

1 MATTHEW Z. CROTTY
(WSBA #39284)
2 Crotty & Son Law Firm, PLLC
905 W. Riverside Ave, Suite 404
3 Spokane, WA 99201
Telephone: (509) 850-7011
4 Email: matt@crottyandson.com

THOMAS G. JARRARD
(WSBA #39774)
Law Office of Thomas
Jarrard, PLLC
1020 N. Washington Dt.
Spokane, WA 99201
Telephone: (425) 239-7290
Facsimile: (509) 326-2932
Email: tjarrard@att.net

5
6 PETER ROMER-FRIEDMAN
Admitted pro hac vice
Outten & Golden LLP
7 601 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Second Floor West Suite
8 Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone:(202) 847-4400
9 Facsimile: (202) 847-4410
Email: prf@outtengolden.com

R. JOSEPH BARTON
Admitted pro hac vice
Block & Leviton LLP
1735 20th Street NW
Washington, DC 20009
Telephone: (202) 734-7046
Fax: (617) 507-6020
Email: jbarton@blockesq.com

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

11 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**

13 CASEY CLARKSON,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 ALASKA AIRLINES, INC., HORIZON
AIR INDUSTRIES, INC., and ALASKA
17 AIRLINES PENSION/BENEFITS
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE,

18 Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:19-CV-00005-TOR

**AMENDED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT FOR
VIOLATIONS OF USERRA AND
DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

**EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
UNDER 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h)(1)**

1 Plaintiff Casey Clarkson, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated
2 individuals, by and through his attorneys, alleges as follows:

3 **INTRODUCTION**

4 1. This is a class action under the Uniformed Services Employment and
5 Reemployment Rights Act (“USERRA”), 38 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.*, on behalf of
6 current and former employees of Alaska Airlines, Inc. (“Alaska”) and Horizon Air
7 Industries, Inc. (“Horizon”), who took military leave from their employers and who
8 were (1) subjected to Horizon’s uniform “virtual credit” policy during periods of
9 their military leave and were demoted or otherwise harmed as a result of that policy,
10 or (2) did not receive their regular wages or salary during their periods of short-term
11 military leave (as they would have been paid for other comparable forms of non-
12 military leave).

13 2. USERRA requires that servicemembers who take leaves of absence
14 from their civilian employers to perform qualified military service receive the same
15 rights and benefits as other employees who take comparable forms of non-military
16 leave, 38 U.S.C. § 4316(b), and gives servicemembers the right to be reemployed
17 at the same position with the same rights and benefits had they not taken military
18 leave, including by treating military service as continued employment. 38 U.S.C.
19 §§ 4312(a), 4313(a)(1), 4316(a). Alaska and Horizon violated these provisions of
20 USERRA.
21

1 3. First, when determining the position a pilot returns to following a
2 period of military leave, Horizon has not given its pilots full credit for the flight
3 hours that the pilots would have flown during periods of military leave, causing
4 pilots to be demoted from the position of Regular Line Holder in the month
5 following a period of military leave or requiring the pilots to work additional hours
6 to avoid such a demotion.

7 4. In order to maintain their status as a Regular Line holder—a position
8 that offers greater compensation and employment benefits than other pilots—pilots
9 must work at least 70 hours per month. When Clarkson and other Horizon pilots
10 have taken military leave, Horizon has given them “virtual credit” for hours worked
11 during their qualifying leave periods. However, Horizon has only offered 2.45
12 hours of credit per day to pilots on military leave, even though Horizon pilots
13 ordinarily work many more hours on a normal working day than 2.45 hours. As a
14 result, pilots who take military leave do not receive the full credit for the hours that
15 they would have worked during the relevant period of military leave, making it
16 harder for pilots to reach the 70 hours of credit per month that they need to maintain
17 their Regular Line holder status. Thus, many pilots who receive inadequate credit
18 during their military leave lose their Regular Line holder status, including the
19 compensation and benefits associated with that status, or must work additional
20 hours to avoid losing that status.

1 5. Second, both Alaska and Horizon, sister airlines that are wholly-owned
2 subsidiaries of Alaska Air Group, have failed to provide the regular wages or
3 salaries to employees when they take short-term military leave, although both
4 companies provide regular wages or salaries pay to employees who take other
5 comparable forms of non-leave.

6 6. As a result of these violations, Plaintiff and other servicemembers
7 employed by Horizon and Alaska received less wages, salaries, and compensation
8 than they would have received had Horizon (1) provided them with a virtual
9 crediting system that fully reflected the number of hours pilots would have worked
10 during a normal working day during periods of military leave, and (2) had both
11 Defendants paid employees their regular wages or salaries during short-term
12 periods of military leave.

13 7. This action seeks a declaration that Horizon and Alaska violated
14 USERRA by applying a “virtual credit” policy to servicemember-pilots that does
15 not fully reflect a normal working day for the purpose of determining pilots’
16 reemployment position and rights and benefits, and by failing to pay employees
17 their regular wages or salaries during short-term periods of military leave consistent
18 with the requirements of USERRA.

19 8. On behalf of the servicemember-employees of Horizon, Plaintiff seeks
20 an order requiring Horizon to provide full credit for a normal working day for all
21

1 servicemembers who take qualified military leave protected by USERRA and to
2 restore the compensation and benefits to servicemembers who were demoted or
3 otherwise harmed as a result of the “virtual credit” policy.

4 9. On behalf of servicemember-employees of Horizon and Alaska who
5 were denied pay during their short-term leave, Plaintiff seeks an order requiring
6 both Defendants to provide regular wages or salaries to employees when they take
7 short-term military leave, and an order requiring Defendants to recalculate and pay
8 the compensation of Plaintiff and members of the Class for periods of short-term
9 military leave consistent with the requirements of USERRA.

10 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11 10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction with respect to the USERRA
12 claims pursuant to 38 U.S.C. § 4323(b)(3), which provides that the district courts of
13 the United States have jurisdiction over a USERRA action brought against a private
14 employer. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s individual
15 ERISA claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because his claim arises under the laws
16 of the United States, and pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1), which provides for
17 federal jurisdiction of actions brought under Title I of ERISA. This Court also has
18 subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, because this
19 action arises under the laws of the United States.

1 Washington at all times during his employment with both Defendants and was based
2 out of the Spokane Airport at all times during his employment with both Defendants.

3 14. Defendant Alaska Airlines, Inc. (“Alaska”) is an Alaska corporation
4 that is licensed to conduct business in the State of Washington and conducts
5 business in the Eastern District of Washington. Alaska’s Registered Agent’s
6 Address is 19300 International Blvd. Seattle, WA 98188.

7 15. Defendant Horizon Air Industries, Inc. (“Horizon”) is a Washington
8 corporation that is licensed to conduct business in the State of Washington and
9 conducts business in the Eastern District of Washington. Horizon’s Registered
10 Agent’s address is 19300 International Blvd. Seattle, WA 98188.

11 16. Defendant Alaska Airlines Pension/Benefit Administrative Committee
12 is the Plan Administrator within the meaning of ERISA § 3(16), 29 U.S.C. §
13 1002(16), of the Alaska Airlines, Inc. Pilots Investment and Savings Plan, which is
14 a defined-contribution plan within the meaning of ERISA § 3(34) that is offered to
15 employees of Alaska Airlines. Plaintiff Clarkson is and has been a participant in
16 the Plan at least since October 2018.

17 **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

18 17. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Rule 23 of the
19 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the following classes of persons:

20 *The Virtual Credit Class*: all current and former employees of
21

1 Horizon or any subsidiary, joint venture, or division of Horizon
2 who were subjected to Horizon’s “virtual credit” policy with
3 respect to a period of military leave, from May 1, 2017 through
4 the date of the judgment in this action.

5 *The Paid Leave Class*: all current or former Alaska or Horizon
6 employees who have taken short-term military leave from
7 October 10, 2004 through the date of the judgment in this action.

8 18. Excluded from the Classes are the following persons: (a) all former or
9 current individuals who previously reached settlements with or judgments against
10 Defendants resolving or releasing any claims arising during the Class Period under
11 USERRA related to any of the claims in this lawsuit; and (b) any person who served
12 as a fiduciary of the Plan and their beneficiaries under the Plans and any member
13 of the immediate family of and any heirs, successors or assigns of any such person.

14 **Impracticability of Joinder**

15 19. The Classes are so numerous that joinder of all members is
16 impracticable. Horizon employs at least 700 pilots, a significant portion of which
17 are reservists. Horizon also actively recruits servicemembers to become pilots with
18 Horizon. Thus, there are hundreds of pilots who are eligible for membership in the
19 Virtual Credit Class and the Paid Leave Class, because they have been subjected to
20 (or will continue to be subjected to) Horizon’s virtual credit policy and/or have
21 taken short-term military leave from Horizon.

1 20. Alaska employs more than 21,000 employees, a significant portion of
2 which are reservists. Alaska also actively recruits servicemembers to become pilots
3 with Alaska. Thus, there are likely to be hundreds to thousands of Alaska
4 employees who are eligible for membership in the Paid Leave Class.

5 21. Joinder is also impracticable because the members of both Classes are
6 geographically dispersed. Alaska maintains hubs at airports in the following
7 locations: Anchorage, Alaska; Los Angeles, California; Portland, Oregon; San
8 Francisco, California; and Seattle/Tacoma, Washington. Horizon also maintains
9 hubs in both Portland, Oregon, and in Seattle/Tacoma, Washington. Class
10 Members work and likely reside close to each of these hubs, and therefore are
11 geographically dispersed.

12 **Commonality**

13 22. The central questions in this case concern whether the Horizon and
14 Alaska employees' federal statutory rights under USERRA were violated by
15 Horizon and Alaska, namely with respect to Horizon's "virtual credit" policy and
16 both employers' failure to pay their employees their regular wages or salaries during
17 periods of short-term military leave.

18 23. As Horizon adopted and applied a uniform "virtual credit" policy or
19 practice for determining a pilot's Regular Line holder and Reserve Line holder
20 position, the answer to the question of whether the virtual credit policy violated
21

1 USERRA will produce common answers for all members of the proposed Virtual
2 Credit Class.

3 24. As Horizon and Alaska applied uniform policies of failing to pay
4 employees when they took short-term military leave while paying employees who
5 took comparable forms of non-military leave, the answer to the question of whether
6 these policies violated USERRA will produce common answers for all members of
7 the proposed Paid Leave Class.

8 25. Plaintiff's claims raise subsidiary common questions that will also
9 have common answers for members of the respective Classes, including, but not
10 limited to, the following:

11 a. Whether Horizon's "virtual credit" policy provides
12 employees who take military leave the same credit
13 of hours that employees would have earned had they
14 continued their employment with Horizon during the
15 period of military leave?

16 b. Whether Horizon's use of the "virtual credit" policy
17 violates USERRA §§ 4312, 4313 and 4316 by
18 denying reemployment in the proper position and
19 failing to treat military service as continued
20 employment?

1 c. Whether short-term military leave is comparable to
2 other types of non-military leave for which
3 employees of Horizon and Alaska receive their
4 normal wages and salaries?

5 d. Whether Horizon and Alaska's failure to pay
6 employees when they take short-term military leave
7 violates USERRA § 4316?

8 e. Whether Defendants' violations of USERRA were
9 willful, making it appropriate to award liquidated
10 damages under USERRA?

11 f. What is the appropriate relief that should be
12 granted?

13 26. As Defendants acted in a systematic manner with respect to members
14 of the respective Classes, and all members of each of the respective Classes suffered
15 the same type of injuries based on discrete policies, resolving the claims of the
16 Classes will be based on common legal and factual questions.

17 **Typicality**

18 27. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other members of the proposed
19 Classes. Plaintiff challenges policies that were uniformly applied to employees who
20 took military leave and who were harmed in a similar fashion.

1 28. The relief sought consists primarily of: (1) a declaration establishing
2 that Defendants violated USERRA; (2) an order requiring them to comply with
3 USERRA in the future; (3) an order requiring Defendants to recalculate the
4 compensation owed to members of the Classes due to the “virtual credit” policy and
5 the failure to pay wages and salaries during periods of short-term military leave;
6 and (4) an order that the unpaid compensation be allocated and paid to the Class
7 Members.

8 **Adequacy**

9 29. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of other
10 members of the Virtual Credit Class and the Paid Leave Class.

11 30. Plaintiff has no conflict with any other member of either Class.

12 31. Plaintiff understands his obligations as a class representative, has
13 already undertaken steps to fulfill them, and is prepared to continue to fulfill his
14 duties as class representative.

15 32. Defendants have no unique defenses against Plaintiff that would
16 interfere with Plaintiff’s representation of the Classes.

17 33. Plaintiff’s counsel are experienced in federal court class action
18 litigation and have substantial experience in litigating class action employment
19 cases, including under USERRA.
20
21

1 **Rule 23(b)(3)**

2 34. This action is properly maintainable as a class action under Rule
3 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

4 35. The questions of law and fact common to the members of the Classes
5 predominate over questions affecting only individual members and a class action is
6 superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient resolution of this
7 controversy.

8 36. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and
9 efficient adjudication of these issues. By resolving the common issues described
10 above in a single class proceeding, the issues will be efficiently resolved in a single
11 proceeding rather than multiple proceedings and obviate the possibility for
12 unnecessary duplicative litigation.

13 37. The following factors set forth in Rule 23(b)(3) also support
14 certification:

- 15 a. The members of the Classes have an interest in a unitary
16 adjudication of the issues in this action as they involve uniform
17 policies. Additionally, many members of the Classes are
18 unlikely to have sufficient damages to justify pursuing an
19 individual action or obtain counsel to pursue an individual
20
21

1 action. All Class Members would benefit from a class action
2 that obtains relief for all members of the Class.

3 b. No other litigation has raised the same allegations with respect
4 to Horizon's virtual credit policy or Horizon and Alaska's
5 failure to pay their employees during periods of short-term
6 military leave, or sought the same relief.

7 c. This is an appropriate forum for these claims because, among
8 other reasons, jurisdiction and venue are proper, Plaintiff was
9 employed in this District, both Defendants have operations in
10 this District, and a substantial number of the Class Members
11 likely resides in this District.

12 d. There are no difficulties in managing this case as a class action.

13 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

14 **Defendant Horizon's Policies Regarding Military Leave**

15 36. Defendant Horizon has applied several uniform policies to employees
16 who take leave from their employment to perform military service.

17 37. First, Horizon does not pay servicemember-employees their regular
18 wages or salaries during periods of short-term leave (such as military leave for a
19 two- to three-day military drill or leave for a 14-day annual military training period).
20 However, Horizon does pay the regular wages or salaries of its employees when
21

1 they take other comparable forms of non-military leave, such as jury duty leave and
2 bereavement leave.

3 38. Second, since at least May of 2017, Horizon has applied a “virtual
4 credit” policy to employees—primarily pilots—who take military leave. Horizon’s
5 “virtual credit” policy allocates 2.45 hours per day for paid and unpaid leaves,
6 including military leave. However, Horizon pilots ordinarily work significantly
7 more than 2.45 hours on days in which they are scheduled to fly and do work.
8 Accordingly, the 2.45 hours per day that Horizon credits for all days in which pilots
9 take military leave does not credit pilots for the actual flight hours that they missed
10 due to military leave.

11 39. Horizon’s “virtual credit” policy reduces the compensation and
12 employment benefits that many servicemember-pilots receive. Horizon divides its
13 turboprop pilots into those who fly Regular Lines (flown by Regular Line holders),
14 Reduced Credit Lines (flown by Reduced Line holders), or Reserve Lines (flown
15 by Reserve Line holders). Regular Line holders make more money and have a more
16 predictable schedule than Reserve or Reduced Line holders. Regular Line holders
17 receive a 70 hour per month minimum guarantee, which means that a Regular Line
18 holder is guaranteed at least 70 hours of pay per month. If a pilot works (or is
19 credited) less than 70 hours per month, then the pilot loses his Regular Line holder
20 status and becomes a Reserve Line holder.

1 40. Because Horizon’s “virtual credit” policy does not actually credit
2 employees who take unpaid military leave for the full amount of hours they would
3 have worked had they not taken leave, the effect of the policy is that an employee
4 who takes short-term military leave often must either perform additional work to
5 make up for the lost hours from the 2.45 hour leave policy in order to keep his
6 Regular Line holder status or lose that Regular Line Holder status altogether.

7 **Defendant Alaska Airlines’ Policies Regarding Military Leave**

8 41. Alaska Airlines adheres to a uniform policy by which it pays the
9 regular wages or salary to employees who are called to jury duty. Alaska also has
10 a policy to provide employees their regular wages or salary when they take sick
11 leave. Both jury duty and sick leave are comparable to short-term military leave, as
12 they are short in duration and involuntary on the part of the person taking the leave.
13 Alaska also provides its employees their regular wages or salary during absences
14 due to emergencies. Emergency leave is similar to short-term military leave insofar
15 as it is regarded as involuntary on the part of the person taking the leave and is
16 difficult to anticipate far in advance. Each of these types of leave—short-term
17 military leave, jury duty leave, bereavement leave, and emergency leave commonly
18 lasts for only several days and usually not more than a couple of weeks.

1 **Horizon’s “Virtual Credit” Policy Causes Plaintiff Clarkson to Lose His**
2 **Regular Line Holder Status**

3 42. Casey Clarkson started working for Defendant Horizon as a pilot of
4 turboprop passenger aircraft on November 23, 2013. Throughout the time Clarkson
5 worked for Horizon, he also served in the Washington Air National Guard.

6 43. Clarkson first went on military leave to perform National Guard
7 service from June 8 until July 8, 2017. Horizon applied its “virtual credit policy” to
8 Clarkson during the period of his June to July 2017 leave. Specifically, Clarkson’s
9 service with the National Guard required him to take military leave during his
10 employment with Horizon. Clarkson was on military leave for 23 days in June
11 2017. Under Horizon’s “virtual credit” policy, Horizon multiplied Clarkson’s 23
12 days of military leave by 2.45 hours per day, which, in turn, credited Clarkson with
13 53.9 hours for June 2017. When these 53.9 hours of virtual credit were added to
14 the hours he worked in June 2017, the total amount of hours was less than the
15 Regular Line guarantee of 70 hours per month. However, if Clarkson had received
16 virtual credit for the actual hours he was scheduled to work during his military leave
17 in June 2017, his virtual credit hours and hours of actual work would have exceeded
18 70 hours in June 2017.

19 44. Clarkson took military leave for 8 days in July 2017. Under Horizon’s
20 “virtual credit” policy, Horizon multiplied Clarkson’s 8 days of military leave by
21

1 2.45 hours per day, which, in turn, credited Clarkson with 17.1 hours of virtual
2 credit for July 2017. When combined with his actual hours worked in July 2017,
3 Clarkson was left with fewer than 70 total hours in July 2017. However, if Clarkson
4 had received virtual credit for the actual hours he was scheduled to work during his
5 military leave in July 2017, he would have received more than 17.1 hours of virtual
6 credit and the aggregate of his virtual credit hours and hours of actual work hours
7 would have exceeded 70 hours in July 2017.

8 45. Mr. Clarkson returned to Horizon's employment on July 9, 2017,
9 following his 31 day period of military leave (June 8, 2017 – July 8, 2017).

10 46. Given Horizon's application of the above-referenced "virtual credit"
11 policy, Horizon re-employed Mr. Clarkson to a Reserve Line holder "reemployment
12 position" and did so on July 9, 2017.

13 47. Because Clarkson did not receive virtual credit for the flight hours that
14 he was reasonably certain to earn during the period of his military leave in July
15 2017, Clarkson did not reach the 70-hour threshold to remain a Regular Line holder,
16 and he was accordingly demoted to Reserve Line holder in the following month
17 because the "virtual credit" policy did not fully credit Clarkson with the number of
18 hours we would have worked during his period of military leave when determining
19 Clarkson's Regular Line holder status.

1 48. In August 2017, Clarkson worked more than 70 hours and returned to
2 his Regular Line holder status. However, Horizon’s “virtual credit” policy soon
3 caused him to lose that status once again. From September 26 to September 30,
4 2017, Clarkson went on military leave and was provided virtual credit of 12.25
5 hours for those 5 days of military leave. Again, it was reasonably certain that
6 Clarkson would have worked more than 12.25 hours during those five days, and
7 due to receiving only 12.25 hours during that period of military leave Clarkson did
8 not meet the 70-hour threshold to remain a Regular Line holder and he again was
9 demoted from Regular Line holder to Reserve Line holder.

10 49. In October 2017, Clarkson took military leave again, and although he
11 was able to meet the 70-hour threshold for October 2017, he was able to do so only
12 by working *extra* days when he was not on military leave in order to be a Regular
13 Line holder in the following month.

14 50. Horizon’s act of demoting Clarkson from a Regular Line holder status
15 to a Reserve Line holder status adversely affected various benefits of employment
16 to which Clarkson was entitled, including Clarkson’s wages and work schedule in
17 the months following his periods of military leave. Horizon’s virtual credit policy
18 reduced the number of days that Clarkson was able to take off from work, and in
19 some cases, Clarkson was compelled to accept additional work so that he could
20 receive 70 hours of credit to avoid being demoted in the following month.

21

1 51. Horizon pilots who take short-term military leave are subject to the
2 same virtual credit policy that has harmed Clarkson and have been harmed in similar
3 fashion by the same policy—resulting in either a demotion or being required to
4 work additional hours to avoid a demotion.

5 **Plaintiff Clarkson and the U.S. Department of Labor Notified Horizon That**
6 **its Virtual Credit Policy Violates USERRA**

7 52. On June 11, 2017, Clarkson emailed Horizon’s management regarding
8 the company’s “virtual credit” policy, informing Horizon’s management that
9 Horizon’s virtual credit policy was harming him and other Horizon pilots who took
10 short-term military leave. In his e-mail, Clarkson pointed out that by only providing
11 pilots with 2.45 hours of virtual credit per day of military leave, which is often
12 smaller than the number of flight hours pilots would work on work-days that are
13 dropped to take military leave, Horizon forces pilots into two options that both
14 violate USERRA: (1) either work additional time when they are not taking military
15 leave in order to reach the 70-hour per month threshold, or (2) be demoted to the
16 Reserve Line holder position.

17 53. Horizon’s management refused to change its policy after Clarkson
18 raised this issue. As a result of Horizon’s refusal to change its policy, on August 3,
19 2017, Clarkson filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Labor’s (“DOL”)
20
21

1 Veterans Employment and Training Services, alleging that Horizon’s “virtual
2 credit” policy violated USERRA.

3 54. The DOL subsequently contacted Horizon as part of its investigation.
4 In responding to the DOL’s inquiries, Horizon told DOL that while the company
5 did not “track reservists,” as of August 18, 2017, “30 [of the company’s 698] pilots
6 [were] on military leaves.”

7 55. The DOL completed its investigation of Clarkson’s complaint on
8 October 4, 2017, and found that Horizon’s “virtual credit” policy violates
9 USERRA. DOL concluded that to comply with USERRA, Horizon should provide
10 virtual credit that is “not less than the value of trips dropped” in the months in which
11 Clarkson took military leave.

12 56. Despite DOL’s finding of a USERRA violation, Horizon refused to
13 follow the DOL’s findings or bring the company into compliance with USERRA
14 by changing its virtual credit policy.

15 **Both Defendants Failed to Pay Plaintiff Clarkson During Periods of Short-**
16 **Term Military Leave**

17 55. During each year of his employment with Horizon from 2013 to 2017,
18 Clarkson took one or more periods of short-term military leave from Horizon.

19 56. During each of Clarkson’s short-term absences from his employment
20 with Horizon due to his military service obligations, Horizon applied to Clarkson its
21

1 uniform policy and practice of refusing to provide pay the regular wages or salary to
2 employees who take short-term military leave. Although other employees were
3 eligible to receive their regular wages or salaries during jury duty leave, bereavement
4 leave, or sick leave, consistent with Horizon's policies, Clarkson did not receive his
5 regular wages during his periods of short-term military leave.

6 57. During his employment with Alaska, which began on November 6,
7 2017, Clarkson was required to take short-term military leave during several periods
8 between November 2017 and June 2018, most recently, from May to June 2018.
9 Pursuant to Alaska's policy and practice of failing to pay employees when they take
10 short-term military leave, while at the same time paying employees when they take
11 other comparable forms of non-military leave like jury duty, bereavement leave,
12 and sick leave, Clarkson received no wages during his short-term military leave.

13 **Defendants' USERRA Violations Were Knowing and Willful**

14 58. Horizon was directly notified by Plaintiff, and direct notice from the
15 Department of Labor that its virtual credit policy violated USERRA. Despite such
16 notifications, Horizon has refused to change its policy.

17 59. The persons responsible for employment-related decisions at
18 Defendants during the time frame alleged in this complaint were familiar with the
19 requirements imposed upon employers under USERRA.
20
21

1 67. Similarly, the members of the Virtual Credit Class are limited to
2 servicemember-employees who were reemployed by Horizon, as they provided
3 notice of their military obligations, had periods of service of less than five years,
4 served honorably during their military leave, and sought timely reemployment.

5 68. When Clarkson and the members of the Virtual Credit Class satisfied
6 USERRA's reemployment criteria, Horizon was required to re-employ Clarkson
7 and the Class "in the position of employment in which the person would have been
8 employed if the continuous employment of such person with the employer had not
9 been interrupted by such service, the duties of which the person is qualified to
10 perform" or "in the position of employment in which the person was employed on
11 the date of the commencement of the service in the uniformed services." 38 U.S.C.
12 § 4313(a)(1).

13 69. By giving Clarkson and the members of the Virtual Credit Class fewer
14 virtual credit hours than they would have worked had they been continuously
15 employed by Horizon during their short-term military leave, using that lesser credit
16 figure to determine the position that Clarkson and the Virtual Credit Class Members
17 would be reemployed, and consequently reemploying Clarkson and the Virtual
18 Credit Class in an inferior Reserve Line holder position rather than the superior
19 Regular Line holder position, Horizon failed to re-employ Clarkson and the Virtual
20 Credit Class Members in the proper position following periods of military leave.
21

COUNT II

**Violation of USERRA § 4316(a), 38 U.S.C. § 4316(a) on Behalf of
the Virtual Credit Class Plaintiffs Against Horizon**

70. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the foregoing as if fully set forth herein.

71. Section 4316(a) of USERRA provides, in relevant part:

A person who is reemployed under this chapter is entitled to the seniority and other rights and benefits determined by seniority that the person had on the date of the commencement of service in the uniformed services plus the additional seniority and rights and benefits that such person would have attained if the person had remained continuously employed.

72. Under USERRA, 38 U.S.C. § 4303(2), “rights and benefits” include “the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, including any advantage, profit, privilege, gain, status, account, or interest,” including “the opportunity to select work hours.”

73. Horizon violated USERRA § 4316(a) by failing to treat Plaintiff’s and the Virtual Credit Class’s military leaves of absence as continuous employment in computing the number of hours of credit they had for the purposes of determining the employee’s position following a period of military leave.

74. By failing to fully treat military service as continued employment, Horizon denied Plaintiff and the Virtual Credit Class Members the “rights and benefits” that they are entitled to upon reemployment, including the seniority or

1 position of Regular Line holder, the opportunity or privilege to select their positions
2 or work schedules, and other privileges of employment.

3 **COUNT III**

4 **Violations of USERRA § 4316(c), 38 U.S.C. § 4316(c) on Behalf of
5 the Virtual Credit Class Against Horizon**

6 75. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the foregoing as if fully set forth
7 herein.

8 76. USERRA § 4316(c) provides, in part:

9 A person who is reemployed by an employer under this chapter shall
10 not be discharged from such employment, except for cause—

11 (1) within one year after the date of such reemployment, if the
12 person's period of service before the reemployment was more
13 than 180 days; or

14 (2) within 180 days after the date of such reemployment, if the
15 person's period of service before the reemployment was more
16 than 30 days but less than 181 days.

17 38 U.S.C. § 4316(c).

18 77. Demotions and transfers to inferior positions during a protective period
19 are considered discharges within the meaning of USERRA.

20 78. By applying its virtual credit policy to demote Plaintiff and members
21 of the Virtual Credit Class, or transfer them to inferior positions following their
military leave, without cause, within the protection period provided by USERRA,
by the application of its “virtual credit” system, Horizon violated USERRA §
4316(c).

COUNT IV

**Violations of USERRA § 4316(b), 38 U.S.C. § 4316(b)
On Behalf of the Paid Leave Class Against Horizon and Alaska**

1
2
3 79. Plaintiff hereby repeats and incorporates the allegations contained in
4 the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

5 80. USERRA, 38 U.S.C. § 4316(b)(1), provides that “a person who is
6 absent from a position of employment by reason of service in the uniformed services
7 shall be (A) deemed to be on furlough or leave of absence while performing such
8 service; and (B) entitled to such other rights and benefits not determined by
9 seniority as are generally provided by the employer of the person to employees
10 having similar seniority, status, and pay who are on furlough or leave of absence
11 under a contract, agreement, policy, practice, or plan in effect at the commencement
12 of such service or established while such person performs such service.”

13 81. The U.S. Department of Labor’s regulations that implement and
14 interpret USERRA § 4316(b)(1), provide that “[i]f the non-seniority benefits to
15 which employees on furlough or leave of absence are entitled vary according to the
16 type of leave, the employee must be given the most favorable treatment accorded
17 to any comparable form of leave when he or she performs service in the uniformed
18 services.” 20 C.F.R. § 1002.150(b). The “duration of leave” “may be the most
19 significant factor” to determine whether two forms of leave are comparable, and
20
21

1 other relevant factors include “the purpose of the leave and the ability of the
2 employee to choose when to take the leave.” *Id.*

3 82. As described above, Horizon and Alaska have maintained a policy or
4 practice of failing to pay employees their regular wages or salaries when they take
5 short-term military leave, while continuing to pay employees their wages or salaries
6 when they take other comparable forms of non-military leave such as jury duty,
7 bereavement leave, and sick leave.

8 83. As described above, these forms of leave—jury duty, bereavement
9 leave, and sick leave—are comparable to short-term military leave in terms of the
10 duration, purpose, and/or the ability of the employee to determine whether to take
11 the leave.

12 84. By adopting and applying a uniform policy or practice of not paying
13 the Paid Leave Class Members when they took short-term military leave, Horizon
14 and Alaska denied the Paid Leave Class Members the same rights and benefits,
15 including compensation, that they provided to employees who took comparable
16 forms of non-military leave, including jury duty leave, bereavement leave, and sick
17 leave, and Horizon and Alaska failed to provide the Paid Leave Class Members the
18 most favorable treatment accorded to employees who took comparable forms of
19 non-military leave. By doing so, they violated and continues to violate USERRA §
20 4316(b)(1).

1 85. Due to Horizon and Alaska's failure to comply with USERRA §
2 4316(b)(1), Plaintiff and other members of the Paid Leave Class received lower
3 wages, salaries, and compensation than they would have received had Horizon and
4 Alaska complied with USERRA and the Department of Labor's implementing
5 regulations.

6 86. Upon information and belief, this violation of USERRA § 4316(b)(1)
7 was willful. Accordingly, Horizon and Alaska should be required to pay liquidated
8 damages pursuant to 38 U.S.C. § 4323(d)(1)(C).

9 **COUNT V**
10 **Violations of ERISA § 104(b), 29 U.S.C. § 1024(b)**
11 **Brought by Plaintiff Clarkson Individually Against the Alaska Airlines**
12 **Pension/Benefit Administrative Committee**

13 87. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges the foregoing as if fully set forth
14 herein.

15 88. The Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA")
16 requires the administrator of an employee benefit plan, "upon written request of any
17 participant or beneficiary, [to] furnish a copy of the latest updated summary plan
18 description, and the latest annual report, any terminal report, the bargaining
19 agreement, trust agreement, contract, or other instruments under which the plan is
20 established or operated." 29 U.S.C. § 1024(b).

1 89. ERISA § 502(c)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1), authorizes daily monetary
2 penalties of up to \$110 per day in civil penalties against the administrator of an
3 employee benefit plan that fails to furnish the requested material to “the requesting
4 participant . . . within 30 days after such request.”

5 90. According to the Summary Plan Description, the Alaska Airlines
6 Pension/Benefits Administrative Committee is the “Administrator” of the Alaska
7 Airlines 401(k) Plan, pursuant to ERISA § 3(21)(A)(16), 29 U.S.C. §
8 1002(21)(A)(16).

9 91. Clarkson submitted a letter pursuant to ERISA § 104(b) to the Alaska
10 Airlines 401(k) Plan Pension/Benefits Administrative Committee on October 22,
11 2018, by certified mail, which was delivered on October 25, 2018. The letter
12 requested that the Committee provide Clarkson “the latest updated summary plan
13 description; (2) any summaries of material modification to the Plan; (3) the latest
14 full annual report, including a statement of assets and liabilities of the Plan and
15 accompanying notes as well as a statement of income and expenses of the Plan, and
16 accompanying notes; and (4) any bargaining agreement, trust agreement, contract;
17 or (5) other instruments under which the Plan is established or operated, and any
18 applicable amendments.” At the time that he made his request pursuant to ERISA §
19 104(b), Plaintiff was a participant in the Plan and remains a participant in the Plan.

1 92. The Alaska Pension Alaska Airlines 401(k) Plan Pension/Benefits
2 Administrative Committee did not responded to Clarkson's October 22, 2018 letter
3 within 30 days, and, in fact has never responded, in violation of ERISA § 502(c)(1),
4 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1).

5 93. Pursuant to ERISA § 502(a)(1)(A) a participant may sue for the relief
6 provided in ERISA § 502(c). As a participant in the Plan, Plaintiff Clarkson is
7 entitled to sue the Plan Administrator for failure to respond to his ERISA § 104(b)
8 request. As the Plan Administrator failed to respond to his request, Plaintiff
9 Clarkson is entitled to penalties available under ERISA § 502(c).

10 94. To the extent that a participant is required to show harm in order to
11 obtain penalties under ERISA § 502(a)(1)(A), Plaintiff Clarkson has been harmed
12 by not timely receiving the requested documents. Clarkson made the ERISA §
13 104(b) request in October 2018 in order to enable him to pursue a claim for benefits
14 under the Plan regarding Defendant Alaska's failure to provide what appears to be
15 an incorrect amount of contributions to his 401(k) Plan account. As Alaska's failure
16 to timely provide the requested documents has unnecessarily delayed his ability to
17 file a claim and required him to hire attorneys to address this issue, Plaintiff should
18 be awarded penalties under ERISA § 502(c).

1 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

2 Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants
3 Horizon, Alaska, and the Alaska Airlines Pension/Benefits Administrative
4 Committee and respectfully requests that this Court award the following relief:

5 A. Declare that Defendant Horizon’s virtual credit policy and Horizon’s
6 application of the policy to employees who take military leave and are reemployed
7 following such military leave violates the rights of Plaintiff and the Virtual Credit
8 Class under USERRA § 4312, § 4313 and § 4316.

9 B. Declare that Defendant Horizon and Defendant Alaska’s policy and
10 practice by which both Defendants failed to pay the regular wages or salaries of
11 employees when they took short-term military leave, while paying employees when
12 they took comparable forms of non-military leave, violates the rights of Plaintiff and
13 the Paid Leave Class Members under USERRA § 4316.

14 C. Declare Defendants’ USERRA violations of USERRA were willful
15 under 38 U.S.C. § 4323(d)(1)(C).

16 D. Declare that Defendants Horizon and Alaska must pay employees who
17 take short-term military leave on the same basis as employees who take leave for
18 jury duty, bereavement leave, sick leave, and other forms of comparable non-
19 military leave.

1 E. Require Defendants Horizon and Alaska to recalculate the
2 compensation and attendant employment benefits owed to Plaintiff and members of
3 both Classes in accordance with the Court's declaration, including (i) any wages or
4 salaries that should have been paid during periods of short-term military leave, as
5 well as any non-elective 401(k) contributions that should have been made as a
6 percentage of employees' wages or salaries; and (2) any compensation or benefits
7 that were lost due to a demotion as a result of the virtual credit policy.

8 F. Order both Defendants to pay all members of the Classes liquidated
9 damages in an amount to be determined at trial, 38 U.S.C. § 4323(d)(1)(C);

10 G. Require Defendants Horizon and Alaska to pay attorneys' fees, expert
11 witness fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h) and/or
12 order the payment of reasonable fees and expenses in this action to Plaintiff's
13 Counsel on the basis of the common benefit and/or common fund doctrine out of
14 any money or benefit recovered for the Class in this Action.

15 H. Declare that Defendant Alaska Airlines Pension/Benefits
16 Administrative Committee violated ERISA § 104(b), 29 U.S.C. § 1024(b), in failing
17 to respond to Plaintiff Clarkson's request for plan documents, and order it to pay
18 statutory civil penalties to Plaintiff Clarkson in accordance with ERISA § 502(c), 29
19 U.S.C. § 1132(c).

1 I. Award pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any monetary relief
2 awarded or required by order of this Court.

3 J. Require Defendants Alaska and Horizon to pay attorneys' fees, expert
4 witness fees, litigation expenses costs pursuant to 38 U.S.C. § 4323(h), Defendant
5 Alaska Airlines 401(k) Plan Pension/Benefits Administrative Committee (and its
6 individual members) to pay attorney's fees and the costs related to the ERISA §
7 104(b) claim pursuant to ERISA §502(g)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1) and/or ordering
8 the payment of reasonable fees and expenses of this action to Plaintiffs' Counsel on
9 the basis of the common benefit and/or common fund doctrine (and/or other
10 applicable law) out of any money or benefit recovered for the Classes in this action.

11 K. Award any other relief that the Court determines Plaintiffs and the Class
12 are entitled to pursuant to Rule 54(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or
13 otherwise.

14 **JURY TRIAL DEMAND**

15 Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or any similar
16 rule or law, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury for all causes of action and issues for
17 which trial by jury is available.

1 Dated: July 1, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

2 /s/ Matthew Z. Crotty

3 MATTHEW Z. CROTTY
(WSBA #39284)

4 Crotty & Son Law Firm, PLLC
905 W. Riverside Ave, Suite 404
Spokane, WA 99201

5 Telephone: (509) 850-7011

6 Email: matt@crottyandson.com

/s/ Thomas G. Jarrard

THOMAS G. JARRARD
(WSBA #39774)

Law Office of Thomas
Jarrard, PLLC
1020 N. Washington Dt.

Spokane, WA 99201

Telephone: (425) 239-7290

Facsimile: (509) 326-2932

7 Email: Tjarrard@att.net

8 PETER ROMER-FRIEDMAN

Admitted pro hac vice

9 Outten & Golden LLP

601 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Second Floor West Suite

10 Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone: (202) 847-4400

11 Facsimile: (202) 847-4410

Email: prf@outtengolden.com

R. JOSEPH BARTON

Admitted pro hac vice

Block & Leviton LLP

1735 20th Street NW
Washington, DC 20009

Tel: (202) 734-7046

Fax: (617) 507-6020

12 Email: jbarton@blockesq.com

VINCENT CHENG

Admitted pro hac vice

Block & Leviton LLP

100 Pine Street

San Francisco CA 92111

14 Tel: (415) 968-8999

15 Email: vincent@blockesq.com

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I certify that on July 1, 2019, I caused the forgoing to be electronically filed
3 with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of
4 such filing to the all counsel of record.

5 /s/ Matthew Z. Crotty
6 Matthew Z. Crotty
7 CROTTY & SON LAW FIRM, PLLC
8 905 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 404
9 Spokane, WA 99201
10 Telephone: (509) 850-7011
11 matt@crottyandson.com
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21