

57 Top Scientists and Doctors: Stop All Vaccinations

Guest writer - 9 maj 2021 kl 14:24



Image: [Peter A. McCullough, MD, MPH](#) is one of the experts. Press photo

A group of 57 leading scientists, doctors, and policy experts has released a report calling for an immediate end to all current Covid-19 vaccines and are now calling for an immediate end to all vaccine production.

This article was previously published on [En-volve.com](#) (feel free to share this report)

There are two certainties regarding the global distribution of Covid-19 vaccines. The first is that the mainstream media are pushing with all their might to get these experimental drugs into as many people as possible, willing to face the scorn that comes with asking serious questions about vaccines are critical players in the global distribution of the various Covid-19 vaccines in circulation today.

You can read an advanced copy of this manuscript in preprint below. It has been prepared by nearly 50 scientists and public policy experts from across the globe to be urgently sent to world leaders as well as all who are involved in the distribution of the various Covid-19 vaccines in circulation today.

There are still far too many unanswered questions regarding the Covid-19 vaccines' safety, efficacy, and they should be heard by everyone, regardless of their views on vaccines. There aren't nearly enough citizens following the orders of world governments, as if they have earned our complete trust. They haven't done enough of accountability and the free flow of information on this crucial subject. Please take the time to read

SARS-CoV-2 mass vaccination: Urgent questions on demand answers from international health agencies, authorities, governments and vaccine developers

Roxana Bruno¹, Peter McCullough², Teresa Forcades i Vila³, Alexandra Henrion-Caude⁴, Teresa García María J. Martínez Albarracín⁸, Alejandro Sousa-Escandon⁹, Fernando López Mirones¹⁰, Bartomeu P. Leopoldo M. Borini¹, Mario Mas¹, Ramiro Salazar¹, Edgardo Schinder¹, Eduardo A Yahbes¹, Marcela Miriam M. Marchesini¹, Alberto J. Kajihara¹, Marisol V. de la Riva¹, Patricia J. Chimeno¹, Paola A. Gracia J. Gatica Baudo¹², Elisabeth Retamoza¹², Oscar Botta¹³, Chinda C. Brandolino¹³, Javier Sciuto¹⁴, María Villarroel¹⁵, Emilia P. Poblete Rojas¹⁵, Bárbara Aguayo¹⁵, Dan I. Macías Flores¹⁵, Jose V. Rossell¹⁶, Juan Sotomayor¹⁷, Wilfredo R. Stokes Baltazar¹⁸, Virna Cedeño Escobar¹⁹, Ulises Arrúa²⁰, Atilio Farina de Callisperis²³, María Eugenia Barrientos²⁴, Karina Acevedo-Whitehouse^{5,*}

¹Epidemiólogos Argentinos Metadisciplinarios. República Argentina.

²Baylor University Medical Center. Dallas, Texas, USA.

³Monestir de Sant Benet de Montserrat, Montserrat, Spain

⁴INSERM U781 Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, Université Paris Descartes-Sorbonne Cité, Institut

⁵School of Natural Sciences. Autonomous University of Querétaro, Querétaro, Mexico.

⁶Retired Professor of Medical Immunology. Universidad de Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico.

⁷Médicos por la Verdad Puerto Rico. Ashford Medical Center. San Juan, Puerto Rico.

⁸Retired Professor of Clinical Diagnostic Processes. University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

⁹Urologist Hospital Comarcal de Monforte, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

¹⁰Biólogos por la Verdad, Spain.

¹¹Retired Biologist. University of Barcelona. Specialized in Microbiology. Barcelona, Spain.

¹²Center for Integrative Medicine MICAEL (Medicina Integrativa Centro Antroposófico Educando en

¹³Médicos por la Verdad Argentina. República Argentina.

¹⁴Médicos por la Verdad Uruguay. República Oriental del Uruguay.

¹⁵Médicos por la Libertad Chile. República de Chile.

¹⁶Physician, orthopedic specialist. República de Chile.

¹⁷Médicos por la Verdad Perú. República del Perú.

¹⁸Médicos por la Verdad Guatemala. República de Guatemala.

¹⁹Concepto Azul S.A. Ecuador.

²⁰Médicos por la Verdad Brasil. Brasil.

²¹Médicos por la Verdad Paraguay.

²²Médicos por la Verdad Costa Rica.

²³Médicos por la Verdad Bolivia.

²⁴Médicos por la Verdad El Salvador.

* Correspondence: Karina Acevedo-Whitehouse, karina.acevedo.whitehouse@uaq.mx

Abstract

Since the start of the COVID-19 outbreak, the race for testing new platforms designed to confer immunity and unprecedented, leading to emergency authorization of various vaccines. Despite progress on early current mandate is to immunize the world population as quickly as possible. The lack of thorough testing authorization based on safety data generated during trials that lasted less than 3.5 months, raise questions recently identified role of SARS-CoV-2 glycoprotein Spike for inducing endothelial damage characteristic is extremely relevant given that most of the authorized vaccines induce the production of Spike glycoprotein occurrence of adverse effects, and the wide range of types of adverse effects that have been reported to driven disease enhancement, Th2-immunopathology, autoimmunity, and immune evasion, there is a need and risks of mass vaccination, particularly in the groups that were excluded in the clinical trials. Despite vaccination have been minimized or ignored by health organizations and government authorities. We need the context of health policies, emphasizing critical questions that require urgent answers if we wish to advance science and public health.

Introduction

Since COVID-19 was declared a pandemic in March 2020, over 150 million cases and 3 million deaths. Despite progress on early ambulatory, multidrug-therapy for high-risk patients, resulting in 85% reductions in current paradigm for control is mass-vaccination. While we recognize the effort involved in developing SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, we are concerned that risks have been minimized or ignored by health organizations for caution [2-8].

Vaccines for other coronaviruses have never been approved for humans, and data generated in the field elicit neutralizing antibodies show that they may worsen COVID-19 disease via antibody-dependent enhancement regardless of the vaccine platform and delivery method [9-11]. Vaccine-driven disease enhancement in MERS-CoV is known to occur following viral challenge, and has been attributed to immune complexed macrophages, which augment T-cell activation and inflammation [11-13].

In March 2020, vaccine immunologists and coronavirus experts assessed SARS-CoV-2 vaccine risks in animal models. The expert group concluded that ADE and immunopathology were a real concern, but stated that clinical trials, although continued monitoring would be necessary [14]. While there is no clear evidence of disease immunopathology in volunteers immunized with SARS-CoV-2 vaccines [15], safety trials to date have not observed effects (SAE). Given that the follow-up of volunteers did not exceed 2-3.5 months after the second dose been observed. Despite 92 errors in reporting, it cannot be ignored that even accounting for the number of US Vaccine Adverse Effect Reporting System (VAERS), the number of deaths per million vaccine doses is 10 fold. We believe there is an urgent need for open scientific dialogue on vaccine safety in the context of how to describe some of the risks of mass vaccination in the context of phase 3 trial exclusion criteria and disease adverse effect registration systems. We highlight unanswered questions and draw attention to the need for mass vaccination.

SARS-CoV-2 phase 3 trial exclusion criteria

With few exceptions, SARS-CoV-2 vaccine trials excluded the elderly [16-19], making it impossible to study eosinophilia and enhanced inflammation in elderly people. Studies of SARS-CoV vaccines showed that the high risk of life-threatening Th2 immunopathology [9,20]. Despite this evidence and the extremely high risk of 2 vaccines in the elderly, mass-vaccination campaigns have focused on this age group from the start. In clinical volunteers, as well as those with chronic and serious conditions such as tuberculosis, hepatitis C, autoimmune suppression [16-29], although these recipients are now being offered the vaccine under the premise of

Another criterion for exclusion from nearly all trials was prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2. This is unfortunately extremely relevant information concerning post-vaccination ADE in people that already have anti-SARS-CoV-2 knowledge, ADE is not being monitored systematically for any age or medical condition group currently despite a substantial proportion of the population already having antibodies [21], tests to determine the safety of administration of the vaccine are not conducted routinely.

Will serious adverse effects from the SARS-CoV-2 vaccines go undetected?

COVID-19 encompasses a wide clinical spectrum, ranging from very mild to severe pulmonary pathology, inflammatory, cardiovascular, and blood coagulation dysregulation [22-24]. In this sense, cases of vaccine-induced ADE could be clinically-indistinguishable from severe COVID-19 [25]. Furthermore, even in the absence of SARS-CoV-2, endothelial damage and hypertension in vitro and in vivo in Syrian hamsters by down-regulating angiotensin II, impairing mitochondrial function [26]. Although these findings need to be confirmed in humans, the current COVID-19 vaccines authorized for emergency use are based on the delivery or induction of Spike glycoprotein. In the case of adenovirus-vectorized vaccines, not a single study has examined the duration of Spike production in humans. On the precautionary principle, it is parsimonious to consider vaccine-induced Spike synthesis could cause clinical cases that could be counted as new cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections. If so, the true adverse effects of the current global COVID-19 vaccination unless studies specifically examine this question. There is already non-causal evidence of temporary COVID-19 cases following vaccination in some countries (Fig. 1) and in light of Spike's pathogenicity, these deaths must be considered if they are related to vaccination.

Unanticipated adverse reactions to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines

Another critical issue to consider given the global scale of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination is autoimmunity. SARS-CoV-2 proteins, and all but one of its immunogenic epitopes have similarities to human proteins [27]. These similarities could lead to autoimmunity [28]. While it is true that the same effects could be observed during natural infection, the scale of vaccination is most of the world population, while it is estimated that only 10% of the world population has been infected with SARS-CoV-2. Dr. Ryan, head of emergencies at the World Health Organization. We have been unable to find evidence that SARS-CoV-2 has screened and excluded homologous immunogenic epitopes to avoid potential autoimmunity due to prior exposure.

Some adverse reactions, including blood-clotting disorders, have already been reported in healthy individuals. The suspension or cancellation of the use of adenoviral vectorized ChAdOx1-nCov-19 and Janssen vaccine has been proposed that vaccination with ChAdOx1-nCov-19 can result in immune thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) antibodies against Platelet factor-4, which clinically mimics autoimmune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). This was overlooked when authorizing these vaccines, although adenovirus-induced thrombocytopenia has been reported as a consistent event with adenoviral vectors [30]. The risk of VITT would presumably be higher in those who use oral contraceptives [31], making it imperative for clinicians to advise their patients accordingly.

At the population level, there could also be vaccine-related impacts. SARS-CoV-2 is a fast-evolving RNA virus with over 40,000 variants [32,33] some of which affect the antigenic domain of Spike glycoprotein [34,35]. Given the high synthesis of high levels of anti-SARS-CoV-2-Spike antibodies could theoretically lead to suboptimal responses to new variants in vaccinated individuals [36], a phenomenon known as "original antigenic sin" [37] or antigenic drift. Mutations that affect SARS-CoV-2 antigenicity will become fixed during viral evolution [39], but vaccine-induced variants with higher infectivity or transmissibility. Considering the high similarity between known variants, it is unlikely [32,34] but if future variants were to differ more in key epitopes, the global vaccination strategy could be dangerous. This risk has recently been brought to the attention of the WHO as an open letter [40].

Discussion

The risks outlined here are a major obstacle to continuing global SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. Evidence is needed before exposing more people to the risk of these experiments, since releasing a candidate vaccine resulting impact on health could lead to an exacerbation of the current global crisis [41]. Risk-stratification. According to the UK government, people below 60 years of age have an extremely low risk of dying from COVID-19. Eudragigilance, most of the serious adverse effects following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination occur in people not on the planned vaccination schedule for children aged 6 years and older in the United States and the UK. Dr. David Redburn, teenagers across the country will be vaccinated in the autumn and younger children in early 2022, an estimated 11 million children under 18. There is a lack of scientific justification for subjecting healthy children to COVID-19 irrelevant as a threat to this age group, but there is no reliable evidence to support vaccine efficacy. We can rule out harmful side effects of these experimental vaccines. In this sense, when physicians advise patients about COVID-19 vaccination, there is a great need to better understand the benefits and risk of administration, particularly for children.

In conclusion, in the context of the rushed emergency-use-authorization of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, an emphasis on safety, the following questions must be raised:

- Is it known whether cross-reactive antibodies from previous coronavirus infections or vaccine-induced antibodies can cause unintended pathogenesis following vaccination with COVID-19?
- Has the specific risk of ADE, immunopathology, autoimmunity, and serious adverse reactions been weighed against the medical ethics standard of patient understanding for informed consent? If not, what are the risks?
- What is the rationale for administering the vaccine to every individual when the risk of dying from COVID-19 is low, and when the phase 3 trials excluded the elderly, children and frequent specific comorbidities?
- What are the legal rights of patients if they are harmed by a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine? Who will cover the costs? Will it be settled with public money, has the public been made aware that the vaccine manufacturers have a legal responsibility to compensate those harmed by the vaccine has been transferred to the tax-payers?

In the context of these concerns, we propose halting mass-vaccination and opening an urgent pluralistic dialogue on SARS-CoV-2 vaccination among scientists, medical doctors, international health agencies, regulatory agencies, and vaccine developers. This is the only way to bridge the current gap between scientific evidence and public health policy. We are convinced that humanity deserves a deeper understanding of the risks than what is currently being offered. This dialogue is urgent and indispensable to avoid erosion of public confidence in science and public health authorities protect the interests of humanity during the current pandemic. Returning public health policy on a careful evaluation of the relevant scientific research, is urgent. It is imperative to follow the scientific process.

1 <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covid-19-reported-sars-cov-2-deaths-in-england/cc>

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. McCullough PA, Alexander PE, Armstrong R, et al. Multifaceted highly targeted sequential multidose SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19). *Rev Cardiovasc Med* (2020) 21:517–530. doi:10.31083/j.rcm.2020.05.017

2. Arvin AM, Fink K, Schmid MA, et al. A perspective on potential antibody- dependent enhancement doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2538-8
3. Coish JM, MacNeil AJ. Out of the frying pan and into the fire? Due diligence warranted for ADE ir 406. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2020.06.006
4. Eroshenko N, Gill T, Keaveney ML, et al. Implications of antibody-dependent enhancement of infe Biotechnol (2020) 38:788–797. doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0577-1
5. Poland GA. Tortoises, hares, and vaccines: A cautionary note for SARS-CoV-2 vaccine developmer doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.04.073
5. Shibo J. Don't rush to deploy COVID-19 vaccines and drugs without sufficient safety guarantees. N 020-00751-9
7. Munoz FA, Cramer JP, Dekker CL, et al. Vaccine-associated enhanced disease: Case definition and presentation of immunization safety data. Vaccine (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.1.003>
3. Cardozo T, Veazey R. Informed consent disclosure to vaccine trial subjects of risk of COVID-19 vac Pract (2020) 28:e13795. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.13795
9. Bolles D, Long K, Adnihothram S, et al. A double-inactivated severe acute respiratory syndrome cc protection in mice and induces increased eosinophilic proinflammatory pulmonary response upon doi:10.1128/JVI.06048-11
0. Weingartl H, Czub M, Czub S, et al. Immunization with modified vaccinia virus Ankarabased recor syndrome is associated with enhanced hepatitis in ferrets. J Virol (2004) 78:12672–12676. doi:10.1128/JVI.01107-04
1. Tseng CT, Sbrana E, Iwata-Yoshikawa N, et al. Immunization with SARS coronavirus vaccines leac with the SARS virus. PLoS One (2012) 7(4):e35421. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035421
2. Iwasaki A, Yang Y. The potential danger of suboptimal antibody responses in COVID-19. Nat Rev I doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0321-6
3. Vennema H, de Groot RJ, Harbour DA, et al. Early death after feline infectious peritonitis virus ch immunization. J Virol (1990) 64:1407-1409
4. Lambert PH, Ambrosino DM, Andersen SR, et al. Consensus summary report for CEPI/BC March disease enhancement with COVID-19 vaccines. Vaccine (2020) 38(31):4783-4791. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2020.09.001
5. de Alwis R, Chen S, Gan S, et al. Impact of immune enhancement on Covid-19 polyclonal hyperim development. EbioMedicine (2020) 55:102768. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102768
5. Folegatti PM, Ewer KJ, Aley PK, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the ChAdOx1 nCoV287 19 vac of a phase 1/2, single-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet (2020) 396:467–783. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31866-1
7. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. N Engl J Med (2020) 383(27):2603–2615. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577
3. Ramasamy MN, Minassian AM, Ewer KJ, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 v in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. Lancet 6736(20)32466-1
9. Chu L, McPhee R, Huang W, et al. mRNA-1273 Study Group. A preliminary report of a randomized immunogenicity of mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. Vaccine (2021) S0264-410X(21)00153-5. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.015
0. Liu L, Wei Q, Lin Q, et al. Anti-spike IgG causes severe acute lung injury by skewing macrophage r insight (2019) 4(4):e123158. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.123158.

1. Ioannidis PA. Infection fatality rate of COVID-19 inferred from seroprevalence data. *Bull WHO* (2020) <http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.20.265892>
2. Martines RB, Ritter JM, Matkovic E, et al. Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated Emerg Infect Dis (2020) 26:2005-2015. doi:10.3201/eid2609.202095
3. Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and Important Lessons From the Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Report of 72 314 Cases From the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA* (2020) [doi:10.1001/jama.2020.2648](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2648)
4. Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet* (2020) 8:420-422 doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30076-X
5. Negro F. Is antibody-dependent enhancement playing a role in COVID-19 pathogenesis? *Swiss Med Wkly* (2020) [doi:10.4414/smw.2020.20249317](https://doi.org/10.4414/smw.2020.20249317)
5. Lei Y, Zhang J, Schiavon CR et al., Spike Protein Impairs Endothelial Function via Downregulation of Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase. *Circulation* (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.121.318902>
7. Lyons-Weiler J. Pathogenic priming likely contributes to serious and critical illness and mortality in COVID-19. *Autoimmunity* (2020) 3:100051. doi:10.1016/j.jtauto.2020.100051
3. An H, Park J. Molecular Mimicry Map (3M) of SARS-CoV-2: Prediction of potentially immunopathogenic epitopes using an immunoinformatic approach. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. 12 November 2020 [cited 2020 April 19] <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.12.367411>
9. Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia with Thrombocytopenia and Thrombocytopenia. *Engl J Med* (2021). doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2104840
0. Othman M, Labelle A, Mazzetti I et al. Adenovirus-induced thrombocytopenia: the role of von Willebrand factor-mediated accelerated platelet clearance. *Blood* (2007) 109:2832–2839. doi:10.1182/blood-2006-06-032524
1. Ortel TL. Acquired thrombotic risk factors in the critical care setting. *Crit Care Med* (2010) 38(2 Suppl 3):S100–S104. doi:10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181c9ccc8
2. Grubaugh ND, Petrone ME, Holmes EC. We shouldn't worry when a virus mutates during disease. *PLoS Pathog* (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0690-4>
3. Greaney AJ, Starr TN, Gilchuk P, et al. Complete Mapping of Mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein that Affect Antibody Recognition. *Cell Host Microbe* (2021) 29:44–57.e9. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2020.11.007.
4. Luring AS, Hodcroft EB. Genetic Variants of SARS-CoV-2—What Do They Mean? *JAMA* (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.03.01>
5. Zhang L, Jackson CB, Mou H, et al. The D614G mutation in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reduces infectivity. *PLoS Pathog* (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007726>
5. Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S et al. Sheffield COVID-19 Genomics Group. Tracking changes in the SARS-CoV-2 genome increases infectivity of the COVID-19 virus. *Cell* (2020) 182:812-827.e19. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.043
7. Francis T. On the doctrine of original antigenic sin. *Proc Am Philos Soc* (1960) 104:572–578.
3. Viboud C, Epstein SL. First flu is forever. *Science* (2016) 354:706–707. doi:10.1126/science.aak9811
9. Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F, et al. Escape from neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *PLoS Pathog* (2020) [doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007726](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007726)
0. Vanden Bossche G (March 6, 2021) https://dryburgh.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Geert_Vanden_Bossche_Open_Letter_WHO_March_6_2021.pdf
1. Coish JM, MacNeil AJ. Out of the frying pan and into the fire? Due diligence warranted for ADE in COVID-19. *J Clin Microbiol* (2020) [doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2020.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2020.06.006)

Figure legends

Figure 1. Number of new COVID-19 deaths in relation to number of people that have received at least shows data from the start of vaccination to May 3rd 365 , 2021. A) India (9.25% of population vaccinated), C) Colombia (6.79% of population vaccinated), D) Mongolia (31.65% of population vaccinated), F) Entire world (7.81% of population vaccinated). Graphs were built using data from Our <https://github.com/owid/covid-19-data/tree/master/public/data/vaccinations>

Guest writer
