

# STATIC INITIAL ERRATA

## FOR

### ***VISUAL DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY AND FORMS***

The current printing of VDGF corrects the following (static) list of errors, which were present in the initial printing of the book. A separate PDF file on this VDGF.space website contains the DYNAMIC ERRATA that have continued to be discovered *after* PUP froze the current printing.

Some errors were reported by multiple readers, and I am equally grateful to them all, but I have only cited the *first* reader to have reported each error.

Although general questions and comments may be directed to my USF email address ([needham@usfca.edu](mailto:needham@usfca.edu)), I would be very grateful if *errors* could exclusively be reported to the special address I created for this purpose, as announced in the Prologue of VDGF, namely, [VDGF.correction@gmail.com](mailto:VDGF.correction@gmail.com).

PAGE	LOCATION	CORRECTION	FROM
x	Entry for 19.6.4	Should be 210 (not 209)	T.N.
xxii	First bullet point	Should read, “First, I have made no attempt to write ...”	T.N.
23	Line 1 after [2.8]	Bad line break	T.N.
45	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
74	Double-frame (times two)	Faulty corners	T.N.
75	Two lines above (6.15)	“the the” should be “the”	Filip Stappers
81	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
83	Ex. 1	Sometimes in the heat of battle writing the book I would suddenly have a good idea for an exercise pop into my head, and I would very hastily jot down the skeleton of the idea, immediately returning to the fray. Later, sometimes <i>years</i> later, I would return to flesh out the idea of the exercise. Well, here I jotted down the idea, ... never to return! At the very least, the exercise <i>should</i> explain that we are restricting attention to <i>orthogonal</i> coordinates. In the	Jason Merrill

		<p>new printing, I have replaced the exercise with this more concrete (and less ambitious) version:</p> <p><b>1 Coordinate-independence of <math>\mathcal{K}</math>.</b> Begin with the flat Euclidean metric,</p> $d\hat{s}^2 = dx^2 + dy^2.$ <p>(i) If <math>x = u^2 \cos v</math> and <math>y = u^2 \sin v</math>, interpret the <math>(u, v)</math> coordinates geometrically, and deduce that they are <i>orthogonal</i>.</p> <p>(ii) Verify this orthogonality by calculating the metric in the <math>(u, v)</math> coordinates, and apply the curvature formula (4.10) to confirm that this new metric formula is <i>still</i> flat, as it should be: <math>\mathcal{K} = 0</math>.</p> <p>(iii) Changing coordinates again, show that the <i>orthogonal trajectories</i> of the hyperbolas <math>x = u^2 - v^2 = \text{const.}</math> are the hyperbolas <math>y = 2uv = \text{const.}</math> Repeat (ii) for these <i>conformal</i> coordinates, using (4.10) or (4.16) to confirm that <math>\mathcal{K} = 0</math>.</p>	
86	First equation	<p>Should be</p> $d\hat{s}^2 = \frac{(R^2 - y^2)}{R^2} dx^2 + \frac{R^2}{R^2 - y^2} dy^2.$	Petra Axolotl
86	Last equation	Should have a minus sign	Petra Axolotl
110	Last line (above footnotes)	“plane” (not “planne”)	Filip Stappers
118	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
120	Below framed result	<p>An entire paragraph is repeated:          “To see this, ... , as claimed. To see this, ... , as claimed.”          [This repetition was <i>not</i> present in my TeX code.]</p>	Petra Axolotl
124	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.

  

127	[11.7]	<p>Labels misprinted.          [All were correct in the PDF I gave PUP.]</p>	T.N.
210	End of paragraph that begins “However,”	<p>Because it’s a definition, bold italics for “<i>3-body problem</i>”. Likewise, “<i>n-body problem</i>” at the end of the next paragraph.</p>	T.N.
216	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
217	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
234	Line 1 after (22.1)	“of tiny” (not “oftiny”)	Filip Stappers

235	Line 7	“how <i>curved</i> K is with respect to”	Filip Stappers
246	Final sentence of 24.1	“(but be warned that what we call <i>holonomy</i> , physicists sometimes call <i>anholonomy</i> ).”	T.N.
248	Line 3 of paragraph beginning “But”	“parallel-transport” (not “parallel-trans-port”) [Was correct in my TeX code.]	Filip Stappers
252	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
254	(25.1)	On the far right, wrong font for “R”: $\iint_{\Omega} \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \, d\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{K}_{ext}(\Omega) = \tilde{A}(\tilde{\Omega}) = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{L}).$	T.N.
282	Line 7	“stretched” (not “stetched”)	Filip Stappers
286	(29.3)	Missing spaces on either side of <b>w</b> . [Was correct in my LaTeX code.]	T.N.
286	Framed result below (29.3)	Missing spaces around <b>w</b> and <b>v</b> . [Was correct in my LaTeX code.]	T.N.
291	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
309	[30.1]	“Horizontal” label misprinted. [Was correct in the PDF I gave PUP.]	T.N.
316	[30.3]	Labels misprinted. [All were correct in the PDF I gave PUP.]	T.N.
319	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
353	[32.6b]	Missing tildes over e_1 and e_2 within the diagram. [Both were present in the PDF I gave PUP.]	Tobin Fricke
356	Line 4 of 32.6.3	(32.11) should be (32.10). [My LaTeX \ref was correct, and yet this error was somehow introduced in the production process.]	Filip Stappers
357	Line 1	(32.7) should be (32.6). [My LaTeX \ref was correct, and yet this error was somehow introduced in the production process.]	Filip Stappers
357	Line 1 of 32.6.4	(32.14) should be (32.13). [My LaTeX \ref was correct, and yet this error was somehow introduced in the production process.]	Filip Stappers

357	Line 3 after (32.14)	(32.9) should be (32.8). [My LaTeX \ref was correct, and yet this error was somehow introduced in the production process.]	Filip Stappers
363	Third displayed equation	Second “ <b>e</b> ” has wrong subscript. Should be: $(\mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_f}) \otimes (\mathbf{d}x^{j_1} \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{d}x^{j_v})$ .	T.N.
363	Line 2 of 33.5	(33.9) should be (32.9). [My LaTeX \ref was correct, and yet this error was somehow introduced in the production process.]	Filip Stappers
370	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
395	Line 5	(32.12) should be (32.11). [My LaTeX \ref was correct, and yet this error was somehow introduced in the production process.]	Filip Stappers
396	Third displayed equation	Missing space after “(for some”. [Was correct in my LaTeX code.]	T.N.
415	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
429	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
444	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
451	Bottom two equations	On the far right, delete “ <b>d</b> ” before \theta:  $\mathbf{d}\theta^1 = \mathbf{d}A \wedge \mathbf{d}u = \partial_v A \mathbf{d}v \wedge \mathbf{d}u = -\frac{\partial_v A}{B} \mathbf{d}u \wedge \theta^2$ $\mathbf{d}\theta^2 = \mathbf{d}B \wedge \mathbf{d}v = \partial_u B \mathbf{d}u \wedge \mathbf{d}v = -\frac{\partial_u B}{A} \mathbf{d}v \wedge \theta^1$	T.N.
463	Double-frame	Faulty corners	T.N.
465	Ex. 1, line 1	“(This example is adapted from Schutz (1980).)”	Filip Stappers
466	Ex. 4, line 1	“(From Schutz (1980).)”	Filip Stappers
470	Ex. 17 (i)	Missing star operator: and using the fact that $\star^\pm \mathbf{F} = \pm i^\pm \mathbf{F}$ ,	T.N.