rates that consider CTC and EITC benefits are referred to as “adjusted poverty rates” in this report. Poverty rates, incomes, and the number of eligible people receiving the CTC and EITC in 2021 and 2022 were compared with the same data for 2019, using the CTC and EITC that were in effect in 2019.

The impact presented in this report is an estimate and is based on simulations, since whether a family actually received either the CTC or the EITC and how much it received cannot be determined from PRCS data.

Puerto Rico started a new EITC in 2019 after eliminating the one that was in effect from 2007 to 2013. In 2019, families could receive up to $2,000, depending on their income and number of dependents. Only families with three or more children that received income from employment could receive the CTC.

Data per ZIP code were shared with the IDJ through multi-sectoral meetings attended by IRS representatives. These data reflect the IRS’s most up-to-date statistics regarding CTC applications in Puerto Rico and include information on the number of cases handled by the Tax Protection Program (TPP), the number of open cases, and the number of tax returns requesting the CTC.

The CTC Survey was conducted with a random sample of 400 families that are eligible for the CTC. Families were surveyed during the second and third weeks of May 2022. The sampling framework was an online panel of participants representative of Puerto Rico, according to 2010 Census data. In addition to demographic information, the survey collected data about whether families had already applied, whether they had received the benefit, access issues they faced, the credit’s impact on their daily lives, how they found out about the credit,

13 The Census did not publish 2020 data for Puerto Rico, and 2021 microdata were not available at the time of publication.
and how they used the money. Six focus groups were held: five in-person in five municipalities and one online. A total of forty-five people participated in these focus groups. The groups talked about (1) participants’ knowledge about the CTC campaign, (2) their experiences claiming the credit, (3) potential effects on their employment, (4) their benefit disbursement method preferences (monthly or annual), and (5) what the CTC means for their lives.

We also collected observations reported from IDJ staff about free tax filing events offered throughout Puerto Rico and notes from the weekly meetings held by a multi-sectoral group that included representatives from the Biden administration, including IRS personnel. In these meetings, attendees discussed information on the service days sponsored by the IRS, which were held on five Saturdays in the summer of 2022. The IDJ, along with the Hispanic Federation and Espacios Abiertos, is a founding member of Alliance for the Economic Security of Puerto Rico (Alianza por la Seguridad Económica de Puerto Rico). Alliance developed Claim Your Money (Reclama tu Dinero) campaign to educate about the CTC and promote access to it.

As part of this campaign, 34 free tax filing events were held in twenty-three municipalities, in coordination with nonprofits and government entities. The organizations that collaborated are presented in Appendix 1.
Potential Impacts of the CTC

This section presents estimates for the possible impact of the CTC on poverty and median income, using the CTC rules that were in place in 2021 and 2022. This section also presents simulations of the impact of the CTC combined with the EITC. Incomes for 2019 and the CTC and EITC rules in effect in 2019 were used as the baseline. The simulations employ the public use microdata samples (PUMS) used in the 2019 PRCS and from the University of Minnesota’s IPUMS USA.14

As is the case with other tax refunds, neither the CTC nor the EITC are included in the income reported in the PRCS. Therefore it was necessary to simulate the amount people would have received based on the eligibility rules and according to the number of children, their ages, and the household income. These simulated amounts were added to the household income, and the result was used to compute adjusted poverty rates (that is, poverty rates that include the CTC/EITC as income).

The adjusted poverty rates were calculated using the income thresholds of 2019 reported by the Census. For example, in 2019, a family of three —including two children— must have had $20,59815 to Impacts are potential since: (1) the PRCS’s income and family composition information used to compute the CTC and EITC do not necessarily re-create the tax unit that is reported when filing for the credits, which means that there is no way to know for sure if the computed credit amounts are the amounts the family actually could have received, and (2) it is not known for certain whether the households actually applied for the credits, nor whether they received them.

Some of the calculations estimate the potential impact under two scenarios: if 100% of those eligible receive the

---
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### Baseline Indicators: 2019, with CTC and EITC Rules in Effect in 2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Population and eligibility</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Families with children ages 17 and under</td>
<td>308,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children ages 17 and under</td>
<td>572,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families with children eligible for the CTC according to 2019 rules</td>
<td>20,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children eligible for the CTC according to 2019 rules (ages 16 and under)</td>
<td>71,039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Incomes and poverty (families with children)</th>
<th>With neither the CTC nor EITC</th>
<th>Adjusted poverty rate (with 2019 CTC and/or EITC rules)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child poverty rate (0–100%)</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme child poverty rate (0–50%)</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median income</td>
<td>$25,200</td>
<td>$25,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refers to potential impact.
benefit and if 80% do. The 80% mark was chosen as a reasonable second scenario since, according to data from the CTC Survey, by mid-May 2022, 75% had applied for the CTC. The campaign to file for the CTC continued beyond May, and it is possible that this percentage may have increased. IRS data for August 2022 suggest an application rate of 76%.

**Baseline Year and Poverty Rates**

To calculate the impact of the CTC according to the rules in effect in 2021 and 2022, adjusted incomes for 2019 were used as baseline. These adjusted incomes include the incomes reported in the PRCS plus the CTC and EITC benefits that were in effect in 2019. For the baseline year, only the incomes with the combined credits are calculated since this is the income that it is assumed that families had at the starting point.

In 2019, families in Puerto Rico were eligible to receive up to a maximum of $1,400 per child aged 16 and under in CTC, but only if they had three or more children and income from employment. The EITC was funded solely with state funds and authorized a maximum of $2,000 according to the number of dependents and the amount of earned income. The base number of families with children ages 17 and under is 308,621, yet only 20,000 families qualified for the CTC according to 2019 rules. The number of children ages 17 and under was 572,788, yet only 12% qualified for the CTC due to the age limit being 16 and the requirement of having three or more children in the family. These statistics are shown in Table 1.

The adjusted poverty rate is the poverty rate that accounts for the CTC and EITC that applied to each year. The CTC and EITC in effect in 2019 reduced the poverty rate by 2 percentage points, from 57% to 55%, and barely reduced the extreme poverty rate.

In the following simulations, the incomes and poverty rate under the CTC and EITC in effect in 2019 are used as the baseline for analyzing the effects of the new rules.

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Families</th>
<th>Children ages 17 and under</th>
<th>Children ages 5 and under</th>
<th>Children ages 6 to 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not eligible</td>
<td>3,436</td>
<td>6,554</td>
<td>1,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>305,185</td>
<td>566,234</td>
<td>140,868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>308,621</strong></td>
<td><strong>572,788</strong></td>
<td><strong>142,353</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of eligible families receiving the credit</th>
<th>Economic impact ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>$1,380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Refers to potential impact.
Simulation of the Economic Impact of the CTC under 2021 Rules

With the CTC under ARPA, most families with children in Puerto Rico were eligible for the credit. Table 2 shows the number of eligible families and children. According to data from the 2019 PRCS, approximately 305,000 families with children in Puerto Rico were eligible to receive the CTC under the 2021 rules. This means that 99% of these families could receive up to a maximum of $3,600 per child age 5 and under and up to $3,000 per child age 6 to 17. Approximately 566,000 children—out of a population of 572,000—were eligible for the CTC under 2021 rules. We estimate that 75% of these children (425,000) are over 5 years old. These numbers show that the 2021 CTC benefit practically applied universally to families with children and youth.

Direct Economic Impact

Considering income, number of children, and children’s ages, it is estimated that eligible families would receive, on average, $4,973 from the CTC. The potential direct economic impact of the CTC in Puerto Rico was also calculated based on two scenarios for the percentage of families that actually received the benefit. This information is shown in Table 3. If 100% of eligible families received the benefit, $1.78 billion would be injected into the economy. If 80% of eligible families received the benefit, the potential impact on the economy would be $1.38 billion.

Potential Impacts on Income and Poverty

The potential impact on the income of families with children is substantial, as shown in Table 4.

Median household income plus the CTC/EITC under the rules in effect in 2019 (that is, the local EITC with a $2,000 maximum and the CTC of up to $1,400 for families with three or more children up to the age of 16 and that received income from employment) was used as the basis for comparison. Considering these additional sources of income under 2019 rules, the median household income for all families with children is $25,800. After calculating the total benefit amount of the 2021 CTC, median income increases to $30,000, which represents a 16% increase. When only families living in poverty are considered, the CTC increases median income by 48%, from $10,540 to $15,620.

These increases are substantial, and validate the potential impact of the CTC under ARPA. To simulate the impact on poverty, the total benefit amount of the CTC was added to the total household income. Then, the resulting household income was compared against the 2019 Census poverty thresholds, and the adjusted poverty rate was calculated. The poverty levels in 2019 after adding the CTC/EITC in effect for 2019 to the household income were used as the basis for comparison.

The poverty interval from 0% to 100% refers to all the families that live at or below the poverty threshold. Families whose income falls between 0% and 50% of the poverty threshold are families living in extreme poverty.
**Figure 3**
Adjusted Child Poverty Rates with and without the 2021 CTC

**Extreme poverty**
- Without CTC-2021: 35%
- With CTC-2021: 14%

**Poverty**
- Without CTC-2021: 55%
- With CTC-2021: 39%

**Figure 4**
Adjusted child poverty rates with and without 2021 CTC: 80% of eligible families receiving the CTC

**Extreme poverty**
- Without CTC-2021: 35%
- With CTC-2021: 16%

**Poverty**
- Without CTC-2021: 55%
- With CTC-2021: 40%

**Figure 5**
Adjusted child poverty rates with and without the 2021 CTC and EITC

**Extreme poverty**
- Without CTC/EITC-2021: 35%
- With CTC/EITC-2021: 13%

**Poverty**
- Without CTC/EITC-2021: 55%
- With CTC/EITC-2021: 34%
These families have the lowest incomes. Their income amounts are less than half the amount required to qualify as out of poverty.

The simulations indicate that the 2021 CTC produced never-before-seen reductions in poverty. Figure 3 presents adjusted child poverty and adjusted extreme child poverty rates before and after families received the 2021 CTC. The adjusted child poverty rate in 2019, with the CTC/EITC in effect for that year, was 55%. After simulating the incomes under the 2021 CTC, the adjusted child poverty rate declined to 39%. This decline represents a reduction of 16 percentage points in the adjusted poverty rate, or 29%. Although the figures are not exactly comparable, the CTC in place for 2021 reduced the United States’ poverty rate, calculated according to the Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM), by 46%.16

The adjusted extreme child poverty rate before receiving the 2021 CTC was 35%. After calculating the family incomes with the 2021 CTC included, the rate decreased to 14%. This is a 60% reduction in extreme poverty.

Such reductions in poverty rates are unprecedented in Puerto Rico. Based on these figures, we estimate that the CTC under the rules that were in effect in 2021 had the potential to lift 47,000 families with children out of poverty.

The percentage of families that received the CTC compared to those that applied is still unknown. Therefore, we also simulated the impact on poverty if 80% of eligible families received the CTC. This percentage is consistent with the percentage of applicants in the August 2022 IRS data per ZIP code, and it is close to what was reported in the CTC Survey in mid-May 2022.

As shown in Figure 4, even with 80% receiving the CTC, the potential impact is significant. The adjusted child poverty rate would decrease from 55% to 40%, while the
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Impact of the 2021 CTC and EITC on the Incomes of Families with Children*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All families</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 2019 CTC and EITC rules</td>
<td>Median income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 2021 CTC and EITC rules</td>
<td>Median income</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assuming that 100% of eligible families receive the CTC/EITC. Includes families with children, whether they are eligible for the CTC or not. Refers to potential impact.
The expanded CTC and EITC combined are a powerful tool for reducing child poverty, as shown in Figure 5. Expanding both the CTC and the EITC could reduce the child poverty rate by 21 percentage points, a shift in the adjusted child poverty rate from 55% to 34%. This represents a 38% reduction, an unprecedented decline in an indicator that has shown little change in the past two decades. The CTC and EITC, under the rules put in place by ARPA, lifted 64,000 families with children out of poverty.

The CTC alone reduced the poverty rate by 16 percentage points (Figure 3), while the EITC amplified this effect by 5 percentage points. Similarly, the adjusted extreme poverty rate declined from 35% to 13%. Compared to the CTC alone, it appears that the EITC does not have a great impact on extreme poverty rates. This is due to the fact that the EITC is aimed at employed families, and most families in extreme poverty either do not report such income or their income is very low.

The median income for families with children shows considerable changes when both credits are applied (Table 5). The median income with the CTC/EITC benefits that were in place in 2019 was $25,800. After calculating household incomes with the benefits received in 2021, the median household income increased to $32,700, or by 27%.

The combined effect of the CTC and the EITC on the incomes of families living in extreme poverty is even greater. We estimate that the median income of families living in extreme poverty was $10,540. After receiving the CTC and EITC benefits, the median income increased to $17,000, or by 61%.

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Families with children ages 16 and under</th>
<th>Children’s ages 0–16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not eligible</td>
<td>65,476</td>
<td>127,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>224,892</td>
<td>405,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>290,368</td>
<td>532,933</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7
**Direct Economic Impact of the 2022 CTC (in millions of dollars)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario of families who receive the CTC</th>
<th>Direct economic impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% If 100% of eligible families receive the 2022 CTC</td>
<td>$402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% If 80% of eligible families receive the 2022 CTC</td>
<td>$368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 8
**2022 CTC Impact on the Income of Families with Children***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total families with children</th>
<th>Families in poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under 2019 CTC/EITC rules</strong></td>
<td>$25,800 $27,000</td>
<td>$10,540 $11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under 2022 CTC rules</strong></td>
<td><strong>$27,000</strong> <strong>5%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,000</strong> <strong>4%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assuming that 100% of eligible families receive the CTC. Families with children up to age 17; eligible and ineligible for the 2022 CTC. Refers to potential impact.

### Table 9
**Simulations of Median Incomes of Families with Children with and without the 2022 CTC and EITC***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total families with children ages 0−17</th>
<th>Families in poverty with children ages 0−17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under 2019 CTC/EITC rules</strong></td>
<td>$25,800 $29,562</td>
<td>$10,540 $12,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Under 2022 CTC/EITC rules</strong></td>
<td><strong>$29,562</strong> <strong>14%</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,164</strong> <strong>15%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assuming that 100% of eligible families receive the CTC/EITC. Families with children ages 0 to 17, eligible and ineligible for the 2022 CTC. Refers to potential impact.
Simulations of the Economic Impact of the CTC under 2022 Rules

In 2021, as a result of ARPA, the CTC became available to families in Puerto Rico regardless of whether or not they had income from employment. The amounts were $3,600 per child age 5 and under and $3,000 per child age 6 to 17. With the expiration of ARPA and the back in place of the 2017 Employment and Tax Reduction Act, families with at least one child will continue to be eligible during the 2022 tax year, but these families must earn income from employment, and the maximum amount of credit redeemable in Puerto Rico is $1,400 per child, which may increase to up to $1,500 with an adjustment for inflation. In 2022, the CTC may be claimed for dependent children up to age 16.

The number of eligible families and children eligible are shown in Table 6. An estimated 225,000 families will be eligible to receive the CTC under the 2022 rules. This figure represents 77% of all families with children up to age 16. Likewise, 76% of children ages 16 and under are eligible in 2022. Compared to the 2021 tax year, 80,000 families and 161,000 children and youth will no longer benefit from the CTC.

The decrease in the number of eligible families is due to two factors. First, the reincorporation of the requirement for income from employment excludes families with children that benefited in 2021 but did not work for pay. Second, families who only have dependent children age 17 are excluded and do not qualify for the benefit.

Direct Economic Impact of the 2022 CTC

Simulating the 2022 CTC rules, eligible families would receive an average of $1,787. This average was calculated under the assumption that 100% of eligible families file for the benefit. The total economic impact of the 2022 CTC is shown in Table 7 and was calculated according to two scenarios: one where 100% of eligible families receive the CTC and another where only 80% of families receive it. Supposing all eligible families receive the CTC, this represents a direct injection of $402 million into the economy. Compared to the 2021 CTC, that is a decrease of $1.36 billion. When randomly simulating that 80% of these families receive the CTC, the direct economic impact is $368 million.

In 2019, the median income for all families with children up to age 17 was $25,800, under 2019 CTC and EITC rules. After adding the CTC benefit to the income under 2022 rules, the median income raised to $27,000, a potential increase of 5% (Table 8). The median income for all families with children in poverty under the 2019 rules was $10,540. After adding the 2022 CTC benefit, the income of these families goes up by 4% to $11,000. The median of $27,000 is $3,000 less than the median simulated with the 2021 CTC.

Impact on poverty

Figure 6 shows the potential impact on the adjusted rate of child poverty and extreme child poverty when receiving the 2022 CTC compared to 2019 rules. The adjusted child poverty rate is reduced by just 1 percentage point. Extreme child poverty is reduced by 3 percentage points, from 35% to 32%.

We also simulated the impact on poverty if 80% of eligible families receive the CTC. Rounding up or down to zero decimal points, these figures are virtually similar to those simulated when 100% receive the CTC.

Figure 7 shows the combined effect of the 2022 CTC and EITC on poverty. The CTC and EITC have a combined potential effect on the rate of child poverty equal to 6 percentage points compared to just 1 percentage point when receiving the CTC alone. That is, under the 2022 rules, the EITC has a greater effect on reducing poverty than the CTC, a contrast to the 2021 rules when the CTC had a greater impact on poverty reduction. Extreme poverty shows a decrease of 4 percentage points, compared to 3 percentage points from just the 2022 CTC. The CTC/EITC under the 2022 rules has the potential to lift 18,000 children and youth out of poverty.

Table 9 shows median incomes before and after the 2022 credits. All families with children up to age 17 are considered. The median income for all families with children increases by 14%, from $25,800 to $29,562. The combined effect of the CTC and EITC increases the incomes of families living in poverty by 15%.
Summary of Simulations

Figures 9 through 11 present a summary of the potential effects of the CTC and EITC. The 2021 CTC achieved an unprecedented decrease in the adjusted child poverty rate in Puerto Rico, reducing the adjusted poverty rate from 55% to 39%. This is the reduction in poverty if everyone eligible files for and receives the CTC. The reduction in extreme poverty was even greater. But after the expiration of ARPA, the child poverty rate increased again, being only one percentage point lower than the rate if CTC rules were similar to those in 2019. The 2021 CTC lifted 47,000 families with children out of poverty. The combination of the CTC and EITC in 2021 lifted 64,000 families with children out of poverty. The economic improvement of these families was much greater since extreme poverty was cut down by more than half.

If 2022 rules are applied, both the CTC and the expanded EITC could still reduce child poverty by 6 percentage points with respect to the base year, but the poverty rate according to 2022 rules is still greater than the rate according to 2021 rules.

The average family with children received fewer benefits with the 2022 CTC than with the 2021 CTC, but even the more limited 2022 CTC increases incomes when compared to the 2019 CTC.

Even if the new CTC rules are put into effect, the current CTC and EITC combined would still be a good recipe for reducing child poverty, but their impact would be limited due to the high percentage of families without work income. Under the new 2022 rules, joining the workforce would be key to unlocking CTC and EITC benefits.
In mid-May 2022, IDJ conducted an online survey of families with children. The sample size was 400 participants ages 18 to 60 who were eligible for the CTC. Information on the survey participants’ characteristics compared to the 2019 PRCS is shown in Appendix 2. In general, the survey reproduces well the sample of the PRCS, except for age, since the survey participants skew younger. Comparisons by education level or income are presented when statistically significant. The purpose of the survey was to learn how the CTC was received among eligible families, perceptions on the difficulty of the filing process, obstacles to filing, ways in which the money was used, and the impact it had on the lives of participants.

Three out of four eligible families answered that they had filed for the CTC (Figure 12). This was in May 2022, which means that at that time, which is after the traditional deadline for filing taxes, it is possible that many families had not yet filed the federal tax return to claim the CTC. Families have up to three years to claim the credit. The delay in filing could be due to the fact that Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) Centers opened gradually, that most families are not used to filing federal tax returns, or to confusion about eligibility.

Income plays a role in CTC filing. People with low annual incomes—less than $14,400—were less likely to file for the CTC (71% vs. 78%). This result highlights the need for CTC access opportunities focusing on low-income families.

The claim process was somewhat difficult or very difficult for 31% of eligible families. Families with low incomes reported greater difficulty in navigating the process. Among people with low incomes, 29% found it somewhat difficult or very difficult, while only 21% of people with high incomes reported such difficulty.
One type of reason participants did not claim the CTC stands out: a lack of awareness of or confusion about eligibility rules and not knowing where to file the federal tax return. As shown in Figure 14, 38% of eligible families who did not file did so because they were unaware of the rules, did not know where to file, or did not understand the process.

The survey also showed that 24% of those who did not file did so because they had agreed that another relative would file the claim. This person could be a non-custodial parent, a shared-custody parent, or another relative who they decided should claim the credit. It is unknown whether those who filed the claim for the child transferred the money to the child’s guardian. It is also up for investigation whether this type of arrangement could lead to identity theft problems or to a need for verifying participants’ identities.

Figure 15 indicates how common it was for claimants to go through identity verification processes. More than half the families who claimed the credit received an identity verification letter. The frequency of this process was also documented in focus groups, in observations collected at free-of-charge service centers and with IRS data.

It seems that having a college education helps in reducing the chances of identity verification. Perhaps the college educated are better informed about how to prevent identity theft or have previously filed a tax return, making them more familiar with the process. Among people with college degrees, 46% received an identity verification letter, compared to 63% of those without college degrees.

The survey shows that in mid-May 2022, 55% of those who had filed a claim had already received the CTC benefits; however, data on when they filed was not collected.

The CTC has transformative potential. The survey examined how the CTC impacted the lives of families (Figure 17), asking participants to pick a maximum of two choices. The CTC brought peace of mind to 43% of families because it alleviated various needs. The CTC also helped resolve cases of persistent food needs in 27% of families, such as being able to purchase higher-quality groceries and running out of food throughout the month.

CTC benefits may be used for whatever families wish. Survey participants were asked about how they had used or planned to use the money, depending on whether they had already received it or not. Contrary to the conspicuous consumption narrative surrounding government benefits, families used CTC benefits in a balanced way: 35% paid debts, 26% put it toward savings, and 38% used it for miscellaneous purposes (Figure 18). Moreover, people without a college education were more likely to use the money for miscellaneous purposes (48% vs. 28%), demonstrating the limitations in daily expenses that these families may be facing.

In the United States, families had the option of receiving the CTC via monthly installments. However, this option was not available to Puerto Rico. The survey shows a preference for lump sum disbursements. Figure 19 shows that more than half prefers this payment method. The preference for lump sum disbursements is stronger among families with low incomes: 75% of eligible low-income families prefers lump sum payments versus 65% of high-income families. The section on focus group findings that follows provides a broader perspective on payment preferences.

Currently, people obtain information through various sources. There was a multi-sectoral effort in Puerto Rico to inform citizens about the availability of the CTC through advertisements on social media, billboards, newspapers, radio, television, and even gas pumps. The ways people first heard about the CTC are shown on Figure 20. The sources of information that reached families the most were radio and television: 27% of participants found out through these media. Meanwhile, 23% said they first heard about it through social media, such as Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, or YouTube. Therefore, traditional media is still the most effective way of sharing the message about the CTC to the eligible population of Puerto Rico. Focus group analysis provides more information about this matter.
### Reasons for Not Claiming the CTC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I did not have transportation or money to file the return</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another relative of the minor claimed it without my consent</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will claim it later</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I thought I didn’t qualify because I didn’t work, I had no income from work in 2021</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I did not know where to go to file</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I did not know about this CTC credit</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I thought my income was high</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn’t understand the process</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another reason</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I agreed with the father, mother or another relative that they were going to claim it</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 15**

Participants Who Received an IRS Identity Verification Letter (%)

- **Yes**: 49%
- **No**: 51%
  - With college degree: 46%
  - Without college degree: 63%

**Figure 16**

Participants Who Received CTC Benefits (%)

- **Yes**: 55%
- **No**: 45%
Figure 17: Impact on the Lives of CTC Participants (%)

- Afford childcare and/or transportation to get a job: 2%
- I can get education/training: 2%
- Able to improve health: 3%
- No impact on my life: 6%
- Spend more time with family: 7%
- Don't run short of food during the month: 11%
- Children can enjoy activities they like: 13%
- I can buy better quality food: 16%
- Peace of mind by being able to take care of some needs: 43%

27% was able to afford better nutrition with CTC

Figure 18: Use of CTC Benefits (%)

- 38% Multiple uses
- 35% Pay off debt
- 26% Savings
How Would You Prefer to Receive the CTC Benefits? (%)

- Annual preference Income < $14,400: 75%
- Annual preference Income >= $14,400: 65%

I do not care: 14%
I prefer monthly payments: 32%
I prefer a single payment per year: 54%

How Did You Hear About the CTC? (%)

- Radio or TV ad: 27%
- Social networks like Facebook, Twitter, Instagram & YouTube: 23%
- News & newspaper: 21%
- Family or friends: 14%
- Other (Bank churches, schools, accountants, other): 14%
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Summary of CTC Survey Findings

1) The claim process was slow, since one out of every four eligible families had not filed for the CTC four months after the federal tax return filing period had begun.

2) A lack of awareness about eligibility and the claim process was an important factor in people’s reasons for not filing: believing they were not eligible, not knowing where to file, or believing their income was too high.

3) CTC benefits did not arrive quickly. Forty-five percent (45%) of CTC claimants had not received the funds four months after the claim process had begun, and more than half had received identity verification letters, which further delays receiving the money.

4) The claim process was challenging for a large group of families, especially for those with the lowest incomes.

5) Despite high rates of poverty and the immediate scarcity it implies, 61% of eligible people said they saved the money or used it to settle debts. This suggests a long-term interest by families on improving their personal finances.

6) Academic level serves as a buffer for the difficulty and delays in receiving the disbursement, since claimants with college degrees were less likely to receive identity verification letters.

7) There is a preference for lump sum disbursements, especially among low-income families. The option to receive the funds as monthly installments was not available in Puerto Rico, and it is possible that the unavailability of this option skewed the preference for lump sum disbursements. Even so, it suggests the need for letting families choose between monthly installments and lump sum disbursements when receiving the CTC.
Free Services to Expand CTC Access

The main strategy for expanding access to the CTC was maximizing multi-sectoral collaboration. The government, private companies, and nonprofit organizations collaborated to provide information and tax preparation services to the public. The IDJ was key in these efforts as part of Alliance for the Economic Security of Puerto Rico.

Other free services were offered through VITA Centers, where the services are provided by IRS-trained volunteers and organized by private or public entities. The IRS also participated in the efforts to file tax returns and inform taxpayers during Taxpayer Experience Days. These days were set aside by the IRS for in-person visits from the public at their offices in Guaynabo and were held on five Saturdays between May and June 2022.

Lessons learned through these efforts are detailed below.

Free Services Organized by Alliance for the Economic Security of Puerto Rico

The work of nonprofit organizations was fundamental in bringing to light problems in the initial implementation, and in proposing and executing solutions to expand the scope of educational efforts and free services, particularly in rural areas and the island municipalities (Vieques and Culebra).

Through the coordinated actions of the community-based organizations and government entities listed in Appendix 1, there were 34 events held for orientation and tax preparation services throughout Puerto Rico between March 28 and April 26, 2022.

During these events, IDJ observed several persistent challenges to CTC access in Puerto Rico:

- **Lack of awareness and information about eligibility requirements**: Conversations to participants during the events showed a lack of familiarity with the IRS and the federal tax return filing process. Even with a robust educational campaign, people who were unaware of their possible eligibility attended every event. Recurring questions were mostly about eligibility rules regarding income, the relationship to the dependent child, and citizenship or immigration status. Many people believed they needed income to qualify or that the income they did receive disqualified them from receiving the benefit. They also believed they only qualified if they were the parent of the dependent child. In many cases, eligible people believed they could not file because another person had already fraudulently or mistakenly claimed the child under their care. Another challenge for organizations was addressing the needs of immigrants who did not have a social security number or individual tax identification number, since there was no clear guidance from expert agencies about their eligibility.

- **The digital divide**: Access to online tools is not equal across Puerto Rico, and the digital divide was

17 The official name for the CTC in Spanish used by the IRS is Crédito Tributario por Hijos Reembolsable [Refundable Tax Credit for Children].

34 events held for orientation and free tax preparation services throughout Puerto Rico
particularly evident during events held in rural areas and the island municipalities (Vieques and Culebra). In some cases, the limitation was seen in a lack of wireless coverage to use these tools. In other cases, the challenge was socioeconomic since people lacked smartphones or computers with an internet connection or the computer skills to access the information and complete the process on their own. Given the limitations of technology, in-person events were very welcomed by these communities.

• **Lack of Spanish-speaking IRS staff:** People who attended the events reported receiving IRS letters in English, which they could not read. Likewise, they reported long wait times to receive Spanish-language assistance over the phone. At the start of the tax period, the free tax preparation tool TaxSlayer was not yet fully translated, which limited the ability of people to complete the filing process on their own. This topic repeats in the focus groups.

• **Informational materials that were not translated with cultural relevance:** There was confusion caused by the translation of some terms used in informational materials that were not culturally adapted to Puerto Rico. For example, the world *hijo* was used for child instead of the broader term menor dependiente (dependent child). The official name in Spanish for the credit used by the IRS is Crédito Tributario por Hijos Reembolsable, which implies that the credit may only be claimed for one’s own children, not for any dependent, and the name does not indicate that it is only for dependent children. The phrase declaración de impuestos [tax declaration] was used instead of
the phrase llenar planilla [file tax return], which is commonly used in Puerto Rico.

- **Difficulties with the identity verification process:** Thousands of families received IRS letters asking them to complete an identity verification process either online, by phone, or in person. The letters were first sent in English. In April, the letters were resent in Spanish, which caused confusion. The online identity verification process was plagued by hiccups, mainly because Puerto Rico addresses and identity documents could not be recognized, because materials were not fully translated into Spanish, and because of the long wait times to reach a Spanish-speaking agent if the individual could not complete the process themselves.¹⁸

- **Unsatisfactory services from private tax preparers:** During the events, people reported that they had already requested the CTC but had not yet received it and were unable to contact their private tax preparers to find out the status of their refund. In many cases, the preparers had neglected to give them copies of their tax return or had told them their taxes had been filed on paper, which delayed their processing.

- **Lack of access to in-person IRS services:** During events held in rural areas and the island municipalities (Vieques and Culebra), visitors commented how it was impossible for them to seek IRS services in the Guaynabo offices because they had limited access to transportation.

---

Between April and August 2022, the IRS held five in-person Taxpayer Experience Days. These events were held on Saturdays, no appointment required, at the IRS offices in Guaynabo. The public received various services, which included tax return filing and resolving tax issues with the help of the Tax Assistance Center (TAC). Most issues discussed in those events were related to identity verification and possible identity theft. The testimony given by taxpayers demonstrates that the IRS was not prepared to assist the large number of people who sought services.

- **VITA Centers were delayed in opening:** Not all VITA Centers were open during the entirety of the filing period. This limited taxpayers’ capacity to receive free services.

- **Limited access in high-need areas:** Most VITA Centers were in coastal towns and metropolitan areas, and there was limited presence in small municipalities and the mountain region, which are high-need areas due to their poverty rates. Many centers operated in universities and shopping malls. Taxpayer Experience Days were held only in Guaynabo municipality within the San Juan metropolitan area.

- **Poor space planning:** The location of Taxpayer Experience Days was overwhelmed by the high volume of people who attended. Hundreds of people had to stand in line outside in the scorching Puerto Rican summer heat and occasional rain showers. Though tax return filing and consulting services were originally offered in the same office, they were later separated between two offices in Guaynabo due to the high volume of visitors. However, visitors did not know which office to go to, so they sometimes had to stand in two different lines.

- **Insufficient staff to meet the demand for services:** Information provided by IRS staff in multi-sectoral meetings demonstrated the large number of people who attended Taxpayer Experience Days. Around 500 individuals from all over Puerto Rico attended the first event, some standing in line overnight. Although they were all assisted, many people received referrals, where the staff took their information and agreed to call them within five days because they did not have enough time to address their issue. More than 200 referrals were issued for cases that could not be fully addressed that day. During the second event, the IRS increased its capacity to be able to assist 750 people; however, by 9:30 a.m., more than 1,500 people had arrived. More than 300 referrals were issued. The following events were also attended by thousands of people, but the number of referrals was reduced as the IRS increased the available staff.
Voices of CTC Claimants

To bring the voices of CTC claimants into the scope of analysis on its impact, six focus groups were conducted: five in-person groups in five towns and one online focus group. A total of 45 people participated, with between six and 11 participants per group. The in-person focus groups met at the facilities of partner nonprofit organizations who gave us access to their facilities in August 2022. Each focus group had an approximate duration of one hour. Participant characteristics are shown in Appendix 3. The purpose of the focus groups is to gather experiences, suggestions, and expectations from parents, grandparents, and guardians who claimed the CTC.

Below, we present the topics and highlights from the group discussions.

People Look for Credible Messengers

[You Don’t Believe It until You See It in the Press]

“Hasta que uno no lo ve en la prensa no lo cree”.
— mother from Bayamón

We asked participants how they found out about the CTC and how they perceived the information provided via different media. The answers were varied, but news media and Facebook dominated the first question. Notably, the issue of credibility came up across all focus groups, with some participants agreeing that, despite seeing it on social media, seeing it in the press and on the news inspired greater trust and persuaded them to file. Participants were aware of disinformation on Facebook, so they found it necessary to corroborate with credible sources and recognizable faces.

[You Don’t Believe It until You See It in the Press]

“Yo no tenía ni idea de que había gente que lo llenaba gratis, porque la promoción de los contables estaba en las redes y los centros empezaron tarde.”

Only eight participants out of forty-five were able to fill out the CTC form with the IRS or at another free event.

The experience with the focus groups demonstrates that groups who want to provide information about the CTC should know that social media amplifies the message, but because of the disinformation that sometimes runs rampant in this medium, traditional methods such as the press and television are still important.
Overwhelming Language Barrier

[(The IRS letter was) in English, and every time they assist me, it’s in English. Today (August 2022) I waited for more than two hours just for them to pick up in English. I tell them I don’t speak English that I want to speak to someone in Spanish, and then I had to wait another hour and a half for them to answer.]

“(La carta de IRS) en inglés y cada vez que me atienden en inglés. Yo estuve hoy (agosto 2022) esperando dos horas y pico nada más en inglés, pa’ que me contestaran en inglés, le digo que no hablo inglés que me pongan alguien en español y para esa llamada estuve esperando una hora y pico más para que me contestaran”.

— mother from Arecibo

Participants expressed several issues regarding access to the credit, but the most prevalent one among all focus groups was the language barrier. Being unable to speak English posed a huge multi-pronged obstacle to access the CTC in Puerto Rico. This means that they are likely unable to understand English-language materials at all or well, or adequately communicate in that language. Participants in the focus groups noted that not being able to speak English further complicated the identity verification process. In addition, requesting the service in Spanish subjected them to longer wait times for resolving issues, which created another obstacle to accessing the benefit.

A mother from Ponce expressed her solidarity and frustration, saying:

[There’s no way for us here, knowing that we speak Spanish… there’s no way that they—these women—can do this alone

without [the help of] an English speaker].

“No hay manera para nosotros aquí, que saben que nuestro idioma es español... No hay manera que ellas pudieran hacerlo solas sin alguien que supiera inglés”.

Participants tap into their own resources to speed up the process, but it was often in vain.

One participant from Ponce told us how she tried to help a friend by translating over the phone, but she was rejected as an interpreter by the IRS. The next day, after a long hold, her friend’s call was finally picked up, but when she said, ‘Hold on,’ the only English phrase she knew, the IRS representative hung up. Some participants indicated that they needed to use cell phones belonging to other people for the calls, because their own phones would not stay on hold for more than two hours.

A mother from Arecibo provided another example of the long wait times and uncertainty regarding services offered in Puerto Rico and how participants tried to utilize their own resources, when she said:

[I’ve heard of people who have bought flights and done the identity verification there [in the United States]. It’s really easy. It’s really easy over there, you can do the verification today and, in one or two weeks, you’ll have the money deposited in your account].

“He sabido de gente que ha cogido pasajes y han hecho su verificación de identidad allá (Estados Unidos). Allá es bien rápido, tú haces la verificación hoy y ya en una semana o dos tienes el dinero depositado en tu tarjeta”.
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Excessive Tax Return Filing Costs

[It is abusive] “Eso es abuso”

[...up to $300 per child $500]

Many families used private services to file for the CTC because it required filing a federal tax return for residents in Puerto Rico, and availability, awareness of and access to free-filing services was limited. In doing so, they often incurred in high costs—at times without knowing—which reduced the amount of money that ended up directly reaching those that needed it the most: low-income children.

The community said they had witnessed how some places or certain staff who were supposed to file their taxes and claim the CTC had taken advantage of families.

A mother in Ponce emphasized that her sister tried twice:

[... “because she had gone to an accountant who was heavily advertising his services. She went because the service was supposedly free of charge. She filled out the form and wasn’t charged right away. I told her that the amount was $13,000, and she told me that the accountant said she would receive $10,000. And I said, excuse me, but no. If you have this number of children, it’s supposed to be this amount. The table is on the IRS page, and there were several websites where you could enter the number of children and it would tell you how much you were going to receive].

“...porque ella fue donde un contable de estos que daban mucha promoción, ella fue y que era dizque gratis. Ella lo llenaba y al momento no le cobraran. Yo le dije que la cantidad era $13,000 y ella me dijo que el contable le dijo que ella iba a recibir 10,000 dólares. Y yo, ¿perdón?, no. Si tú tienes esos niños, se supone que es esta cantidad, porque en la página del IRS está la tabla y había muchos sitios que tu ponías la cantidad de niños y te decía cuánto ibas a recibir”.

In other cases, they did not communicate the cost of the service, but deducted it from the refund amount anyways. Since the form allowed the money to be credited to more than one account, it allowed tax preparers to split up the amount, so the preparer could receive a payment when the credit funds are disbursed.

A mother from the municipality of Bayamón said that:

[Some people were charging up to $500. But that is abusive, that’s why Hacienda opened some centers, to help low-income people].

“Hubo gente que estaba cobrando hasta $500. Pero eso es abuso, por eso fue que Hacienda abrió unos centros, para ayudar a personas de bajos recursos”.

Another mother from the same town added:

[At first, they were saying that accountants were charging up to $300 per child].

“Al principio estaban diciendo que los contables estaban cobrando hasta $300 por cada niño.”
One of the mothers from the focus group in Bayamón illustrated how payments worked in the case of one preparer:

[They charged me $200 per child, and I have two. When the funds were disbursed, that is when they collect their payment.]

“A mí me cobraron $200 por cada niño y son dos. Cuando llegó la cantidad, ahí ellos (los preparadores) lo cobraron”.

The increased need for credible and competent free-filing centers, as well as that of increased awareness of taxpayer rights and tax-preparer responsibility is underscored by these stories. Free-filing services was a saving grace, not available to all. We found that people who filed for the credit by way of the IRS through VITA Centers had no issues. Regarding VITA Centers, a mother from Ponce stated:

[At least in my experience, I filled out the form in a VITA Center because I had worked as a volunteer in a VITA Center, and I didn’t pay. But I saw people who were charged $300 per dependent to fill out the form. And I saw people who hired accountants and ran into the same (ID verification) problem].

“Por lo menos mi experiencia, yo la llené en centro VITA porque yo trabajé como voluntaria en un centro VITA y no pagué. Pero yo vi mucha gente que le cobraron $300 por llenarla, por cada dependiente. Y vi personas que fueron a contables y tuvieron el mismo problema (de verificación de identidad)”.

Identity Theft and Wrongfully Claimed Refunds

[...my son’s identity was stolen, and now I have to start a whole process, and call some numbers, and send over evidence of the child, and now I have to wait 165 days.]

“... a mi hijo le robaron la identidad y tengo que hacer un proceso y unos números que tengo que llamar y enviar evidencia del menor y ahora tengo que esperar 165 días”.

Among challenges faced by families, identity theft and claiming of dependents by other, non-qualifying individuals, were the most mentioned. This, because of the high rate of incidences and its impact in delaying disbursement of the CTC. Tax preparers, however costly they were, were not always available later to help people who had issues with their tax returns. The affected focus group participants agreed on wanting to know who had claimed their dependents and how this problem could be quickly resolved. A mother from Culebra stated that she had not received any information or money:

[I haven’t received anything. I visited an accountant who filled out the tax return, which was sent by mail. I still hadn’t received anything, and it later turned out that my child’s identity had been stolen. Now, I have to start a whole process, send evidence of the child, and wait 165 days. It doesn’t show exactly who stole it.]
“A mí no me ha llegado nada. Fui a un contable y el contable me llenó el papel de las planillas y se envió por correo. No me llega nada y luego resulta que a mi hijo le robaron la identidad y tengo que hacer un proceso y enviar evidencia del menor y ahora tengo que esperar 165 días. No sale exactamente quién se la robó”.

Likewise, participants told stories of non-custodial parents, and even relatives, who had claimed dependents without previous authorization. Regarding her experience, a mother from Ponce remarked:

[I received a deposit in my account for my youngest daughter, but not my oldest daughter...When I went to Banco Popular, the manager notified me that my account had been frozen because it was under investigation. Supposedly, the girl’s father had already received four checks on her behalf because he had claimed she was living with him, and that’s just not true].

“Me depositaron en la cuenta la parte de ella, la de la mayor no... Cuando yo fui al Banco Popular el gerente me notificó que eso estaba “freezeado” porque estaba en investigación, porque supuestamente el padre de la niña ya había cogido cuatro cheques a nombre de ella, como que él la tiene viviendo con ella y no es así”.

Evidence suggests that cash transfers result in many benefits, including a decrease in poverty, higher academic level, and better nutrition. Within this context, we explore, along with the community, the effects that the CTC refund has had in their lives, with the understanding that perhaps there may not have been any immediate shifts that guaranteed their economic mobility and security, but improvements to their quality of life that may have a far-reaching impact. Some of the most noteworthy and recurrent investments were families fixing or buying cars, purchasing bedroom furniture and clothes for their children, and making home improvements. These investments represented a significant achievement for low-income families and their ability to contribute to the wellbeing of their children.
The participants’ use or planned use for the funds obtained from the CTC shows how the credit alleviated the scarcity experienced by many families and opened opportunities for economic mobility. A mother from Ponce shared with us that she:

[. . . Means of transportation, which I didn’t have. We had to walk. And, you could say, I’m basically alone. Just to get to the store, we had to use a shopping cart and carry two gallons of water on foot, because we didn’t even have $5 to hail a taxi. I had to walk back with my son, rain or shine, whatever the weather conditions. And go back to get four more bags to carry. I mean, transportation. My son cried. That kid cried (when he saw we got a car)].

“Transportación, que no tenía, estábamos a pie. Y yo estoy, se puede decir, sola. Así que para ir a la tienda era con el carrrito, traer dos botellas de agua a pie, porque tampoco había $5 para el taxi. Regresar con mi muchachito bajo el sol, bajo la lluvia, bajo como fuera. Ir a buscar cuatro bolsitas más que pudiera yo cargar. O sea, transportación. Mi nene lloró. Ese niño lloró (cuando vio que tenía un carro”).

The well-being of their children was a recurrent theme when contemplating the use and effects of the CTC. Participants affirmed that the money should be spent with their best interest in mind and that the CTC indeed provided a direct benefit to their children.

The CTC also contributed towards supporting youth in their transition to post-secondary education. A mother from Culebra shared that:

[Thanks to that money, I was able to purchase a vehicle for my daughter, who, God willing, is going away to college. Because there is no public transportation anymore, and [taking an] Uber bleeds you dry.]

“Con ese dinero pude completar para conseguirle una gaguita a mi hija que, con el favor de Dios, se va a estudiar. Porque ahora no hay carro público y Uber te parte…”

The ability to make improvements to the household and purchase adequate furnishings to keep up with the needs of developing children was also a priority. Another mother from Culebra highlighted that:

[the place where I live belongs to my mom. I’d like to build two rooms because I have a girl and a boy, and the place only has one room]

“Donde yo vivo es de mi mamá, me gustaría como que hacer dos cuartos; porque yo tengo una nena y un nene y donde yo vivo es un solo cuarto”.

And a mother from Bayamón remarked the following:

[I bought a bedroom set for my son, because he still had a crib that turned into a bed, and he was already 8 years old, and it was too small for him].

“A mi niño se le compró un juego de cuarto porque el que tenía era la cuna que se le convirtió en camita y ya el cumplió 8 años y le quedaba chiquita”.

The CTC also contributed towards supporting youth in their transition to post-secondary education.
While some people in the focus groups had already received the CTC benefits, some were still waiting. The delay in receiving the additional support presented stressors that put their children at risk and limited their and their family’s ability to take part in joyful milestones that represent the well-being and prosperity they deserve. A mother from Ponce who had not yet received the funds shared that:

[. . . I had many commitments, and among those commitments, if I’m being honest, was paying off my debts so I wouldn’t lose my home. With that money I was planning a quinceañer party, but now I have to hold off on it.]

“... yo tenía muchos compromisos, y dentro de esos compromisos, te soy bien honesta, estaba saldar mis cuentas para no perder mi vivienda. Con ese dinero yo tenía planificado el quinceañero y ahora me toca aguantarlo”.

The nuance between deprivation and solace is reflected in a more optimistic mother from Arecibo who had already received the funds said that:

[I think everyone got the money at the perfect time, when money was the tightest. That’s when I got it].

“Yo creo que ese dinero le llegó a todo el mundo en el momento perfecto, cuando más apretado uno estaba, ahí fue que me llegaron los chavos”.

The exposure to adversity that many children in Puerto Rico experience, and the lasting consequences it can have on their development, including impacts on their learning, behavior and mental health, stress the importance of policies that help overcome economic insecurity. While the 2021 CTC provided necessary relief to those that received it, those that haven’t yet as well as those that won’t in 2022, will continue with increasingly limited opportunities to attain economic mobility.
Preference for Annual Payments

Contrary to the United States, where people were given the opportunity to receive the credit in monthly installments, in Puerto Rico, the CTC was offered only as a single disbursement and required participants to file a federal tax return. Participants were asked about their preference for monthly or annual disbursements, and some of their reactions reflect skepticism on their ability to rely on periodic disbursements. Most participants told us they preferred an annual disbursement, either because it was easier to plan their budgets around the funds, or because it is a surer way of securing the funds. This also reflects the burden of day-to-day demands on their budget limiting their ability to make long-term savings.

One of the participants (online) stated:

[I’d prefer it all in one payment, although monthly installments wouldn’t be bad, but you’d risk getting one payment and not the next. But with a single payment, you’d already have the money available, and you could distribute it in a better way].

“Lo prefuería de un solo pago, aunque mensual no estaría mal, pero correría el riesgo de que llegue un pago y el otro no. Ya de un solo pago, lo tendría a la mano y podría distribuirlo de mejor manera”.

Another mother from San Juan shared her concerns over the certainty of monthly installments by saying:

[Well, I don’t think anyone knows for sure what’s going to happen, and] the economy and money are always messing with people. And I’d rather receive it in one lump sum, because you never know].

“Por lo menos yo digo que uno nunca sabe, la economía y el dinero, siempre tienen un juego con las personas. Y los quiero todos de cantazo, de una, porque uno nunca sabe”.

Unexpected expenses that divert money saved for a major expense were another reason an annual disbursement was preferred. One mother from Ponce noted:

[I prefer it yearly because you almost always say, OK, I’m going to buy this particular item. For example, a bedroom set, how much does that cost? Let’s say . . . $3,000. I’d have to put aside $300. Are you aware of all the expenses that come up on a daily basis? If your car breaks down, you have to replace the part. You’re not going to wait to get paid to fix the car. You’re going to use that $300, and you’re no longer saving for the bedroom set.]

“Yo los prefiero anual porque casi siempre uno dice okey, voy a comprar tal cosa. Un ejemplo, un juego de cuarto, ¿cuánto me cuesta? $3,000... ponle 300 tengo que guardar. ¿Tú sabes todos los gastos que le suceden a uno a diario? Que se dañó el carro, que hay que cambiarle tal pieza, tú no vas a esperar a cobrar para arreglar el carro, vas a usar esos $300 y ya no estás ahorrando para el juego de cuarto”.

By another mother from Ponce remarked:

[I’d prefer it be paid yearly, because you know exactly what to spend it on. If it’s monthly, you’ll never get the chance.]
“Yo lo prefiero al año, porque sabes exactamente en qué gastarlo. Mensualmente tú no lo ves”.

The focus of families on using the benefit in big investments is demonstrated by one of the mothers from the online group who reflected:

[I definitely prefer a single payment, because it allows you to make bigger investments, such as buying a bedroom set, things that my girls might need].

“Yo definitivamente lo prefiero en un solo pago, porque eso te permite hacer inversiones más grandes como un juego de cuarto, cosas que necesiten las nenas”.

Some participants, in consideration of everyday demands, preferred instead to receive monthly installments, such as the mother who expressed that:

[In my case, I’d rather it be split up, because I think that sometimes we take the whole thing and spend it all at once, but if you receive something periodically, you can stretch it out, I think, and you can do other things. In my case, because my children are athletes, they have many out-of-budget expenses, sometimes equipment or sneakers, you know, things that make you go over your monthly budget, sometimes even spending on food to bring to the parks. At least, that’s in my case.]
The scope of the Child Tax Credit for Puerto Rican families has been a remarkable achievement, as the CTC has shown its capacity to aid in the reduction of child poverty. However, the statements and experiences of the families show that the initial process was confusing, costly, lengthy, and inefficient.

In the focus groups, community members highlighted the deficiencies in services provided by the IRS and the need for staff with sufficient cultural competency to solve their issues in a humanized, speedy, and knowledgeable fashion. Identity verification processes were a source of frustration for CTC applicants, a factor that resulted in disbursement delay.

The well-being of children was a core focus of the use of CTC funds. The credit alleviated the scarcity faced by many of the participating families, enabling them to invest in material goods, such as vehicles, home improvements, and bedroom furniture for the children, which served to further their physical and emotional well-being after living in precarious circumstances and an opportunity to opening pathways to economic mobility.
This next analysis sheds light on the number of CTC claims at a municipal level and on referrals to the Taxpayer Protection Program (TPP). The TPP identifies tax returns that are suspected of identity theft and helps taxpayers whose identities have been used to submit illegal claims.

These data were shared during multi-sectoral meetings held by the IRS, in which the IDJ participated. The data unit is the ZIP code area. For the purpose of this analysis, the required aggregations were made to reflect data at the municipal level.

At a municipal level, data from the Census, based on the PRCS, is reported only in intervals of five years. The most recent data available during the drafting of this report covers the period from 2016 to 2020. The Census tables report the number of families with children. We estimate the filing rate using the filed forms reported by the IRS divided by the number of families with children from 2016 to 2020.

As of August 2022, the IRS had reported receiving 211,708 tax returns claiming the CTC from residents of Puerto Rico. Island-wide filing rate is 77%. This rate is close to that of the CTC Survey, which indicated that 75% of eligible families had not yet filed by mid-May. Rincón, Salinas, Trujillo Alto, and San Juan were the five municipalities with the lowest filing rate. These areas have a filing rate between 23% and 58%.

The IRS also reported the number of tax returns that were referred to the TPP. More than a third (35%) of all tax returns had been sent to the TPP to verify taxpayer identity. This number is quite high, something that had already been noted in the CTC Survey, focus groups, and in remarks from free-of-charge service centers. With a rate of 45%, the municipalities of Vieques and Utuado have the highest percentage of referrals to the TPP. Whereas Sabana Grande, Villalba, and Barranquitas have a referral rate between 27% and 29%, the lowest among the municipalities.

Another IRS statistic is the number of open TPP cases. The rate of open cases is calculated by dividing the number of open cases by the number of TPP referrals. This is a significant figure because it indicates the number of unresolved TPP cases, which means that there are people who have filed who have not yet received their disbursements or who may not receive them at all. Of all TPP referrals, 33% of cases were still open as of August 2022. Lajas, Guánica, Cabo Rojo, Culebra, and Rincón were the five municipalities with the highest rate of open cases.

The 33%–35% rate of open TPP cases shows that one out of three applicants faced difficulties during the identity verification process, which highlights the importance of support to ensure that eligible families receive the CTC. IRS data are key to guide strategies aimed at communities, but due to the discrepancies between updated information on the CTC and Census data, it is challenging to retrieve accurate indicators from municipalities. Because of this limitation, it would be useful to have data per ZIP code of claimed children and disbursement amounts to more accurately identify communities in need of more services to access the CTC.

In comparison to PRCS 2019, the five-year estimate of number of families with children is lower because: (1) The five-year tabulations only pertain to families with own children. Families without own children, such as a household where a grandmother is raising a grandchild, are not included in the tabulations; (2) error margin is higher at a municipal level than at an island-wide level; (3) between 2016 and 2018 there was a rapid decline in population that stabilized in 2019—still, this decline lowers the five-year average.

Simulations of Median Incomes of Families with Children with and without the 2022 CTC and/or EITC

Rates of Claims
(Tax Returns filed by August 2022 / Families with Own Children, 2016–2020 Average):

Puerto Rico 76%
Puerto Rico and the Five Municipalities with the Lowest Rates

23% Rincón
58% San Juan
58% Hatillo
53% Salinas
54% Trujillo Alto

Percentage of Filed Tax Returns Referred to the TTP:

Puerto Rico 35%
Puerto Rico and the Five Municipalities with the Highest Rates

44% Utuado
42% San Juan
42% Trujillo Alto
41% Carolina
46% Vieques

Percentage of Open TTP Cases (Open Cases/TPP Cases):

Puerto Rico 33%
Puerto Rico and the Five Municipalities with the Highest Rates

44% Rincón
58% San Juan
43% Cabo Rojo
39% Lajas
40% Guánica
43% Culebra

Source: IRS data per ZIP code data August 2022. This data was converted to the municipal level. Data on families with children by municipality according to the PRCS from 2016 to 2020 was also used.
Factors Influencing Experiences with the CTC

Data examined in prior sections suggests key factors to gain a better understanding of the families’ experiences with the CTC and to improve access to the credit.

1. **Income**: Low-income families are less likely to file for the CTC and are more likely to face obstacles while filing for the credit.

2. **Education Levels**: Having a college degree is a buffer for potential problems in filing for the CTC and may help speed benefit disbursement, as people with degrees were less likely to receive identity verification letters.

3. **ONG Engagement**: Nonprofit organizations sought to decrease communities’ access barriers by delivering information on the CTC and providing filing assistance. ONGs went to places that are more isolated from bigger towns, where wireless internet signals are poor, to reach populations with limited technological proficiency.

4. **Language**: The lack of Spanish-speaking staff posed challenges for many families. Spanish-speaking families were forced to wait for hours to be assisted by the IRS.

5. **Technology**: People who were more tech savvy had better access to the CTC. Even if most applicants have cell phones and can make calls and surf the internet, the required technical knowledge to file online was out of reach for many, particularly seniors. In some areas, a stable internet connection, which was required to use online tools, was unavailable.

6. **The IRS’s understanding of Puerto Rico**: The IRS does not appear to have been ready for the large number of forms from Puerto Rico, and it does not appear to have undertaken adequate planning for better service deployment. Informational materials in Spanish were not appropriately adapted to the sociocultural context of Puerto Rico.

7. **Geography**: People who live in bigger towns and in the metropolitan area managed to secure greater access to and better information on the CTC. Most VITA Centers were located in malls and big municipalities. IRS service days took place in the metropolitan area. People from mountain regions also faced difficulties in terms of their internet connection while filing online.

8. **Informational campaigns**: People rely on traditional mass media—such as radio, television, and newspapers—and trustworthy advocates to gather information. Although they use social media, they are wary of its content, as they are aware of the disinformation that is disseminated there.
Estimated Economic Impacts

1. For the first time in two decades, the child poverty rate is shifted. Thanks to the 2021 CTC, the adjusted child poverty rate has shrunk from 55% to 39%. This decrease of 16 percentage points is a historic, unprecedented reduction in Puerto Rico.

2. Even families that were not able to escape poverty still benefited from the CTC, as it had a remarkable effect on the mitigation of extreme poverty.

3. The combination of CTC and EITC made for a powerful tool for decreasing child poverty. As a result of the CTC and the EITC in 2021, the adjusted child poverty rate shrunk from 55% to 34%, 21 percentage points in total.

4. However, as we do not yet know for certain if the families filed and received the CTC or EITC and if the allocated amount does indeed coincide with the claimed amount, these are only potential effects. Even supposing that only 80% of eligible families received the 2021 CTC, the adjusted child poverty rate has been reduced to 40%, or 15 percentage points.

5. The power of the 2021 CTC to decrease poverty is largely due to the credit being available to people who earned no income from employment. These families made up 37% of all families in Puerto Rico in 2019.

6. After the expiration of the CTC stipulations under ARPA, Puerto Rico is still eligible for the CTC, and it is still available to all families with dependent children that earn income from employment. However, the amount has been reduced to a maximum of $1,400 per dependent child, with a potential adjustment for inflation.

7. For residents of Puerto Rico, the CTC is calculated as 7.65% of income, and it is based on payments to Social Security and Medicare, instead of the 15% available to families in the United States.

8. The CTC since the expiration of ARPA reduces the adjusted child poverty rate by just 1 percentage point with respect to the adjusted rate and rules from 2019.

9. With the 2022 CTC, the number of eligible families would decrease by 80,000, and the number of eligible children would decrease by 161,000 in comparison to the 2021 CTC.

10. The high rate of unemployed or very low income families limit the impact of the 2022 CTC and the combination of the CTC and EITC as poverty reduction measures.

Access to the CTC

1. Families in Puerto Rico did not have the same access to the CTC as families in other jurisdictions, which resulted in payment delays. The online tool GetCTC was not available to Puerto Rico until mid-July 2022, and the TaxSlayer tool was not initially translated into Spanish.

2. The IRS did not provide sufficient Spanish-speaking staff, which resulted in long queues for calls to receive assistance and solve problems.

3. The ID.me process to verify identity often did not function properly with Puerto Rican IDs and was not practical for people who were technologically challenged.

4. The access to IRS appointments to solve tax problems was limited and only five days of service without an appointment were offered. Furthermore, not all VITA Centers were open during the entire filing period. These circumstances restricted access to families from the mountain regions, the island municipalities (Vieques and Culebra), and municipalities isolated from urban centers.
5. Low-income families faced the greatest challenges in securing access to services.

6. As of May 2022, 45% of applicant families had not received the CTC, and as of August 2022, one out of three cases suspected of identity theft still remained open.

7. Although families have expressed a preference for an annual disbursement over monthly installments, monthly disbursements were not even an option for residents of Puerto Rico.

Effects of the CTC on People’s Lives

1. The CTC opened pathways to economic mobility by reducing debts, creating savings and generating investments in goods of long-term duration such as cars, furniture and home improvements.

2. The CTC brought peace of mind to thousands of families because it alleviated various needs.

3. The CTC was used in a balanced fashion—35% paid debts, 26% put it toward savings, and 38% used it for miscellaneous purposes.

4. Low-income families were more likely to spend the money in multiple ways, which bespeaks pressing needs in situations of scarcity.

5. The credit made possible costly purchases that families have not been able to cover due to limited income, such as cars for the guardian or for children who were going to college, home improvements, and bedroom furniture for the children.

6. The well-being of children was key in the way families chose to use the benefits.

7. For 27% of families, the CTC allowed for better nutrition and helped them afford their grocery bill at the end of the month.
Policy Recommendations

Data shown in prior sections indicate opportunities to improve the well-being of families with children as well as options to foster economic mobility.

US Congress and Federal Agencies

1. **The CTC must be fully refundable.** The 2021 CTC decreased child poverty to unprecedented levels, brought peace of mind to thousands of families, reduced food insecurity, and opened pathways to economic mobility. Because it was fully refundable, families that had not received income from employment could receive the credit in its entirety, thus reaching the children who needed it the most.

2. **Allow the residents of Puerto Rico to receive the CTC on the basis of 15% of earned income instead of 7.65%, according to the CTC applicable in 2022.** For those who do not have federally taxable income, the 2022 CTC is applied per 7.65% of income. 7.65% is the total percentage of payroll taxes (Social Security and Medicare).

3. **Foster alliances between the public, private, community, and NGOs to broaden the knowledge of families and expand the scope of free, trustworthy tax return preparation services.** The experience with the 2021 CTC shows the potential of these alliances.

4. **Reinforce the infrastructure to provide free federal tax return preparation services** and reaching agreements with universities to provide incentives to engage students in these efforts.

5. **Expand the scope of free, in-person assistance to provide guidance, tax return preparation, and taxpayer support.** These services are essential, as many eligible families do not have the technology and skills to file federal tax returns and solve issues to expedite payment.

6. **Organize early, ongoing educational campaigns on the benefits of the CTC/EITC, eligibility, and the availability of taxpayer support services.** Make these campaigns available in rural areas, the island municipalities (Vieques and Culebra), and to people who have low access to technology.

7. **Adapt informational materials from the IRS for a Puerto Rican audience to ensure cultural relevance.**

8. **Ensure that online tax return preparation tools are available in Puerto Rico at the same time they are available to U.S. residents.**

9. **Establish information and service agreements between the IRS and the Puerto Rico Department of Treasury** that lead to a better experience for CTC applicants, such as having staff from the Puerto Rico Department of Treasury available to prepare federal tax returns, sharing information to solve identity verification issues, and organizing informational campaigns on the CTC and EITC.

10. **The IRS and the Puerto Rico Department of Treasury must make available data on the number of CTC and EITC applicants, their characteristics, and disbursement amounts, so that service and public policy organizations can optimize their offerings and share advice on how to increase access and improve the design of these programs.**
Puerto Rico's Legislature and Executive Branch

1. Implement a local child tax credit program for families with children that are not eligible for the federal CTC. In recent years, sixteen states have implemented a version of child tax credit subsidized by state funding.

2. Maximize CTC and EITC eligibility by strengthening workforce support services, such as trainings on the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) and the Community Development Grant Disaster Recovery Fund (CDBG-R), providing daycare and preschool programs that implement 2Gen models so more parents can join the workforce.

3. Include the development of an inclusive economy on the strategic model of PRopósito from the Department of Economic Development and Commerce. This development must be implemented in such a way that no one is left behind and that all families benefit from economic growth, with quality employment to pave the way for economic mobility and to amplify the benefits of the CTC and EITC.

4. Introduce an inclusive framework in recovery projects. Low-income families without a college education and other individuals with employment challenges must be able to access recovery jobs. Information on job openings must be available and accessible to all workers. The Central Office for Recovery, Reconstruction and Resiliency (COR3) should develop inclusion language and guidelines for recovery project awardees to adopt.
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Organizations and entities that collaborated with tax filing events organized by Alliance for the Economic Security of Puerto Rico

- Boys & Girls Clubs of Puerto Rico
- Centros Sor Isolina Ferré
- Corporación de Servicios de Salud Primaria y Desarrollo Socioeconómico El Otoao
- Centro de Fortalecimiento Familiar (ESCAPE)
- Fundación Bucarabón
- Guardarraya Unidos por un Patrimonio Educativo Inc. (GUPE)
- Impacto Juventud
- Internal Revenue Service (IRS)
- League of Cities of Puerto Rico
- Matria Project
- Mujeres de Islas
- Municipality of Aguadilla
- Municipality of Hormigueros
- Municipality of Peñuelas
- Nuestra Escuela
- Ponce Neighborhood Housing Corp,
- Pro Café
- Programa de Educación Comunal de Entrega y Servicio (Peces)
- Puerto Rico Department of Treasury
- Red por los Derechos de la Niñez y la Juventud de Puerto Rico
- Vimenti
# Characteristics of CTC Survey Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Survey of Families Eligible for the 2021 CTC</th>
<th>2019 Universe of Families Eligible for the 2021 CTC (ages 18–60)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% high school diploma or less, no college degree</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% bachelor’s degree or more</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% one child</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% two children</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% women</td>
<td>62% (among survey participants)</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% ages 30–44</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible for the EITC (among those eligible for the CTC)</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% without earned income</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% $0–$15,000 annual household income</td>
<td>46% ($0–$14,400)</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average CTC</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,746</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,031</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Characteristics of Focus Groups Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>39 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female head of household</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of dependents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 dependent child</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 dependent children</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more dependent children</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low to medium income</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>income lower than $500 monthly</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>employment status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>full-time employment</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>part-time employment</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>part of the workforce, unemployed</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paid to file federal tax return (ctc)</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identity verified by the irs</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of participants</td>
<td><strong>45</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>