Dispute Resolution provisions in free trade and investment treaties to which New Zealand is a party – as at 5 April 2022 (Annex 1)

Name of Treaty	Parties	Entry into force	ISDS	State-State Dispute
RCEP ¹	New Zealand, Brunei- Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Viet Nam, Australia, China, Japan, South Korea	01.01.2022	Investment protections outlined in Chapter 10. No ISDS provisions. ²	Chapter 19
DEPA ³	New Zealand, Chile, Singapore ⁴	07.01.2021	No investment chapter	Module 14
PACER Plus	New Zealand, Australia, Cook Islands, Kiribati, Niue, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Signatories that have not ratified: Nauru, Vanuatu, Tuvalu	13.12.2020	Investment protections set out in Chapter 9. There is no ISDS. ⁵	Chapter 14 Consultations and Dispute Settlement
CPTPP ⁶	New Zealand, Australia, Brunei Darussalam, Canada, Chile, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, Peru, New Zealand, Singapore, Viet Nam	30.12.2018	Chapter 9 "Investment" but several side letters disapplying ISDS. ⁷	Chapter 28 Dispute Settlement
NZ-Korea FTA	New Zealand Korea	20.12.2015	Chapter 10 "Investment" and Article 10.20 contains ISDS.	Chapter 19 Dispute Settlement
NZ-Hong Kong, China CEP	New Zealand Hong Kong	01.2011	No investment chapter.	Chapter 16 Dispute Settlement
NZ-Malaysia FTA	New Zealand Malaysia	08.2010	Chapter 10 Investment and Annex 7 Expropriation. ISDS provisions included. ⁸	Chapter 16 Dispute Settlement
AANZFTA ⁹	New Zealand, Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia,	27.02.2009	Chapter 11 Investment and ISDS provisions. ¹⁰	Chapter 17 Consultations

-

¹ Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership.

² The ISDS chapter was removed from the draft agreement in August 2019.

³ Digital Economy Partnership Agreement.

⁴ This is a "living agreement" with Canada and Korea showing interest in joining.

⁵ In the event of an expropriation an investor can seek a review of the decision to expropriate by the courts of the *host State* (Chapter 9, Article 13(4)).

⁶ Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership.

⁷ Side letters with Australia (see: <u>mfat.govt.nz/assets/Trade-agreements/CPTPP/New-Zealand-Australia-ISDS-Trade-Remedies-and-Relationship-with-Other-Agreements.pdf.</u>) and Brunei Darussalam, Malaysia, Peru and Viet Nam mean there is no compulsory ISDS.

⁸ Investor-state disputes can be referred to a tribunal established under ICSID Convention, an *ad hoc* tribunal applying the UNCITRAL Rules, or a tribunal established under any other institution that the parties agree, including RCAKL.

⁹ ASEAN-Australia-New Zealand Free Trade Agreement.

¹⁰ This chapter is currently under review as part of the upgrade of the AANZFTA: mfat.govt.nz/br/trade/free-trade-agreements-in-force/asean-australia-new-zealand-free-trade-agreement-aanzfta/upgrading-aanzfta/. MFAT currently states on its website that "AANZFTA supports investment in the ASEAN region by ensuring better protection and security through a range of investment protection disciplines and a provision to recourse to binding investor-state arbitration procedures" (as at 22 March 2022).

Name of Treaty	Parties	Entry into force	ISDS	State-State Dispute
	Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Singapore, Thailand, Viet Nam, Philippines Australia			and Dispute Settlement
NZ-China FTA	New Zealand China	2008	Chapter 11 and Annex 13 "Expropriation" with detailed ISDS provisions. ¹¹	Chapter 16 Dispute Settlement
Trans-Pacific Strategic Economic Partnership (P4)	Brunei Darussalam Chile Singapore New Zealand	2006	No investment chapter.	Chapter 15 Dispute Settlement
NZ-Thailand CEP	New Zealand Thailand	07.2005	Chapter 9 sets out investment protections and ISDS provisions. 12	Chapter 17 Consultations and Dispute Settlement
NZ-Singapore CEP	New Zealand Singapore	2001 (with significant update in January 2020)	Chapter 7 sets out investment protections and ISDS (replaced Part 6 of original treaty).	Chapter 14 Dispute Settlement" (replaced Part 10 of the original treaty)
NZ-Hong Kong BIT	New Zealand Hong Kong	05.08.1995	Yes (Article 9)	Yes (Article 10)
NZ-China BIT	New Zealand China	25.03.1989	Yes (Article 13)	Yes (Article 14)
CER ¹³	New Zealand Australia	01.1983	Investment Protocol signed in February 2011 with no ISDS.	No

¹¹ The ISDS provisions in this treaty do not appear to have been affected by more recent negotiations to upgrade the NZ-China FTA. Those negotiations concluded in 2019.

¹² The wording of Article 9.17 (Chapter 9) is problematic in that it suggests that *both* parties must agree to submit the dispute to arbitration: "the parties concerned may agree to submit the dispute, for settlement to…"

¹³ NZ-Australia Closer Economic Relations.