

2020

ANNUAL REPORT

elicera
THERAPEUTICS

Fiscal Year January 1–December 31, 2020

Elicera Therapeutics AB
Corp. Reg. No. 556966-4955

Contents

3	CEO Comments
5	Introduction to Elicera Therapeutics
7	Product portfolio
12	Market overview
14	Immuno-oncology
21	Intellectual property rights
22	Board of Directors and management
26	The share
27	Board of Directors' report
31	Income statement
32	Balance sheet
33	Condensed statement of changes in equity
34	Condensed cash flow statement
35	Notes
38	Auditor's report



Cell and gene therapies
for immune-based
cancer treatments

CEO Comments

Elicera's area of focus is known as immuno-oncology, meaning cancer therapy based on using the patient's immune system against tumors. This is a field with the potential to revolutionize the treatment of cancer.

It is a rapidly growing market whose estimated total value will be USD 150 billion by 2025. We see an opportunity to position the company as a significant player with effective immunotherapies that could also be combined with products from other pharma companies.

Elicera's drug candidates are based on years of research conducted by Professor Magnus Essand's research group at Uppsala University – crucial work that has generated interest from both the media and the general public and resulted in several awards and research grants. This has provided the team with the opportunity to develop several of the company's current drug candidates over many years, as well as to begin clinical trials using research funds. We are incredibly grateful for the significant support that has made it possible for us to raise our sights and our projects out of the academic environment and move towards commercial development.

I became acquainted with Professor Essand and his colleague Di Yu back in 2014, when I was the CEO of the immuno-oncology company Immunicum. Since then, I have followed the positive developments in their team's unique research with great interest. I am therefore grateful and pleased that we now have the chance to work together at Elicera to produce new and exciting immuno-oncology treatments.

"Elicera's drug candidates are based on years of research that has generated interest from both the media and the general public and above all enabled several awards and research grants."



CEO and co-founder
Jamal El-Mosleh

Our most advanced drug candidate, ELC-100/AdVince, is based on an oncolytic virus, which is a virus that proliferates in – and breaks up – only cancer cells, not healthy cells. The project is currently in a clinical Phase I/II study for the treatment of neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) being carried out in partnership with Uppsala University, who is sponsoring the study through financing from the VictoryNET Foundation. The foundation was set up by the late Vince Hamilton, himself a sufferer of NETs, and our drug candidate was named after him. The study, known as a dose-escalation study, is one in which Elicera investigates primarily the safety of the drug candidate. In addition to ELC-100, we are developing the next generation of oncolytic viruses that have been genetically modified to generate a total of three mechanisms of action, and a parallel attack on cancer, via CD8+ T-cells. Moreover, this product is applicable to most forms of cancer.

Furthermore, Elicera is one of the few companies in Europe that is developing CAR T cells against leukemia and lymphoma as well as solid tumors. Treatment with CAR T cells involves a new method of immuno-oncology to attack cancer using the patient's own modified T cells. The method has proven generally successful in treating patients with different types of blood cancers, without other treatment alternatives, who became completely free of tumors. ASCO, one of the world's largest cancer organizations, named CAR T cells the "Advance of the Year" for 2018. We intend to contribute our





own specially modified CAR T cells to the field, which will further strengthen the capacity of the immune system to fight cancer cells, and we thereby intend to treat patients with blood cancers who have relapsed or are resistant to conventional CAR T cell treatment. We are also developing immunity-boosting CAR T cells for treating solid tumors, a field still in its infancy where CAR T cells have so far not been particularly as successful as with leukemia and lymphoma. Finally, I would like to mention that our CAR T cells have been strengthened with our in-house technology platform, which we call iTANK, that is intended to activate the patient's innate immune system as well as a parallel attack on cancer via CD8+ T cells. We see great potential in this platform, which can be used to strengthen not only our own CAR T cells but also other CAR T cells under development.

Elicera consists of a team with solid experience from both research and immuno-oncology drug development, including clinical trials with both CAR T cells and oncolytic viruses. Professor Magnus Essand, for example, took part in the very first CAR T cell study ever conducted in Europe. We also have an experienced Board of Directors in place, with highly relevant competence from fields including drug development, immuno-oncology, cell therapies, regulatory strategy and business law. I have known the majority of the Board members for many years, so there is already an excellent partnership in place. Moreover, many of the Board members have previous experience from their work with listed companies on Nasdaq. We are also in the process of recruiting another Board member who will bring competence and experience from chemistry, manufacturing and control of pharmaceuticals, specifically cell therapies. Her experience includes a position at Novartis,

“Elicera consists of a team with solid experience from both research and immuno-oncology drug development, including clinical trials with both CAR T cells and oncolytic viruses.”

where she worked on producing Kymriah, their now market-approved CAR T cell therapy. We are thus well equipped to take Elicera a step further, starting more clinical trials with our immunotherapies. Focus for 2021:

- **Raise capital** through efforts targeting the general public via listing on a public marketplace. The capital contribution will enable us to increase the inclusion rate for patients in ongoing clinical trials and to advance our other projects more rapidly toward clinical studies to help cancer patients. This also provides us with an opportunity to strengthen the organization so that we can best reach out with our exciting research.
- **Continue ongoing GMP production** of virus vectors in order to create our CAR T cells for ELC-301 ahead of the Phase I/II study planned to commence next year.
- **Continue the ongoing** Phase I/II study with ELC-100.
- **Conclude crucial preclinical studies** for new clinical trials planned over the coming years.
- **Initiate contact** with potential stakeholders for the iTANK platform.

We have come far, and we now see an exciting clinical program ahead of us with our promising drug candidates and several ongoing patient studies in 2023 and onward.

It is thus with great enthusiasm that we are now launching Elicera, and we look forward in 2021 to inviting the general public in as shareholders, to be part of our journey toward producing new and effective immunoactivated treatments for our cancer patients.

Jamal El-Mosleh
CEO and co-founder

Introduction to Elicera Therapeutics

Elicera Therapeutics AB is a clinical stage immuno-oncology company developing cell and gene therapies that use the patient's own immune system to fight cancer.

The attempt to fight cancer using the patient's own immune system has been ongoing for decades, but it is only within the last ten years that cancer immunotherapy (immuno-oncology) has been successfully used. In only a few years, immuno-oncology has revolutionized how we treat cancer. In contrast to traditional cancer therapies such as radiation, surgery and chemotherapy, immuno-oncology deals with training the body's own immune system to fight cancer. This occurs in mainly two ways: by triggering the immune system against cancer, primarily by activating tumor-killing T cells (Elicera's focus), and by removing the tumor's suppressive activity on the immune system.

The company's product portfolio consists of four drug candidates, of which two are in the field of oncolytic viruses (ELC-100 and ELC-201) and two are in the field of CAR T cell treatments (ELC-301 and ELC-401). Additionally, Elicera has developed a platform technology called iTANK (Immunotherapies Activated with NAP for Efficient Killing) that could be used for further boosting the immunity of all CAR T cell treatments under development.

The ELC-100 and ELC-301 projects have come farthest in their development towards becoming drugs:

1. ELC-100 is an oncolytic virus that has the capacity to selectively kill cancer cells but leave healthy cells alone. It is

now being used in a patient study (clinical Phase I/II testing) for treatment of neuroendocrine tumors, meaning tumors that originate in the neuroendocrine system.

2. ELC-301 is a CAR T cell therapy based on genetically modifying the patient's T cells so that they recognize targets on the tumor cells in order to attack and kill them. ELC-301 was developed for treating B-cell lymphoma, a cancer that originates in the lymphatic system.

Elicera's strengths and competitive advantages

Elicera's operation is founded on years of research conducted by Professor Magnus Essand, who has a sterling reputation in the field, and his research group at Uppsala University. Elicera's strengths are based on a profound understanding of how cells and viruses can be genetically modified to trigger a robust immune response to cancer. Building on this competence, the company has developed a technology platform called iTANK (Immunotherapies Activated with NAP for Efficient Killing) that enables the development of various types of immunoactivated treatments, each of which gives rise to a multifaceted attack on the tumors. Elicera believes it has a unique position with its iTANK platform, which the company also believes could be used to optimize all CAR T cells under development by other companies as well (see Table 1 below).

	WHAT?	WHY?	PROBLEM?	ELICERA'S SOLUTION
Immuno-oncology	Treating cancer via the immune system	Curative potential	Individual therapies insufficient, combination treatments required	Development of CAR T cells and OV's that can be combined with other immunotherapies
CAR T cells	Train T cells via genetic modification to recognize targets on the tumor cell	Demonstrated curative potential in blood cancer	Challenges in solid tumors: 1. Hostile micro-environment 2. Shortage of relevant targets	iTANK platform answers challenges 1) and 2) for all CAR T cells
The iTANK platform	Boosting CAR T cells so that they give rise to a parallel broad cancer attack via CD8+ T cells	CAR T cells perform poorly in solid tumors		
Oncolytic viruses/OV	Viruses that selectively infiltrate, and proliferate in, cancer cells but not healthy cells	Selective cancer attack and natural activation of the immune system	Individual therapies insufficient, combination treatments required	Development of the next generation of OV with three combined mechanisms of action → extra activation of the immune system

Table 1: Elicera's iTANK platform and drug candidates solve many problems for health care and other drug developers/potential partners.

Elicera's drug candidates can be combined with other immunotherapies such as checkpoint inhibitors (CPIs) to achieve a synergistic effect. This makes the company's CAR T cells and oncolytic viruses of potential interest as combination therapies for many other players in immuno-oncology, especially those who are developing different treatments that inhibit the tumor's undesirable suppression of the immune system. CAR T cells, which are under development for treatment of solid tumors, have in general encountered two major problems:

1. A hostile micro-environment in the tumor, which counteracts the function of the CAR T cell.

2. A highly varied set of targets (antigens) in the tumor cell, which makes it difficult for the CAR T cell to find and attack cancer.

The iTANK platform counteracts this hostile micro-environment and strengthens the function of the CAR T cell. In addition, it activates the patient's own CD8+ T cells, which gain the ability to target the entire set of relevant targets in the tumor cells; this makes the technology platform of potential interest to every company developing proprietary CAR T cells against different types of solid tumors.

Since all of Elicera's drug candidates give rise to a multi-stage attack on cancer through genetic modification, they have the potential to offer cancer patients broader, more effective immunotherapy. Moreover, ELC-301 has the possibility of offering continued treatment for the large proportion of patients who relapse after conventional CAR T cell therapies and don't have other treatment alternatives.

The work of Professor Essand's research group in genetic and immunotherapy against cancer has led to two ongoing clinical trials with oncolytic viruses (one of which is using ELC-100),


and one concluded and one ongoing academic study with CD19 CAR T cells (not included in Elicera's product portfolio). These studies provide Elicera with access to valuable experience ahead of planning and implementation of the company's future CAR T cell studies with ELC-301 and ELC-401.

Furthermore, Elicera's management team and Board of Directors has previous experience from drug development in immuno-oncology, with a focus on cell therapies. The Board's fields of expertise also include business development, health economy, regulatory strategy, business law and corporate governance in a listed environment. Additionally, the Board will be complemented with a member with valuable experience from commercial manufacture of cell therapies, including market-approved CAR T cells.

Business concept and strategy

Elicera develops innovative immunotherapies for the purpose of prolonging the lives of, and improving the quality of life for, cancer patients. Its business concept is built on generating revenue from commercial partnerships by:

- Benefiting from the company's world-leading competence in cell and tumor immunology in order to develop drugs that address major medical needs that are not being met.
- Continuing to build on its strong patent portfolio and work up valuable know-how.
- Implementing well-designed preclinical and clinical trials for projects that can then be included in commercial partnerships with large drug and/or biotech companies.
- Outlicensing the iTANK platform to other companies that are developing CAR T cells.



Product portfolio

The company's product portfolio consists of four drug candidates: two in the field of oncolytic viruses (ELC-100 and ELC-201) and two are in the field of CAR T cell treatments (ELC-301 and ELC-401), as well as a platform technology, iTANK (ELC-001) for further boosting immunity in conjunction with CAR T cell treatments. A description of each project follows below.




Figure 1: Elicera's product portfolio.

ELC-001: the iTANK technology platform for CAR T cell optimization and parallel immune activation against cancer

Elicera has developed a technology platform called iTANK (Immunotherapies Activated with NAP for Efficient Killing) that could be used for optimizing CAR T cells by activating a parallel attack on cancer using CD8+ T cells. Development of the platform is complete, and it is being used to boost the company's CAR T cell therapies ELC-301 and ELC-401. Additionally, the iTANK technology is currently being used in ELC-201, Elicera's next generation of oncolytic virus. The platform has potential for application in all CAR T cells under development by all companies, and is expected to be able to meet the two major challenges below faced by all CAR T cells in the treatment of solid tumors:

1. A hostile micro-environment in the tumor, which counteracts the function of the CAR T cell.
2. A highly varied set of targets (antigens) in the tumor cell, which makes it difficult for the CAR T cell to find and attack cancer.

The iTANK platform has the capacity to strengthen the function of the CAR T cell while directly counteracting the hostile micro-environment in the tumor. Incorporating the iTANK platform also leads to a process that releases a large set of relevant immunostimulants, not just individual ones, that together provide a highly robust and broad activation of the immune system and the patient's CD8+ T cells against cancer (see Figure 2 below). The patient's own CD8+ T cells are also activated against the entire set of relevant targets in the tumor cell, which creates the conditions for a broad attack on cancer (see Figure 3 below).

The iTANK technology is used to incorporate a transgene in CAR T cells that codes for a neutrophil-activating protein (NAP) from *Helicobacter pylori* bacteria. Upon activation, the NAP has demonstrated the ability to:

- Recruit neutrophils and inflammatory cells¹ (publications by others).
- Trigger an adaptive immune response based on CD8+ T cells² (publications by others).

¹ D'Elia et al, FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2007
² D'Elia et al, FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2007




Figure 2: NAP-boosted CAR T cells activate the innate immune system and a parallel attack on cancer via CD8+ T cells.

- Function as a vaccine adjuvant and trigger an immune response against “weak” antigens as well³ (publications by others).
- Improve the anti-tumor effect of the oncolytic measles virus⁴ (publications by others).
- Create a pro-inflammatory micro-environment rich in cytokines and chemokines⁵ (publications by Essand group).
- Recruit neutrophils that can kill cancer cells directly⁶ (publications by Essand group).
- Activate dendritic cells and force them to migrate to lymph nodes⁷ (publications by Essand group).

Figure 2 above illustrates how NAP-boosted CAR T cells trigger the innate immune system and a parallel attack on cancer via CD8+ T cells. When the CAR T cell comes in contact with a cancer cell via the target on the surface of the tumor cell, NAPs are activated and released. In turn the NAPs

recruit immune cells that release cytokines and chemokines, which create a pro-inflammatory environment that triggers the immune system against cancer. This occurs through the recruitment and activation of antigen-presenting cells such as dendritic cells (DCs). The DCs then pick up the set of various tumor antigens that are released after the CAR T cell attack and move to the lymph nodes. There they present various tumor antigens to the T cells, which are thereby activated and become cytotoxic, cancer-killing CD8+ T cells.

Figure 3 below illustrates the advantages of the iTANK platform and highlights how NAP-boosted CAR T cells generate a second mechanism of action through CD8+ T cells that target the entire set of relevant tumor antigens in cancer cells – not just one single target, as often is the case for conventional CAR T cells. According to Dr. Terry Fry, the co-author of “Mechanism of resistance to CAR T cell therapy” (published





Figure 3: The iTANK platform results in a second parallel mechanism of action and a broad attack on tumor cells via CD8+ T cells. The CD8+ T cells are activated against the entire set of relevant targets on the tumor cell.

3 Iankov I et al, Vaccines 2011, Iankov I et al, Mol Ther 2012, Iankov I et al, Vaccines 2013
 4 Iankov I et al, Vaccines 2011, Iankov I et al, Mol Ther 2012, Iankov I et al, Vaccines 2013
 5 Ramachandran M et al, Mol Ther 2013, Ramachandran M et al, J Immunol 2014
 6 Ramachandran M et al, Mol Ther 2013, Ramachandran M et al, J Immunol 2014
 7 Ramachandran M et al, Mol Ther 2013, Ramachandran M et al, J Immunol 2014

in Nature Review Clinical Oncology⁸), the greatest problem with CAR T cell therapies is the large proportion of patients who suffer a relapse after effective treatment. As much as 30-50% of patients who are treated with CAR T cells for CD19 and whose tumors regress in the first month see their cancer return, most of them within one year. The two challenges for CAR T cells discussed above in the treatment of solid tumors are also likely explanations for the relapse after treatment with CAR T cells for blood cancer⁹. As mentioned above, Elicera's iTANK platform can address both challenges by stimulating the immune system to attack other targets on tumor cells as well by activating neoantigen-reactive T cells.

Elicera has generated preclinical data that demonstrates proof of concept for the various stages in the mechanism of action described above. Other experiments were conducted, including on mice, in which the treatment with NAP-boosted CD19 CAR T cells was compared with conventional CD19 CAR T cells in mice that had been injected with 50% CD19-positive tumor cells and 50% CD19-negative tumor cells.

NAP-boosted CAR T cells proved to limit tumor growth and prolong survival compared with conventional CAR T cells. Further experiments analyzed CD8+ T cells from mice and their CD19 reactivity, and only mice treated with NAP-boosted CAR T cells showed CD8+ T cells activated against CD19-negative tumor cells, which clearly indicates the iTANK platform's capacity to trigger a parallel attack on the entire set of relevant tumor antigens-targets.



ELC-100: AdVince – Oncolytic virus in an ongoing Phase I/II study for treatment of neuroendocrine tumors

Elicera's oncolytic virus AdVince (ELC-100) is based on a genetically modified adenovirus, Ad5PTD, and has been optimized with regard to its ability to enter specifically neuroendocrine cancer cells and not healthy cells, where they proliferate until the tumor cell bursts and dies in a process known as oncolysis. During oncolysis, an immune response against tumor cells is also initiated through tumor neoantigens (mutated antigens, the antigens that provoke the strongest immune response) being released and captured by the patient's den-

dritic cells, which then teach the T cells to attack cancer cells wherever they are found in the body. AdVince is thus expected to achieve a tumor-killing effect in the cancer cells where it proliferates while a long-term, systematic immune response is set in motion to attack cancer cells in other parts of the body as well (see Figure 4 below). In addition to selective proliferation in neuroendocrine tumors (NETs), ELC-100 has also been genetically modified specifically not to proliferate in liver cells, for the purpose of reducing the risk of side effects.




Figure 4: Oncolytic viruses selectively infiltrate, and proliferate in, cancer cells. The process triggers an immune reaction and activates the patient's T cells to attack cancer cells in parallel with the oncolytic viruses.

8 Shah NN, Fry TJ. Mechanisms of resistance to CAR T cell therapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. doi: 10.1038/s41571-019-0184-6
9 <https://www.cancertherapyadvisor.com/home/cancer-topics/hematologic-cancers/cart-cell-therapy-cancer-limitations-treatment/2/>

ELC-100 is currently being tested in a clinical Phase I/II trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02749331) with Uppsala University as the sponsor. The study is being conducted in two steps, where the primary goal of step 1 is to investigate the safety of the treatment and determine the maximum tolerated dosage. This will then be tested in stage 2 on an additional 12 patients, where the primary goal is to study the efficacy of the treatment. The first step of the study has four dosage levels, with three patients at each level. In addition to determining the maximum tolerated dosage, the study is also investigating

whether the patients respond to the treatment in the form of slowed tumor growth, or if the tumors have decreased in size. A complete treatment consists of four injections over approximately seven weeks. ELC-100 is injected into the liver via blood vessels in the groin using an x-ray based technique. The patient is evaluated a month later using combined advance medical technology (CT, MR, PET). At present, seven of the 12 planned patients have been treated (see Figure 5 below). Conclusion of step 1 is expected in the second half of 2022.





Figure 5: Ongoing Phase I/II trial on neuroendocrine tumors is being carried out in two steps, where the first involves finding the maximum tolerated dosage, which will be tested in step 2.

ELC-201: the next generation of oncolytic virus, with three combined mechanisms of action

ELC-201, Elicera's next generation of oncolytic immunotherapy, is based on a genetically modified adenovirus vector with three combined mechanisms of action that have been developed to trigger an immune response that will lead to the activation of neoantigen-reactive T cells. The treatment is expected to function synergistically, with established checkpoint inhibitors, and can theoretically be used in the treatment of most forms of cancer.

ELC-201 is in the preclinical development phase, and an initial clinical trial is expected to begin in 2023 at the earliest.



ELC-301: CAR T cell therapy in the treatment of non-Hodgkin B cell lymphoma

ELC-301 is Elicera's iTANK-boosted CAR T cell therapy in the treatment of non-Hodgkin B cell lymphoma (NHL), a form of blood cancer. Currently, NHL is treated primarily with cytotoxins in combination with the anti-CD20 antibody rituximab. Over 50% are cured, but between 20-50% of these patients stop responding to standard treatment or suffer a relapse after a complete response¹⁰. Two CAR T cell treatments (Yescarta and Kymriah) have been approved in the US and Europe for NHL patients who have relapsed. Both target CD19. The proportion of patients who have a complete tumor response is high, but "only" approximately 40% of these patients have a sustained complete response¹¹. Elicera's iTANK-boosted CAR T cell therapy ELC-301 focuses on another target, CD20, and thereby supplements treatment with conventional CD19 CAR T cell therapy. ELC-301 thus has the potential to more than double the number of B cell lymphoma patients who have a sustained complete tumor response.

Since NHL can have an immunosuppressive micro-environment and, moreover, there is a potential problem with patients becoming resistant to their treatment owing to the cancer cells often losing the target antigen with a relapse¹² it is important that a CAR T cell treatment is able to induce a robust immune response based on neoantigen-reactive CD8+ T cells that are able to kill cancer cells that do not express CD20 or CD19. ELC-301 has thus been boosted, via the iTANK platform, with an immunostimulant factor (NAP) that in preclinical studies has been shown to induce an immune response that also kills the cancer cells that do not express the target antigen that the CAR T cell is directed against. Elicera plans to commence a clinical trial beginning in the second half of 2022.

ELC-401 – a new CAR T cell therapy in the treatment of glioblastoma multiforme, with immunity boosting via the iTANK platform

ELC-401 is a CAR T cell therapy that targets IL13Ra2, a receptor that is overexpressed in 75% of patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM)¹³, as well as in a number of other solid tumors¹⁴. The drug candidate has been boosted with the iTANK platform, and is expected to meet the two aforementioned challenges for CAR T cell treatment of solid tumors.

Via the iTANK platform, ELC-401 is expected to be able to activate CD8+ T cells against the entire set of relevant tumor antigens and targets in GBM cells, thus offering a broader attack on cancer. ELC-401 is injected locally into the tumor, and is expected to give rise to a pro-inflammatory environment that counteracts the otherwise immunosuppressive micro-environment found in solid tumors. Additionally, ELC-401 is injected directly into the tumor, thereby ensuring that the CAR T cells reach the tumor location itself in order to bind to the tumor cells.

ELC-401 is in the preclinical development phase, and is expected to reach the clinical phase in 2023 at the earliest.



¹⁰ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5649550/>

¹¹ Nature Medicine | 1344 VOL 25 | SEPTEMBER 2019 | 1341–1355

¹² Xu, X., et al. Mechanisms of Relapse After CD19 CAR T Cell Therapy for Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia and Its Prevention and Treatment Strategies. *Front Immunol* 10, 2664 (2019).

¹³ IL13RA2 targeted alpha particle therapy against glioblastomas, *Oncotarget*. 2017 Jun 27; 8(26): 42997–43007.

¹⁴ Interleukin-13 receptor $\alpha 2$ is a novel marker and potential therapeutic target for human melanoma, *Scientific Reports* volume 9, Article number: 1281 (2019)

Market overview

The market for neuroendocrine tumors

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) arise from specialized cells in the neuroendocrine system. The tumors can be found anywhere in the body, but occur primarily in the gastrointestinal tract (43%) as well as in the lungs (30%) and in the pancreas (7%)¹⁵.

Approximately 450,000 people were living with NETs in 2017 in the seven major markets (7MM: the US, Japan, France, Germany, England, Italy and Spain), and the total market is valued at approximately USD 3.6 billion¹⁶.

The drugs most used in treating NETs consist of somatostatin analogues (SSAs) that inhibit the production of certain hormones that help the cancer to grow, followed by treatment with various types of kinase inhibitors and cytotoxins¹⁷. The choice of NET treatment depends on where the primary tumor is located, which also has a major impact on expected survival. A study published in 2018 shows that median survival for patients with NET is 41 months, and that the five-year survival rate is 39.4%¹⁸. The three largest Big Pharma companies in the NET field are Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim and Novartis¹⁹. According to a recently published report by Datamonitor, most industry-sponsored clinical trials in NET are in Phase I, with only one clinical trial in Phase III²⁰ being conducted by the Chinese company Hutchison MediPharma with the kinase inhibitor sulfanitib.

Elicera has identified one competitor that is developing oncolytic viruses for treatment of NET: Seneca Therapeutics (ST). The company has concluded a Phase I/II trial with initial indications of efficacy²¹ and is now planning a Phase I/II trial in combination with a checkpoint inhibitor.

The market for B cell NHL

Non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) can be divided up into several subgroups, where diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common. NHL affects approximately 1.5 million people around the world every year²². DLBCL comprises over 85% of all NHL cases. Treatment alternatives vary depending on which type of NHL patient is affected and by how far the disease has progressed, but for NHL patients whose treatment is difficult, there is still a great unmet medical need²³.

The market for B cell NHL in the 7MM was valued at USD 5.7 billion in 2017, and is expected to increase to USD 9.2 billion by 2027²⁴. Growth is driven primarily by CAR T cell therapies, the launch of new products that are still under development,

and new areas of application for previously established drugs in the treatment of subgroups of B cell NHL.

Chemotherapy combined with the anti-CD20 antibody rituximab comprise the first line of treatment of NHL for the purpose of curing the disease, but relapses are unfortunately common. According to an international NHL study that retrospectively evaluated the results in patients with DLBCL that was difficult to treat, the objective frequency of response for the second line of treatment was only 26% and the total median survival was only 6.3 months²⁵. Only 20% of the patients were alive after two years, and the results in subgroups of patients in the study were consistently poor.

Younger patients who suffered relapses were frequently offered high-dosage chemotherapy (cytotoxins) with autologous stem cell transplants (ASCT), but more than half experienced new relapses and the effects of treatment for such patients are unfortunately very poor. Older patients with relapses that were not entitled to ASCT were offered palliative treatment only intended to alleviate symptoms.

Today, the therapeutic cornerstones are still primarily chemotherapy combined with rituximab and radiation treatment, but new treatment strategies are emerging. Two CAR T cell products that target the CD19 molecule, Yescarta (Gilead) and Kymriah (Novartis), have been approved in Europe as a third line of treatment for DLBCL. A third product, Tecartus



“The market for B cell NHL in the seven major markets was valued at USD 5.7 billion in 2017, and is expected to increase to USD 9.2 billion by 2027.”

15 <https://www.cancer.net/cancer-types/neuroendocrine-tumors/introduction>

16 Global Neuroendocrine Tumors (NETs) Market Report 2019, Research and Markets

17 <https://mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/neuroendocrine-tumor-treatment-market>

18 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6239108/>

19 <https://mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/neuroendocrine-tumor-treatment-market>

20 <https://pharmastore.informa.com/product/market-spotlight-neuroendocrine-tumors-net/>

21 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/49820092_Phase_I_Clinical_Study_of_Seneca_Valley_Virus_SVV-001_a_Replication-Competent_Picornavirus_in_Advanced_Solid_Tumors_with_Neuroendocrine_Features

22 <https://www.ihealthcareanalyst.com/global-non-hodgkin-lymphoma-market/>

23 <https://decisionresourcesgroup.com/report/725293-biopharma-non-hodgkins-lymphoma-and-chronic-lymphocytic/>

24 <https://www.ccsentinel.com/life-style/2019-b-cell-non-hodgkins-lymphoma-market-share-global-trends-key-players-analysis-growth-factors-industry-opportunities-development-status-and-outlook-2023/>

25 Crump, M., et al. Outcomes in refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: results from the international SCHOLAR-1 study. *Blood* 130, 1800-1808 (2017).

(Gilead), for treatment of mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), a form of non-Hodgkin B cell lymphoma, was also approved in the US in 2020 and is awaiting approval in the EU. The total objective response rate (ORR) and complete response rate (CR) for Yescarta are 83% and 54% respectively²⁶. A somewhat lower ORR (52%) and CR (40%) have been documented for Kymriah²⁷. Even though the initial response rate is high, a majority of the patients experience relapse after CD19 CAR T cell treatment, and when relapse occurs the tumor cells are often CD19-negative²⁸. This means that patients who suffer a relapse become resistant to continued treatment with conventional CD19 CAR T cell therapies (read more above about how Elicera is addressing these problems with its iTANK-boosted CAR T cell/ELC-301, which targets CD20).

Cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and neurotoxicity are the two largest side effects. CRS is largely manageable at the clinic, but neurotoxicity can sometimes be fatal. The cause of neurotoxicity is considered to be a disruption of the blood-brain barrier, with infiltration of cytokines into the central nervous system (CNS)²⁹, and by CAR T cells that bind to CD19 in blood vessels in the brain. CD20 is not expressed in blood vessels in the brain, which indicates that treatment using CAR T cells that target CD20 (such as ELC-301) are safer to use³⁰.

The market for glioblastoma multiforme

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is an aggressive form of brain cancer that often leads to death within 15 months of diagnosis³¹. The standard treatment consists of surgery followed by radiation and chemotherapy. Approximately 300,000 people around the world suffered from GBM in 2018, according to Globocan. The market was valued at USD 662 million in 2017, and is expected to increase to USD 1.4 billion by 2027³².

Owing to the inability of most drugs to pass the blood-brain barrier, there is a significant shortage of effective treatments. The only approved targeted therapy consists of Roche's tyrosine kinase inhibitor Avastin, despite the fact that the

treatment has not demonstrated prolonged survival in GBM patients³³. It is expected that new treatments that can demonstrate a prolonged survival effect could capture significant market shares and immunotherapy has proven promising in this indication. Below are three examples of immunotherapies that are under development for the treatment of GBM:

- PD1-checkpoint inhibitor Opdivo (BMS): reported negative Phase III data in late 2020³⁴.
- Cancer vaccine DCVAX-L (Northwest Biotherapeutics): promising survival data has been reported in Phase I/II trials, and the treatment is currently being tested in Phase III³⁵.
- CAR T cell MB-101 (Mustang Bio): promising effect data, including a patient who displayed complete response, in a small Phase I/II trial³⁶. MB-101 is now being tested in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors (Opdivo + Yervoy) in a Phase I/II trial.

MB-101 focuses on the same target – IL13Ra2 – as ELC-401, but the products differ in two important areas:

- ELC-401 has been boosted with the iTANK platform to activate CD8+ T cells against cancer (read more about the iTANK platform above), while MB-101 has not been boosted with transgenes.
- ELC-401 and MB-101 bind to different parts of the IL13Ra2 antigen. MB-101 uses an IL13 ligand that also binds to targets outside IL13Ra2, and thus not specifically. This means that the product cannot be used outside the central nervous system in other indications. Moreover, the IL13 ligand binds to an area that competes with soluble IL13, whereas ELC-401 binds outside this area and thus does not compete with soluble IL13. All together, this gives ELC-401 great potential for good treatment effects not only in GBM but in other indications as well.



26 Locke, F.L., et al. Long-term safety and activity of axicabtagene ciloleucel in refractory large B-cell lymphoma (ZUMA-1): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 1-2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 20, 31-42 (2019).

27 Schuster, S.J., et al. Tisagenlecleucel in Adult Relapsed or Refractory Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* 380, 45-56 (2019).

28 Xu, X., et al. Mechanisms of Relapse After CD19 CAR T Cell Therapy for Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia and Its Prevention and Treatment Strategies. *Front Immunol* 10, 2664 (2019).

29 Gust, J., Taraseviciute, A. & Turtle, C.J. Neurotoxicity Associated with CD19-Targeted CAR-T Cell Therapies. *CNS Drugs* 32, 1091-1101 (2018).

30 Parker, K.R., et al. Single-Cell Analyses Identify Brain Mural Cells Expressing CD19 as Potential Off-Tumor Targets for CAR-T Immunotherapies. *Cell* 183, 126-142 e117 (2020).

31 <https://www.aans.org/en/Patients/Neurosurgical-Conditions-and-Treatments/Glioblastoma-Multiforme>

32 Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM) Opportunity Analysis and Forecasts to 2027, GlobalData

33 [https://www.annalsofoncology.org/article/S0923-7534\(19\)34896-3/fulltext](https://www.annalsofoncology.org/article/S0923-7534(19)34896-3/fulltext)

34 <https://www.thepharmaleader.com/article/bms-says-checkmate-548-trial-of-opdivo-in-glioblastoma-will-not-meet-goal>

35 <https://nwbio.com/dcvax-l/>

36 <https://drug-dev.com/mustang-bio-presents-clinical-preclinical-data-on-mb-101-for-treatment-of-glioblastoma/>

Immuno-oncology

The attempt to fight cancer using the patient's own immune system has been ongoing for decades, but it is only within the last ten years that cancer immunotherapy (immuno-oncology) has been successfully used.

In only a few years, immuno-oncology has revolutionized how we treat cancer. In contrast to traditional cancer therapies such as radiation, surgery and chemotherapy, immuno-oncology deals with training the body's own immune system to fight cancer. This occurs in mainly two ways: by triggering the immune system against cancer, primarily by activating tumor-killing T cells (Elicera's focus), and by removing the tumor's suppressive activity on the immune system.

The greatest breakthrough in immuno-oncology comes from checkpoint inhibitors, or CPIs, that block immunosuppressive signaling in T cells, thereby providing them with greater scope for attacking cancer cells. Not only is a high level of T cell infiltration a positive factor in prognosis, but patients with tumors that have been infiltrated by T cells additionally respond significantly better when they are treated with checkpoint inhibitors. In a way, this is logical since checkpoint inhibitors do not induce new T cells but help already existing T cells by blocking their brakes. An overall goal for the research field is now to get more patients to respond to treatment with checkpoint inhibitors. To achieve this, T cell infiltration into tumors must be improved both through breaking down barriers in cases where there are T cells on the outer edge of the tumor but they have not successfully broken in, and through inducing an antitumoral T cell response de novo in cases where T cells are entirely absent. Elicera is developing two different types

of therapies: oncolytic viruses and CAR T cell treatments, both of which directly attack and kill cancer cells but have also been genetically modified via the company's iTANK (Immuno-therapies Activated with NAP for Efficient Killing) technology platform in such a way that they also activate the patient's T cells to infiltrate tumors and attack cancer cells.

CAR T cell therapies

The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), one of the world's largest cancer organizations, named CAR T cell treatment as the "Advance of the Year" for 2018 owing to the remarkably high proportion of patients with difficult-to-treat blood cancers who were cured by CAR T cells. Treatment with CAR T cells often goes by the name "adoptive immunotherapy" and normally entails removing, genetically modifying and expanding the patient's T cells before they are returned to the patient intravenously to find and kill cancer cells. The treatment is based on using a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) that is placed on the surface of the T cell so that it recognized a specific target (an antigen) in the tumor cells and can then attack and kill the tumor cell (see Figure 6 below).

The first approved CAR T cell treatments target CD19, a molecule found on the cell surface in B cells that have been transformed into tumor cells and thus on lymphoma and leukemia cells that are based on the B lymphocyte line.




Figure 6: CAR T cell treatment is normally based on extracting the patient's T cells, which are genetically modified to express a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) before they proliferate in test tubes and are injected back into the patient, where they then seek out and attack tumor cells that bear the target for the CAR molecule.

Successes in this type of treatment for blood cancer have been tremendous. Clinical trials with CAR T cells in severe cases of blood cancer have demonstrated tumor response in upwards of 94% of the patients, which is particularly impressive considering that most CAR T cell studies recruit patients who are no longer responding to available treatments³⁷. CAR T cell treatment has not been without its challenges, however, primarily concerning the high frequency with which patients' illnesses recur (see more below) and the serious side effects that many patients experience. These serious side effects include several reported fatalities attributable to CAR T cells that target the CD19 antigen found on the immune systems' B cells, which comprise the most frequently studied target in the CAR T cell field. Nearly 50% of all CAR T cell studies focus solely on CD19³⁸.

There are currently three market-approved CAR T cell therapies:

1. Kymriah, developed by Novartis, is currently approved for treatment of acute lymphatic leukemia (ALL) and B cell lymphoma in the US, Europe and Japan³⁹. The price per treatment has been set between USD 300,000 and 475,000⁴⁰.
2. Yescarta, developed by Kite Pharma (which was in turn acquired by Gilead Sciences in 2017 for USD 11.9 billion), is currently approved in the US and Europe for treatment of various types of B cell lymphoma. In France, Yescarta has been priced at EUR 350,000 per patient⁴¹.
3. Tecartus, developed by Kite Pharma, has been approved in both the US and Europe for treatment of mantle cell lymphoma since 2020. Tecartus has been priced at USD 373,000 per treatment in the US⁴².

The iTANK platform answers two of the greatest challenges for CAR T cells in the treatment of solid tumors

The successes in treating various types of blood cancer have confirmed the potential and effect of CAR T cells, and sparked great interest in this type of therapy.

Serious effort is now being made to achieve success in the treatment of solid tumors as well, but currently there are no approved CAR T cell therapies in this field, a fact that is attributable to the following challenges⁴³:

- Solid tumors express a highly varied set of tumor antigens, which makes it difficult to find relevant targets for CAR T cells.
- A solid tumor has an extremely immunosuppressive micro-environment that counteracts the effect of CAR T cells against cancer.

Elicera's iTANK platform technology (see more below) is expected to improve CAR T cell function while the technology also activates the patient's innate immune system and CD8+ T cells against the entire set of relevant tumor antigens expressed in the tumor cells. The iTANK platform can thus answer the two aforementioned challenges in treating solid tumors with CAR T cells. The technology is considered to be applicable to all CAR T cells under development, not only the company's own.

"The iTANK platform can thus answer the two aforementioned challenges in treating solid tumors with CAR T cells. The technology is considered to be applicable to all CAR T cells under development, not only the company's own."

CHALLENGES FOR CAR T CELLS IN THE TREATMENT OF SOLID TUMORS		
	Antigen heterogeneity	Immunosuppressive tumor micro-environment
iTANK	✓	✓
ELC-401 (GBM)	✓	✓
Conventional CAR T cells	✗	✗

Table 2: Elicera's iTANK platform is applicable in theory to all CAR T cells under development, and answers two of the greatest challenges in the treatment of solid tumors.

37 <https://www.labiotech.eu/features/car-t-therapy-cancer-review/>

38 Global CAR-T Cell Therapy Market – Market Size, Forecasts, Trials & Trends, Bioinformant.

39 <https://www.novartis.com/news/media-releases/novartis-receives-european-commission-approval-its-car-t-cell-therapy-kymriah-tisagenlecleucel>

40 <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-novartis-kymriah-japan/novartis-gets-approval-to-sell-kymriah-in-japan-for-306000-idUSKCN1SL057>

41 <https://www.apmhealthurope.com/freestory/0/62005/gilead-sets-temporary-price-for-car-t-therapy-yescarta-at-e350-000-in-france>

42 <https://www.biopharmadive.com/news/gileads-second-act-in-cell-therapy-gets-its-first-approval/582295/>

43 <https://stemcellres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13287-020-02128-1>.

“NAP activation leads to a process that releases an entire set of relevant immunostimulants, not just individual ones, that together provide a highly robust and broad activation of the immune system and the patient’s CD8+ T cells against cancer.”

Many different CAR T cells under development, but very few activate the innate immune system and CD8+ T cells against cancer like Elicera’s products do

CAR T cells have been developed and gradually improved for many years. The first generation of CAR T cells most often demonstrated poor effects owing to insufficient proliferation and survival in the body after infusion⁴⁴. The second and third generations of CAR T cells contained respectively one and two extra costimulatory domains, which improved function, survival and immune activation (see Figure 7 below). Approximately 70% of all CAR T cells currently under development belong to the second generation, including the three aforementioned market-approved products⁴⁵. The fourth generation of CAR T cells is built on the second generation, but adds a transgene that codes for individual immunostimulants. The intention is thus to trigger the innate immune system and activate the pa-

tient’s CD8+ T cells to attack cancer. Via the iTANK platform, Elicera’s drug candidates ELC-301 and ELC-401 belong to an optimized version of the fourth generation of CAR T cells since they have been genetically modified with a transgene that, instead of individual immunostimulants (cytokine), code for a neutrophil-activating protein (NAP). NAP activation leads to a process that releases an entire set of relevant immunostimulants, not just individual ones, that together provide a highly robust and broad activation of the immune system and the patient’s CD8+ T cells against cancer. Approximately 16% of CAR T cells currently under development belong to the fourth generation, and the majority of these are being developed in academic environments – that is, not commercially by companies. Elicera knows of another company that is developing the fourth generation of CAR T cells with a focus on activating CD8+ T cells (Noile-Immune Biotech).




Figure 7: CAR T cells have gradually improved over the years, but the majority still belong to the second generation.

44 Global CAR-T Cell Therapy Market – Market Size, Forecasts, Trials & Trends | BioInformant.com
45 CAR-T Therapies Market (2nd Edition): Roots Analysis.




Figure 8: 115 companies around the world, most of which are in the US and China, are developing CAR T cells.

Elicera has a unique position among competing CAR T cells

Approximately 115 companies are developing CAR T cells around the world, the majority in the US (38) and in China (48),⁴⁶ see Figure 8 above. Only 14 companies are developing CAR T cells in Europe and, as far as Elicera is aware, the company is alone in Sweden in this field (not including Big Pharma presence). As previously mentioned, the majority of CAR T cells under development are still second generation⁴⁷ and approximately half of all CAR T cells target solely CD19⁴⁸, which is expressed in most of the different types of blood cancer.

CAR T cell companies are developing various types of products with their own unique properties, but in general it could be said that the focus in developing unique CAR T cells is on one of the four areas below (see Figure 9):

1. Function of the T cell.
2. The chimeric antigen receptor (CAR molecule).
3. Boosting (for example, with a transgene).
4. Manufacture.




Figure 9: Different approaches to developing CAR T cells.

⁴⁶ Global CAR-T Cell Therapy Market – Market Size, Forecasts, Trials & Trends | BioInformant.com

⁴⁷ CAR-T Therapies Market (2nd Edition): Roots Analysis.

⁴⁸ Global CAR-T Cell Therapy Market – Market Size, Forecasts, Trials & Trends | BioInformant.com

AREAS OF FOCUS			IMMUNE ACTIVATION VIA CD8+ T CELLS
	Technologies	Companies	
Safety 1. 2.	mRNA modification	MaxCyte	No 3.
	Replaceable CAR	Calibr, AbbVie	No 3.
	ON/OFF button	Cell Design Labs	No 3.
	Suicide gene	Belicium, Autolus Limited	No 3.
Effect 1. 2.	Preselected T cell	Posedia Therapeutics	No 3.
	Fab-CAR	Sorrento	No 3.
Specificity 2.	Different targets	JUNO, NOVATIS, Kite Pharma, Autolus, CARsgen	No 3.
Production (off the shelf) 1. 4.	Universal (allogeneic) CAR T	Allogene, Atara Bio, Fate, Celyad, Precision Bio, Shire	No 3.

Table 3: Examples of CAR T cell companies and their areas of focus.

The table above lists a number of CAR T cell companies that have garnered attention, as well as their areas of focus.

As Table 3 above shows, there are many different ways to develop different types of CAR T cell therapies. The list is not exhaustive as regards approaches or relevant companies that are developing CAR T cells, but is intended to highlight a selection of the most outstanding companies in the field and their methods.

Since CAR T cells are often associated with severe side effects, a number of companies are working with the T cell and/or CAR molecule to regulate their side effect profiles in various ways (not including optimizing their effects). As previously mentioned, most CAR T cells under development target primarily blood cancer and CD19, but a number of companies

are also developing CAR T cells against other targets in the treatment of blood cancer and targets in solid tumors. Most of the CAR T cells under development are still also autologous, meaning that they are

“Elicera’s iTANK platform technology is expected to generate more effective recruitment and activation of immune system cells than competing technologies owing to the comparatively more comprehensive release of immunostimulants, which are important for optimizing the immune response to cancer.”

based on the patient’s own T cells filtered out of the patient’s blood. This involves a costly and complex production process, which is why a number of companies have also begun working with allogeneic T cells, meaning T cells that are taken from healthy blood donors and can be mass produced rather than needing to be tailored for each individual patient. Even though allogeneic CAR T cells have a comparatively simplified production process, it is generally more difficult to achieve as positive an effect as autologous CAR T cells. Elicera’s CAR T cells are autologous, but the iTANK platform can be applied to both allogeneic and autologous CAR T cells. Additionally, there are companies that are developing CAR T cells that have been modified with checkpoint inhibitors, or with genes that code as cytokines, which improve the CAR T cell’s capacity for infiltrating cancer cells.

As Table 3 shows, none of the companies discussed as examples are working to boost their CAR T cells for parallel activation of the innate immune system and CD8+ T cells against cancer, which Elicera is doing via its iTANK platform. Elicera has identified one company that is developing a platform technology with a similar approach: Noile-Immune Biotech. The company’s PRIME T platform is compared with Elicera’s iTANK platform in Table 4 below, together with other examples of fourth-generation CAR T cells that are being developed primarily in academic environments, as far as Elicera is aware.

	COMPANIES WITH PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY FOR 4TH GENERATION CAR T CELLS		EXAMPLES OF CYTOKINES CODED BY COMMONLY OCCURRING TRANSGENES IN 4TH GENERATION CAR T CELLS			
	Elicera (iTANK platform)	Noile-Immune Biotech (PRIME T platform)	IL-12	CD40L	IL-18	Flt3L
CAR T function	+	+	+	+	+	+
	(IFN-γ; IL-16)	(IL-7)				
Bystander immune activation	++	+ ²	N/A ¹	+ ²	+ ²	+ ²
	(IL-12, IL-1α; IL-1β; IL-6; G-CSF; M-CSF; TNF-α)					
Toxicity	TBD, low ³	TBD	Strong ⁴	TBD	TBD	TBD
Recruitment of immune cells	++	+	Not demonstrated	+	+	+
	(CCL2; CCL3; CCL4; CCL5; CCL12; CXCL1; CXCL2; CXCL9; CXCL10; CXCL12; CXCL13)	(CCL19)				
Non-host factor	Yes ⁵	No	No	No	No	No
¹ No data ² Requires pre-treatment and combination, for example, with cytotoxins in animal studies ³ Patients infected with H. pylori suffered no side effects ⁴ Clinical data shows strong toxicity associated with IL-12 ⁵ Immunomodulation factors from bacteria						

Table 4: Elicera's iTANK platform technology is expected to generate more effective recruitment and activation of immune system cells than competing technologies owing to the comparatively more comprehensive release of immunostimulants, which are important for optimizing the immune response to cancer.

As Table 4 shows, Elicera's iTANK platform differs from Noile-Immune Biotech's PRIME T platform and the other examples in that the iTANK platform initiates a process that releases an entire set of different relevant cytokines and chemokines to trigger the immune system, in contrast to only one or two that otherwise frequently occur in competing CAR T cells that were developed in the fourth generation. Since the fourth generation of CAR T cells are intended to trigger a parallel attack on cancer cells via CD8+ T cells, the platform technology will likely be of interest to other companies that are developing CAR T cells against solid tumors, where the CAR T cells have demonstrated difficulty in achieving sufficient effect on their own. This assumption is strengthened by the fact that in 2019 and 2020, Noile-Immune Biotech established several partnerships and licensing deals around its PRIME T platform with both small and medium-size CAR T cell developers in the field of solid tumors⁴⁹.

Oncolytic viruses

Oncolytic viruses (OVs) are viruses that selectively infiltrate and kill tumor cells (via proliferation in the tumor cell, or oncolysis) while normal cells are left undamaged. As part of this process, the oncolytic viruses also stimulate the immune system to fight cancer cells via T cell activation (see Figure 4 on page 9 above). OVs specifically have the ability to transform an immunological "cold" tumor with few immune effector cells (tumor-activated T cells) into a "hot" tumor with increased infiltration of T cells, which has led to several ongoing clinical trials combining oncolytic viruses with CPI treatment.

The global OV market was valued at USD 94 million in 2018, and is expected to increase to USD 571 million by 2026⁵⁰. There are over 3,000 types of virus, but not all of them are suitable to use for oncolysis⁵¹. The oncolytic virus has to be non-pathogenic and have an innate tumor-specific killing capacity, or can otherwise be genetically modified with these properties. At present, there is only one commercially available oncolytic virus in the two most important drug markets (the US and Europe): T-VEC/Imlygic (for treatment of melanoma)⁵². A further oncolytic virus (Oncorine) has been approved in China for the treatment of head and throat cancer. Table 5 below lists the most frequently used OVs in clinical trials⁵³.

Since the herpes simplex virus (HSV) is naturally extremely pathogenic, it must be genetically modified to limit its replication only to cancer cells. Additionally, T-VEC has been genetically modified to express GM-CSF (to stimulate dendritic cells) and to promote antigen presentation. These genetic modifications are ultimately intended to trigger an immune system via CD8+ T cells, just as ELC-201 is intended to do via methods such as the iTANK platform and incorporating a transgene that codes for NAP. As described above, boosting with the iTANK platform is expected to give rise to more complete immune activation via an entire set of different immunostimulant cytokines and chemokines.

⁴⁹ <https://www.noile-immune.com/en/news.html>

⁵⁰ Global Oncolytic Virus Therapy Market, Verified Market Research

⁵¹ <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6557159/>

⁵² <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6557159/>

⁵³ Clinical CAR-T Cell and Oncolytic Virotherapy for Cancer Treatment, Molecular Therapy Vol. 29 No 2 February 2021.






	HERPES VIRUS	ADENOVIRUS	VACCINIA VIRUS	MEASLES VIRUS	REOVIRUS
Structure					
Genome	152kb dsDNA	36kb dsDNA	190kb dsDNA	16ss(-)RNA	23kb dsDNA
Products and drug candidates	T-VEC (Amgen, approved)	ELC-100, ELC-201, Oncorine (Shanghai Sunway Biotech, approved)	Pexa-Vec (Sillajen, Phase II)	Measovir (Oncovita, preclinical)	Reolysin (Oncolytics Biotech, Phase II)
Advantages	Strong infiltration capacity, applicable to many tumor types, large genome, easy to genetically manipulate	Extensively studied, easy to genetically manipulate, safe to use	Strong infiltration capacity, applicable to many tumor types, large genome, easy to genetically manipulate	Extensively studied, easy to genetically manipulate, safe to use	Extensively studied, naturally infiltrates cancer cells, safe to use
Disadvantages	Extremely virulent, established immune response to the virus	Established immune response to the virus, naturally infiltrates liver cells, receptor availability	Quickly neutralized, poor understanding	Established immune response to the virus through vaccination	Sensitive to antiviral immune response

Table 5: The most frequently used OV's in clinical trials.

Adenoviruses are among the most-studied OV's and, like HSV, can easily be genetically manipulated. Most often, it is an issue of genetic modifications that restrict replication only in cancer cells, but genes that code for GM-CSF, for example, to trigger the immune system are sometimes also used⁵⁴. Oncorine, which has been approved in China for the treatment of head and neck cancer, is based on an adenovirus and is currently being tested in a Phase III trial in China for the treatment of liver cancer.

The Vaccinia virus has a large genome, which means that large transgenes can be inserted and there is thus greater possibilities for genetic manipulation. The most frequently studied Vaccinia virus is Pexa-Vec, which was developed by Transgene and Sillajen. Like T-VEC, Pexa-Vec expresses GM-CSF for further immunostimulation. In December 2019, negative Phase III data was reported for Pexa-Vec in the

treatment of liver cancer, but the drug candidate is currently being studied in a clinical trial in combination with CPI for various solid tumors⁵⁵.

The measles virus is a serious human pathogen, which is why 86% of all children around the world have been vaccinated against the virus. All OV's based on the measles virus must thus manage the problem of an active immune response to the virus. Measovir, developed by Oncovita, is based on the measles virus and is in a preclinical development phase.

Reovirus is a well-studied virus that is safe to use, and replicates naturally in cancer cells. Oncolytics Biotech is developing Reolysin, which is currently being tested in several clinical trials for various indications and in combination with different immunotherapies from other companies.

⁵⁴ Clinical CAR-T Cell and Oncolytic Virotherapy for Cancer Treatment, Molecular Therapy Vol. 29 No 2 February 2021.
⁵⁵ Clinical CAR-T Cell and Oncolytic Virotherapy for Cancer Treatment, Molecular Therapy Vol. 29 No 2 February 2021.

Intellectual property rights

Elicera works continually on protecting its drug candidates and its platform technology through patent applications.

ELC-100 is protected by an approved US patent, which was sold to Immunicum AB in 2014. In conjunction with the sale of the patent, Elicera received an exclusive global license to use the technology for the development of oncolytic viruses in the treatment of neuroendocrine tumors.

Elicera also intends to investigate the possibility of applying for orphan drug status for drug candidates that target unusual diseases, since approval could confer such advantages as sole rights in the European market for ten years and sole rights in the US market for seven years.

Table 6 below lists Elicera's current patent portfolio.

- The iTANK platform: The patent application is in the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) phase; it protects a vector that codes for a CAR and NAP.

- ELC-100 (AdVince): Approved product patent in the US (exclusive global license from Immunicum AB).
- ELC-301 (CAR T in the treatment of NHL): The product is protected by a patent application that was submitted for the iTANK platform and targets CD20, for which patent protection (owned by Roche for its product rituxan) expired in 2016. Elicera therefore considers itself able to develop ELC-301 against CD20 completely independently, without dependence on the patents of others.
- ELC-401 (CAR T in the treatment of GBM): The patent application was submitted in 2020.

DRUG CANDIDATE	TITLE	YEAR OF APPLICATION	PATENT GRANTED	PERIOD OF VALIDITY
ELC-100/AdVince	Hexon TAT-PTD Modified Adenovirus and uses thereof	2013	US	2033
ELC-301 and ELC-001 (The iTANK platform)	T cell immunotherapy	2016	–	2036
ELC-401	CAR T IL-13Ra2	2020	–	2040

Table 6: Elicera's patent portfolio.



Board of Directors and management

BOARD OF DIRECTORS



Agneta Edberg

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD SINCE 2020

Education: Agneta Edberg has an education in biomedicine and health economics.

Experience: Agneta Edberg has over 20 years of experience from senior positions in life science, including cell therapy companies. Her previous positions included Managing Director and Vice President of Mylan AB, Nordic countries; CEO of LFF Service AB, Svenska Läkemedelsförsäkringen AB and NM Pharma AB; as well as senior positions at the venture capital company LinkMed AB (Allenex), Pfizer, Pharmacia, Bactiguard and Cilag (Johnson & Johnson) AB. Her previous board assignments include Chairman of the Board of the immuno-oncology company Immunicum AB (publ), Health Solutions AB, BioResonator Good Eye AB and BioMatCell – Vinn Excellence Center of Biomaterials and Cell Therapy. Other current board assignments include Chairman of the Board of Likvor AB, A+ Science AB and Ambulanssjukvården i Storstockholm AB (AISAB); board member of TSS AB, the Centre for Advanced Medical Products (CAMP, a Swedish consortium), and board member of CellProtect AB. She is also the Chairman of the Board of the cell therapy company Idogen AB (listed on Spotlight).

Independence: Agneta Edberg is independent in relation to the company, its senior executives and major shareholders.

Shares: 100,000.



Margareth Jorvid

BOARD MEMBER SINCE 2020

Education: M.Sc. Pharma and MBA.

Experience: Margareth Jorvid has over 30 years of experience in regulatory affairs in pharmaceuticals and has worked at the Swedish Medical Products Agency as well as pharmaceutical companies both large and small such as Roussel Nordiska, Hoechst Marion Roussel (Stockholm and Paris, France), and Neopharma; she was Head of Regulatory Affairs and QA at the immuno-oncology company Immunicum AB (listed on Small Cap). Since 2006 she has also been a consultant in regulatory affairs and quality assurance for drugs and medtech products through her company Methra Uppsala AB, part of the LSM Group. She is a member and honorary member of the Organisation for Professionals in Regulatory Affairs (TOPRA), as well as a board member and President, 2005–2006.

Independence: Margareth Jorvid is independent in relation to the company, its senior executives and major shareholders.

Shares: 50,000.



Christina Herder

BOARD MEMBER SINCE 2020

Education: Christina Herder has a Ph.D. from the KTH Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm, and an Executive MBA from Stockholm University.

Experience: She has 25 years of experience in drug development and business development in the pharmaceuticals industry. Her previous assignments include several leading roles in companies such as Swedish Orphan Biovitrum AB (Sobi) and Biovitrum. She is currently employed as EVP Strategic Business Development at Medivir AB (listed on Nasdaq Stockholm). Previously, Christina was the CEO of Modus Therapeutics, a Swedish drug development company. Since 2015, she has been a board member of PCI Biotech Holding ASA (listed on Oslo Axess). She is also a board member of the cell therapy company Idogen AB (listed on Spotlight).

Independence: Christina Herder is independent in relation to the company, its senior executives and major shareholders.

Shares: 50,000.



Magnus Essand

BOARD MEMBER SINCE 2020

Education: Professor of gene therapy and associate professor of immunology at Uppsala University.

Experience: Magnus Essand has been working as a professor of gene therapy at Uppsala University since 2009. He has published 95 scientific articles and been a driving force (first and last author) in 53 of them. On several occasions, he has been awarded prizes for his work, and has received (and continues to receive) major grants for his research. Professor Essand is a co-founder of Elicera AB.

Independence: Magnus Essand is dependent in relation to the company, its senior executives and major shareholders.

Shares: 3,293,000.



Jan Zetterberg

BOARD MEMBER SINCE 2020

Education: Jan Zetterberg earned a law degree in 1975. District court service and legal clerk, 1975–1979.

Experience: Jan Zetterberg has years of experience from various executive positions in AstraZeneca's legal department, including as VP Strategy, Intellectual Property, Assistant General Counsel and Head of Group Branding. He has over 35 years of experience from negotiations, agreements on technology transfers and licenses, product commercialization, patent strategies, business and project sales, due diligence and intellectual property rights. Since 2012, he has run his own consulting firm with a focus on life science companies.

Independence: Jan Zetterberg is independent in relation to the company, its senior executives and major shareholders.

Shares: 50,000.

An additional Board member, a woman with an excellent reputation, will be elected at the next Annual General Meeting.



Jamal El-Mosleh

CEO AND CO-FOUNDER

Education: M.Sc., Industrial Engineering and Management (focus on biotech) from Chalmers University of Technology, and a Master's degree in Innovation and Entrepreneurship from Chalmers School of Entrepreneurship, 2006.

Experience: Jamal El-Mosleh comes most recently from a position as CEO of the First North-listed biotech company Annexin Pharmaceuticals AB (publ), 2017–2019. Prior to that, he was CEO of the Small Cap-listed immuno-oncology company Immunicum AB for nearly ten years, 2007–2017. As the first employee in 2007, he served as a co-founder of the company and was responsible for Immunicum's listing on Nasdaq First North in 2013 as well as for initiating a broad international clinical program. Between 2016 and 2020, he was also a board member of the cancer diagnostics company Elypta AB.

Shares: 2,664,000.



Ingvar Karlsson

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Education: Ingvar Karlsson has a Master's degree in economics from Lund University.

Experience: Ingvar Karlsson (born 1956) has broad experience from qualified positions at several companies. He has been working as an independent consultant since 2014, and is currently part-time CFO of Idogen AB (publ), where he continues to work. At Idogen, he recently carried out share issues and led the work on the switch of the share listing from Spotlight to First North Growth Market.

Before stepping into the role of CFO at Idogen, he was the CFO of Lekolar Group. Prior to that, he was the CFO of Doro AB (listed on Nasdaq Stockholm). His previous assignments included roles as controller at Gambro Group as well as CFO and controller at Perstorp AB.

Shares: 0.



Magnus Essand

CHIEF SCIENCE OFFICER AND CO-FOUNDER

Education: Professor of gene therapy and associate professor of immunology at Uppsala University.

Experience: Magnus Essand has been working as a professor of gene therapy at Uppsala University since 2009; prior to that, he worked for organizations including the US National Cancer Institute (NCI). He has published 95 scientific articles and been a driving force (first and last author) in 53 of them. On several occasions, he has been awarded prizes for his work and has received major research grants from the Swedish Research Council, Horizon 2020, the Swedish Cancer Society, the Swedish Childhood Cancer Fund, the Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation, the Sjöberg Foundation, and more. Currently, he is the sponsor of two clinical trials in immuno-oncology. Professor Essand is a co-founder of Elicera AB.

Shares: 3,293,000.



Di Yu

HEAD OF TRANSITIONAL RESEARCH AND TECHNICAL OPERATIONS, AND CO-FOUNDER

Education: Senior lecturer in cancer immunotherapy at Uppsala University; Ph.D. in Medical Science from Uppsala University, and a B.Sc. in Life Sciences and Biotechnology from Shaanxi Normal University in China.

Experience: Di Yu is a senior lecturer at Uppsala University and conducts research in immunotherapy at the Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology; he is also a co-founder of Elicera AB. He is the co-inventor of Elicera's patents and has been awarded several prizes and grants from organizations including the Sjöberg Foundation, Vinnova and Uppsala University Innovation. He was also awarded the Göran Gustavsson Prize for 2020 by KTH Royal Institute of Technology.

Shares: 3,293,000.

The share

Elicera Therapeutics AB is a public company with a planned listing on Nasdaq First North Growth Market. The company has 35 shareholders. In November 2020, a 20:1 split was carried out, as were a stock dividend issue and new share issue.

Ownership structure

List of the 10 largest shareholders as of December 31, 2020.

YEAR	NUMBER OF SHARES	SHARE OF VOTES AND CAPITAL (%)
Magnus Essand	3,290,000	27.4
Di Yu	3,290,000	27.4
Jamal El-Mosleh	2,664,000	22.1
Cardeon Futuring Finance AB	715,200	5.9
Victory NET foundation	576,800	4.8
John Fällström	375,600	3.0
Arne Grundström	178,800	1.5
Gerard Dal	107,200	0.9
Agneta Edberg	100,000	0.8
Maria Zandi	89,400	0.7
Other	657,000	5.5
Total	12,032,000	100

Share capital

- The share capital will comprise at least SEK 500,000 and at most SEK 2,000,000.
- The number of shares will be a minimum of 12,032,000 and a maximum of 48,000,000.
- The registered share capital totals SEK 505,344.60.
- There is one class of share. Each share confers an equal right to a portion of the company's assets and earnings, and the right to one vote at the Annual General Meeting. One share equals one vote.
- The company's share register is maintained by Euroclear Sweden AB (formerly VPC AB), box 7822, SE-103 97 Stockholm, Sweden.

Development of share capital

YEAR	EVENT	QUOTIENT VALUE	INCREASE IN NUMBER OF SHARES	INCREASE IN SHARE CAPITAL	TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES	TOTAL SHARE CAPITAL
2014	Founding	100	500	50,000.00	500	50,000.00
2019	Split 1:1,000	0.10	500,000	—	500,000	50,000.00
2020	New share issue	0.10	101,600	10,160.00	601,600	60,160.00
2020	Stock dividend issue	0.84	—	445,184.00	601,600	505,344.00
2020	Split 1:20	0.042	11,430,000	—	12,032,000	505,344.00

Board of Directors' report

The Board of Directors and CEO of Elicera Therapeutics AB, Corp. Reg. No. 556966-4955, with registered office in Uppsala, Sweden, hereby present the Annual Report for the fiscal year from January 1 to December 31, 2020.

Unless otherwise stated, all amounts are reported in SEK and information in parentheses pertains to the corresponding period in the preceding year.

General information

Elicera Therapeutics develops cell and gene therapies for immune-based cancer treatments. The company is developing four drug candidates, two of which are in the field of oncolytic viruses and two in the field of CAR T cell treatments, as well as a platform technology called iTANK (Immunotherapies Activated with NAP for Efficient Killing) for further boosting immunity in conjunction with CAR T cell treatments.

Ownership structure

Elicera Therapeutics AB is a public company with that intends to be listed on Nasdaq First North Growth Market. During the year, 32 new shareholders were added. Elicera's largest shareholders are the founders, Magnus Essand (with 27.4% of the shares) and Di Yu (with 27.4% of the shares), and CEO Jamal El-Mosleh (22.1%). For further details, see the page on the Elicera share and the web site.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY'S OPERATION, EARNINGS AND FINANCIAL POSITION

(AMOUNTS IN SEK)	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019	DEC. 31, 2018	DEC. 31, 2017	DEC. 31, 2016
Net sales	—	—	—	—	—
Operating margin, %	—	—	—	—	—
Loss for the period	-2,828,545	-194,250	-3,325	-4,958	-4,823
Balance sheet total	12,589,772	618,101	809,164	812,689	938,247
Return on capital employed, %	-22.4	-30.9	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
Return on equity, %	-27.6	-31.1	-0.4	-0.6	-0.4
Equity/asset ratio, %	81.3	99.4	99.5	99.5	86.7
Profit/loss per share	-0.23	-0.02	—	—	—

Definitions: see Notes

Accounting policies applied:

For 2020, the financial statements were prepared in accordance with K3 and the Swedish Annual Accounts Act. For previous periods, K2 was applied. No effects of the change have been noted.

The number of shares has been restated for previous periods with two reverse splits (1,000:1 and 20:1), and the profit per share is thus comparable.

Key events during the fiscal year:

2020 was a year of change, with decisions to pick up the pace at Elicera by turning the company into a public company and opening it up to external owners.

A broad and capable Board of Directors was recruited, and Jamal El-Mosleh was employed as CEO. In September and October, an initial raising of capital was conducted and some 30 new investors took part in a private placement.

At the end of the year, work continued on preparing for the introduction on the Nasdaq First Growth Market. Agreements have been signed with G&W Fondkommission, which was also appointed financial adviser and future Certified Advisers. The Delphi law firm was engaged as legal adviser. Ingvar Karlsson was recruited as CFO in January.

During the year, Elicera also signed fundamental partnership agreements with Uppsala University in order to regulate

collaborations around ongoing clinical trials with ELC-100 as well as a planned clinical trial with ELC-301. Uppsala University is acting as the sponsor for the ongoing ELC-100 trial and will also act as sponsor for the future ELC-301 trial. The partnership agreement governs Elicera's right to data and products after manufacture for continued future development.

Key events after the end of the fiscal year:

No key events that impact the financial statements occurred after the end of the fiscal year.

Research and development:

Elicera's work on research and development, including planning and conducting clinical trials, has proceeded according to plan. The company has initiated GMP production of CAR T cells ahead of the planned clinical trial with ELC-301 in blood cancer, and has continued preclinical development of ELC-201 and ELC-401.

Financial performance

Operating loss

Operating loss for the period totaled SEK -2,828,545 (-194,250), which is a change of SEK -2,634,295 year-on-year.

Costs increased as a result of the employment of personnel and higher external costs.

Loss for the period

Loss for the period totaled SEK -2,823,127 (-191,063). Earnings per share totaled SEK -0.23 (-0.02).

Liquidity and cash flow

- Cash flow from operating activities totaled SEK -905,251 (-239,159).
- Cash flow from investing activities totaled SEK -8,880 (pos: 216,813).
- Cash flow from financing activities totaled SEK 12,445,082 (216,813).
- Cash flow for the period totaled SEK 11,530,951 (-22,346).
- At the end of the period, the company's cash and cash equivalents totaled SEK 11,564,779 (33,828). In addition, there are financial assets of SEK 483,187 (533,187).

Investments

Elicera's investments include a website for SEK 58,880 (0).

Financial investments decreased by 50,000 (0).

Events after the end of the period

No other key events that impact the financial statements occurred after the end of the period.

Personnel and organization

The average number of employees at December 31 was 1.

Elicera's organization comprises all the competence and experience that is necessary to run the company. Close collaboration has been established with a number of key consultants in patents, preclinical, clinical trials, drug development, regulatory expertise for manufacture and documentation, quality assurance, finance and law.

Remuneration to senior executives

Elicera will pay market-based, competitive salaries. Remuneration to employees consists of salary, bonuses, pensions and in certain cases company cars for employees on the management team. Remuneration to consultants consists of daily or hourly remuneration. Remuneration is reported in Note 8 (Board of Directors) and Note 9 (senior executives). The policy in effect for remuneration is shown in the corporate governance report.

Environmental information

Elicera conducts operations that are not subject to licensing or reporting obligations.

Meetings in 2020

An Extraordinary General Meeting on April 2 resolved on a 1:1,000 split. The number of shares increased from 500 to 500,000.

The Annual General Meeting was held on May 14, 2020 in Uppsala. The Board of Directors was expanded, with Agneta Edberg (new Chairman), Christina Herder, Jan Zetterberg and Margareth Jorvid. Magnus Essand and Di Yu (deputy) were re-elected.

An Extraordinary General Meeting on August 31 approved the proposal for a private placement of 101,600 shares for an expanded owner group. SEK 12,445,082 in proceeds was added to the company.

An Extraordinary General Meeting on November 9 resolved on joining Euroclear, a bonus issue of SEK 445,184, a 20:1 share split and authorization for the Board to decide on one or more occasions on the issue of shares and/or warrants.

2021 Annual General Meeting

The Annual General Meeting (AGM) will be held on April 26, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. CEST.

Shareholders will be notified that the meeting has been called through an announcement in Post- och Inrikes Tidningar and on the company's web site, as well as through an announcement in Svenska Dagbladet, at the earliest six weeks and at the latest four weeks prior to the meeting.

Shareholders wishing to have a matter addressed at the AGM can submit a written request to Elicera Therapeutics AB, Attn: Board of Directors, Box 5243, SE-402 24 Gothenburg, Sweden. The request must be received by the Board at the latest seven weeks prior to the AGM, or enough in advance so that the matter, if required, can be included in the notification to attend.

Proposal for sharing of profits

The Board of Directors and the CEO propose that no dividend (SEK 0.00 per share, same as the previous year) be paid for the fiscal year January 1–December 31, 2020.

Risks and uncertainties

Preclinical and clinical studies

As yet, none of the company's drug candidates have obtained marketing approval in any market, and all the drug candidates are depending on positive outcomes in preclinical and/or clinical studies to obtain marketing approval. Preclinical and clinical studies are associated with a great deal of uncertainty as pertains to aspects of time and cost as well as outcomes and results. This includes risks that ongoing or planned studies will be more expensive or take longer than planned, that they will not be considered sufficiently adequately designed to be carried out, or ultimately that they will not indicate sufficient safety and efficacy for the company to obtain the necessary marketing approval to facilitate commercialization of the company's drug candidates.

Impact of COVID-19 on the company's operation and planned clinical studies

Elicera's partner for the planned Phase I/II clinical study of the company's drug candidate ELC-301 has contracted a manufacturer to produce CAR T cells ahead of the planned clinical study. The contracted manufacturer also produces COVID-19 vaccine and has therefore announced that it has limited capacity for delivering on other assignments, which has led to certain delays in the delivery of CAR T cells to the company. There is a risk that further production delays will arise, which could delay the planned start of the clinical study and in turn would lead to increased costs and a potential delay in marketing approval for ELC-301.

Side effects

There is a risk that those participating in the clinical studies with Elicera's drug candidates or otherwise come into contact with Elicera's drug candidates/future approved drugs will suffer side effects. The consequences of any side effects could ultimately hinder the commercial use of the product, and there is a risk that Elicera could be liable for damages in relation to study participants who suffer side effects. This could impact the company's operation and financial position negatively.

Production of biological drugs

Elicera develops biological drugs under complex manufacturing processes, with a risk that the drug candidates lose viability/survivability after production and cannot be used as intended in clinical studies. This could lead to production and/or studies having to be redone, supplementary studies needing to be carried out, or ultimately that planned or initiated studies are stopped completely, which could entail significant costs and delays or failures in registering one or more of the company's drug candidates.

Dependence on third-party manufacturing capacity

Elicera has no internal manufacturing capacity, nor does it intend to develop such capacity. The company is thus dependent on third parties for manufacture of the oncolytic viruses and CAR T cells that are needed for studies, development and any future sales of the company's drug candidates. If Elicera cannot ensure production capacity in time, on satisfactory terms or in general, or if Elicera's contracted manufacturer cannot maintain a high level of quality in production or meet regulatory requirements, there are risks of personal injury, product shortages, product recalls, increased production costs or delays in clinical studies.

Commercialization and pricing of drugs

Even if one or more of the company's drug candidates obtain the required approval from the authorities to be marketed and sold in Europe or other markets, there is a risk that the company's products will not be commercially successful. Complete or partial failure in commercialization of the company's products would negatively impact the company's continued operation and earning capacity and thereby the company's earnings and financial position.

Future financing and capital requirements

Elicera is a company in the development phase and has not yet launched any products in the market, and has therefore not generated any continual revenue attributable to sales of approved products. Elicera depends on external financing in order to fund its projects. There are risks that the necessary capital cannot be raised as needed, that it cannot be raised on terms that are advantageous to the company, or that such capital raised is not sufficient to fund the operation in accordance with the plan drawn up by the company, which ultimately entails a risk that the company will be compelled to substantially limit its planned activities or to cease operations.

Government permits and registration

In order to market and sell drugs, permits must be obtained and registration must take place with the authorities concerned in the respective markets. In the event the necessary permits and registrations cannot be obtained from the authorities regarding the company's drug candidates, the company may be negatively impacted through the inability to commercialize one or more of the company's drug candidates. In summary, deficiencies in compliance with applicable rules and/or negative decisions by the authorities could lead to future revenue for Elicera being reduced or completely eliminated.

Patents and other intellectual property rights

Elicera's competitiveness depends significantly on its drug candidates having full patent protection. There is a risk that the company's present or future patent applications will not lead to patents being granted, or that the patents granted do not offer sufficient comprehensive protection for Elicera's drug candidates. There is also a risk that the patents will not confer a competitive advantage and that competitors will be able to circumvent patents that have been applied for or granted. As regards third-party patents that the company depends on for its drug candidates, the company may also deliberately or mistakenly violate applicable licensing terms, which could lead to licensing agreements being terminated. Disputes concerning patent rights could entail significant costs and disruptions to the company's operational activities in the event of both

positive and negative outcomes, which could impact the company's operation, earnings and financial position negatively.

Future performance

Elicera Therapeutics develops cell and gene therapies in immuno-oncology. The company is currently conducting projects in various stages of development, but sees an increased focus on clinical trials in its future.

The Board of Directors

The overall tasks of the Board of Directors are its responsibility for the company's organization and the administration of company affairs. In carrying out its tasks, the Board is to take the interests of all its shareholders into account. The Articles of Association state that the Board shall consist of a minimum of three and a maximum of seven members, and at most three deputies. Board members are elected annually at the AGM for the period until the close of the next AGM.

Until the Annual General Meeting on May 14, 2020, the Board consisted of Magnus Essand (Chairman) and Di Yu (deputy). Magnus Essand and Di Yu (deputy) were re-elected as members of the Board. Agneta Edberg (Chairman), Christina Herder, Jan Zetterberg and Margareth Jorvid were elected as new members of the Board.

The Board held four meetings during the year (one meeting the previous year). The Board monitored the results of the research closely during the year, and prepared the adaptation for listing.

Equity

Equity was impacted by the new share issue and earnings during the year. At December 31, equity totaled SEK 10,236,056 (614,101).

The share

Elicera intends to list its share on Nasdaq First North Growth Market in the first half of 2021. The share will be managed by Euroclear.

G&W Fondkommission AB was appointed financial adviser and Certified Adviser ahead of the listing on Nasdaq First North Growth Market.

Loss after tax divided by the average number of shares for the period totaled SEK -0.23 (-0.02) for the reporting period. At December 31, 2020, Elicera had 35 shareholders. The number of shares was 12,032,000.

Proposal for appropriation of the company's profit or loss

	Amounts in SEK
The Board of Directors proposes that available funds:	
retained earnings	12,553,839
loss for the year	-2,823,127
Total	9,730,712
be disposed of as follows:	
carried forward	9,730,712
Total	9,730,712

As regards the earnings and general financial position, see the following income statement and balance sheet with accompanying notes.

Income statement

(AMOUNTS IN SEK)	NOTE	JAN. 1–DEC. 31, 2020	JAN. 1– DEC. 31, 2019
Operating expenses			
Other external expenses	4	-1,842,588	-194,250
Personnel expenses	3	-974,181	—
Depreciation and amortization of tangible and intangible assets		-11,776	—
Total operating costs		-2,828,545	-194,250
Operating loss		-2,828,545	-194,250
Profit from financial items			
Profit from other securities and receivables held as non-current assets	5	5,418	3,187
Loss after financial items		-2,823,127	-191,063
Loss before tax		-2,823,127	-191,063
Tax		—	—
Loss after tax		-2,823,127	-2,823,127
Loss for the year		-2,823,127	-191,063
Other comprehensive income		—	—
Comprehensive income for the year		-2,823,127	-191,063

Balance sheet

(AMOUNTS IN SEK)	NOTE	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019
ASSETS			
Non-current assets			
Intangible assets			
Concessions, patents, licenses, brands and similar rights	7	47,104	—
Total intangible assets		47,104	—
Financial assets			
Other securities held as non-current assets	8	483,187	533,187
Total financial assets		483,187	533,187
Total non-current assets		530,291	533,187
Current assets			
Short-term receivables			
Other receivables		445,665	51,086
Prepaid expenses and accrued income	10	49,036	—
Total short-term receivables		494,701	51,086
Cash and bank balances		11,564,779	33,828
Total current assets		12,059,480	84,918
TOTAL ASSETS		12,589,771	618,101
EQUITY			
Restricted equity			
Share capital		505,344	50,000
Total restricted equity		505,344	50,000
Non-restricted equity			
Share premium reserve		11,989,738	—
Profit or loss carried forward		564,101	755,164
Loss for the year		-2,823,127	-191,063
Total non-restricted equity		9,730,712	564,101
Total equity		10,236,056	614,101
Current liabilities	11		
Accounts payable		1,952,076	—
Tax liabilities		407	—
Other current liabilities		106,657	—
Accrued expenses and prepaid income		294,575	4,000
Total current liabilities		2,353,715	4,000
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		12,589,771	618,101

Condensed statement of changes in equity

(AMOUNTS IN SEK)	SHARE CAPITAL	SHARE PREMIUM RESERVE	RETAINED EARNINGS	LOSS FOR THE YEAR	TOTAL EQUITY
Opening balance at January 1, 2019	50,000	—	768,689	-3,525	805,164
Appropriation of earnings by AGM	—	—	-3,525	3,525	—
Loss for the period	—	—	—	-191,063	-191,063
Closing balance at December 31, 2019	50,000	—	755,164	-191,063	614,101

(AMOUNTS IN SEK)	SHARE CAPITAL	SHARE PREMIUM RESERVE	RETAINED EARNINGS	LOSS FOR THE YEAR	TOTAL EQUITY
Opening balance at January 1, 2020	50,000	—	755,164	-191,063	614,101
Proposed appropriation of earnings to AGM	—	—	-191,063	191,063	—
Stock dividend issue	445,184	-445,184	—	—	—
New share issues	10,160	12,434,922	—	—	12,445,082
Loss for the period	—	—	—	- 2,823,127	- 2,823,127
Closing balance at December 31, 2020	505,344	11,989,738	564,101	-2,823,127	10,236,056

DISCLOSURES ON SHARES

NUMBER OF SHARES

Number/value at beginning of the year	500
Number/value at December 31, 2020	12,032,000

Restated for the split, the opening number of shares was 10,000,000.

Condensed cash flow statement

(AMOUNTS IN SEK)	2020 12 MOS. JAN-DEC	2019 12 MOS. JAN-DEC
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Operating loss before financial items	-2,828,545	-194,250
Reversal of depreciation	11,776	—
Interest received	5,419	3,187
Interest paid	—	—
Cash flow from operating activities	2,811,351	-191,063
Increase/Decrease in prepaid expenses and accrued income	-443,616	-48,096
Increase/Decrease in accounts payable	1,952,076	—
Increase/Decrease in other current liabilities	397,639	—
Cash flow from operating activities	-905,251	-239,159
Investing activities		
Investments in intangible assets	-58,880	—
Change in non-current financial assets	50,000	216,813
Cash flow from investing activities	-8,880	216,813
Financing activities		
New share issue	12,445,082	—
Cash flow from financing activities	12,445,082	—
Cash flow for the period	11,530,951	-22,346
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	33,828	56,174
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	11,564,779	33,828

Notes

Note 1. General information

Elicera Therapeutics is a public company registered in Sweden, with its head office located in Uppsala and an office in Gothenburg (Mässans gata 10, 7th floor). The company's operations are indicated in the Board of Directors' report.

The Annual Report for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2020 was approved by the Board of Directors on March 18, 2021 and will be presented to the Annual General Meeting on April 26, 2021 for adoption.

Note 2. Accounting policies

Summary of significant accounting policies

The main accounting policies applied in the preparation of this Annual Report are set out below. These policies have been consistently applied to all the years presented, unless otherwise stated.

The company's functional currency is the Swedish krona (SEK), which is also the company's reporting currency. This means that the financial statements are presented in SEK. All amounts are presented in SEK unless otherwise stated.

General accounting policies

This Annual Report has been prepared in accordance with the Swedish Annual Accounts Act and Swedish Accounting Standards Board general guidelines 2012:1, Annual Reports and Consolidated Accounts (K3). The switch from K2 to K3 took place during the year with no changes to comparison figures.

Measurement principles, etc.

Assets, provisions and liabilities have been measured at cost unless otherwise stated.

Intangible assets

The cost model is applied in reporting expenditures for the development of research results or other knowledge produced, which means that all expenditures are recognized as costs when they arise.

Development expenditures are recognized as intangible assets when the following criteria are met:

- It is technically feasible to complete the intangible asset so that it will be available for use or sale.
- The intent to complete the intangible asset and use or sell it.
- Conditions exist for the intangible asset to be used or sold.
- It is probable that the intangible assets will generate future economic advantages.
- The required technical, financial and other resources exist and are adequate to complete the development of and to use or sell the intangible asset.
- The expenditures attributable to the intangible asset can be reliably calculated.

The cost of an internally developed intangible asset consists of the directly attributable expenses required for the use of the asset in the manner intended by corporate management. Internally developed intangible assets are depreciated over their estimated useful life. At present there is no capitalization.

There has been no capitalization of patent costs, since the costs pertain to different applications.

Depreciation

Depreciation is on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset. Depreciation is recognized as a cost in profit or loss.

Intangible assets	Years
Internally developed intangible assets	
Acquired intangible assets	
Computer programs	5

Income tax

Recognition of income tax includes current tax and deferred tax. Tax is recognized in profit or loss, except for cases where it pertains to items recognized directly against equity. In such cases, the tax is also recognized in equity.

Deferred tax assets are recognized to the extent it is likely that there is a future taxable surplus that can be used against the temporary differences. The tax rate for 2021 is 20.6%, which will be used for various calculations.

Deferred tax assets pertaining to unutilized tax loss carryforwards at December 31, 2020 totaled SEK 3,025,765 (262,638), which resulted in a deferred tax asset of SEK 623,308 (54,103). Deferred tax has not been recognized on the tax loss since management is not yet able to assess the point in time at which the loss can be utilized against future surplus. The company therefore does not have any tax expenses, nor does it have any measurement of deferred tax.

Remuneration to employees

Remuneration to employees is in the form of salaries paid and vacation earned, with a provision for social security expenses. Pension is paid under the ITP1 program and is therefore defined-contribution.

Remuneration to various persons in consultant roles is paid in accordance with the consultant agreement, under which the consultant bears responsibility for salary, pension and social security expenses as well as their own work equipment.

Note 3. Employees and personnel expenses

AVERAGE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2020	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2019
Men	1	—
Women	—	—
Total	1	—

SALARIES, OTHER REMUNERATION AND SOCIAL SECURITY EXPENSES, INCLUDING PENSION COSTS	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2020	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2019
Salaries and remuneration:	735,085	—
Social security contributions	234,272	—
(Of which pension costs) ¹	1,680	—

1) Of the company's pension costs, 1,680 pertain to the company's CEO and Board of Directors.

PERSONNEL	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019
Average number of employees	1	—
Total	1	—

All employees are senior executives, so there is no reporting of personnel since it is the same value.

REMUNERATION TO SENIOR EXECUTIVES

	JAN.1-DEC. 31, 2020			
	FEES	OTHER REMUNERATION	PENSION	TOTAL
Chairman of the Board	40,000	—	—	40,000
The Board of Directors	90,000	—	—	90,000
Total	130,000			130,000

Note 4. Auditor fees and remuneration of costs

	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2020	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2019
RSM Göteborg AB		
Audit engagement	24,000	—
Other auditors	—	—

Audit engagement refers to the statutory audit of the annual accounts and accounting records as well as the Board of Directors' and Chief Executive Officer's management of the company, as well as audits and other reviews conducted by agreement or under contract.

This includes other duties incumbent on the auditors of the company as well as advice and other assistance occasioned by observations made in the course of such examinations or the carrying-out of such other duties.

Note 5. Profit from other securities and receivables held as non-current assets

	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2020	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2019
Capital gains	5,418	3,187
Total	5,418	3,187

Note 6. Tax on net profit/loss for the year

	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2020	JAN. 1-DEC. 31, 2019
Loss	-2,823,127	-191,063
Current tax cost	604,149	40,887
Deferred tax	—	—
Tax effect of non-deductible expenses	-34,944	-29,312
Tax effect of costs of raising capital	—	—
Non-valued loss carryforward (20.6%)	—	—
Unutilized loss carryforwards	-569,205	-11,575
Total	-3,025,765	-202,638

Note 7. Concessions, patents, licenses, brands and similar rights

	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019
Accumulated cost		
-Other investments	58,880	—
At year-end	58,880	—
Accumulated depreciation		
-Depreciation during the year	-11,776	—
At year-end	-11,776	—
Carrying amount at year-end	47,104	—

Note 8. Other securities held as non-current assets

	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019
Accumulated cost:		
-At beginning of year	533,187	750,000
-Deducted assets	-50,000	-216,813
Carrying amount at year-end	483,187	533,187

Note 9. Short-term receivables

	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019
Receivables falling due within one year of the balance sheet date	445,665	51,086

Note 10. Prepaid expenses and accrued income

	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019
Prepaid expenses	49,037	—
Total	49,037	—

Note 11. Current liabilities

	DEC. 31, 2020	DEC. 31, 2019
Receivables falling due within one year of the balance sheet date:	2,353,715	4,000

Note 12. Related-party transactions

During the year, Elicera conducted transactions with Zedur AB (the company of Board member Jan Zetterberg).

Name	Type of Service	Amount
Zedur AB	Consultancy fees	SEK 5,625

Note 14. Equity

One share in Elicera has a quota value of SEK 0.042.

The number of shares at the end of the fiscal year was SEK 12,032,000 (500) and share capital was SEK 505,344 (50,000).

Note 14. Significant events after the end of the fiscal year

No other key events that impact the financial statements occurred after the end of the period.

Note 15. Definitions of key performance indicators

Operating margin:

Operating profit / Net sales.

Balance sheet total:

Total assets.

Return on capital employed:

(Operating profit + financial income) / capital employed.

Financial income:

Items in net financial items that are attributable to assets (included in capital employed).

Capital employed:

Total assets - interest-free liabilities.

Interest-free liabilities:

Liabilities that do not bear interest. Pension liabilities are considered to bear interest.

Return on equity:

Profit/loss after financial items / Adjusted equity.

Equity/asset ratio:

(Total equity + (100% - the current corporate tax rate of untaxed reserves)) / Total assets.

Earnings per share:

Profit after tax divided by the average number of shares for the period.

Signatures

Gothenburg, March 18, 2021

Agneta Edberg
Chairman of the Board

Christina Herder
Board member

Margareth Jorvid
Board member

Jamal El-Mosleh
CEO

Jan Zetterberg
Board member

Magnus Essand
Board member

Our audit report was submitted on March 18, 2021
RSM Göteborg KB

Kristofer Håkansson
Authorized Public Accountant

Auditor's report

To the Annual General Meeting of shareholders in Elicera Therapeutics AB (publ), corporate registration number 556966-4955.

Statement on the Annual Report

Opinions

We have audited the annual accounts of Elicera Therapeutics AB for 2020. The annual accounts of the company are included on pages 27–37 in this document.

In our opinion, the annual accounts have been prepared in accordance with the Annual Accounts Act and present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Elicera Therapeutics AB as of 31 December 2020 and its financial performance and cash flow for the year then ended in accordance with the Annual Accounts Act. The Board of Directors' report is consistent with the other parts of the annual accounts.

We therefore recommend that the general meeting of shareholders adopts the income statement and balance sheet.

Basis for opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISA) and generally accepted auditing standards in Sweden. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities section. We are independent of Elicera Therapeutics AB in accordance with professional ethics for accountants in Sweden and have otherwise fulfilled our ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions.

Other information

The Annual Report for 2019 was not audited, and the comparison figures in the Annual Report for 2019 have therefore not been audited.

Responsibilities of the Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer

The Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer are responsible for the preparation of the annual accounts and that they give a fair presentation in accordance with the Annual Accounts Act. The Board of Directors and Managing Director are also responsible for such internal control as they determine is necessary to enable the preparation of annual accounts that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the annual accounts, the Board of Directors and Managing Director are responsible for the assessment of the company's ability to continue as a going concern. They disclose, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting. The going concern basis of accounting is however not applied if the Board

of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer intend to liquidate the company, to cease operations, or have no realistic alternative but to do so.

Auditor's responsibilities

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the annual accounts as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs and generally accepted auditing standards in Sweden will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these annual accounts.

As part of an audit in accordance with ISAs, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the annual accounts, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- obtain an understanding of the company's internal control relevant to our audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control.
- evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the Board of Directors and the Managing Director.
- conclude on the appropriateness of the Board of Directors' and the Chief Executive Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in preparing the annual accounts. We also draw a conclusion, based on the audit evidence obtained, as to whether any material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the company's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report

to the related disclosures in the annual accounts or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion about the annual accounts. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause a company to cease to continue as a going concern.

- evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the annual accounts, including the disclosures, and whether the annual accounts represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We must inform the Board of Directors of, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit. We must also inform of significant audit findings during our audit, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identified.

Report on other legal and regulatory requirements

Opinions

In addition to our audit of the annual accounts, we have also audited the administration of the Board of Directors and Managing Director of Elicera Therapeutics AB for 2020 and of the proposed appropriation of the company's profit or loss.

We recommend to the general meeting of shareholders that the profit be appropriated in accordance with the proposal in the Board of Directors' report and that the members of the Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer be discharged from liability for the financial year.

Basis for opinions

We conducted the audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in Sweden. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibility section. We are independent of Elicera Therapeutics AB in accordance with professional ethics for accountants in Sweden and have otherwise fulfilled our ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions.

Responsibilities of the Board of Directors and the Chief Executive Officer

The Board of Directors is responsible for the proposal for appropriations of the company's profit or loss. At the proposal of a dividend, this includes an assessment of whether the dividend is justifiable considering the requirements which the company's type of operations, size and risks place on the size of the company's equity, consolidation requirements, liquidity and position in general.

The Board of Directors is responsible for the company's organization and the administration of the company's affairs. This includes, among other things, continuous assessment of the company's financial situation and ensuring that the company's organization is designed so that the accounting, management of assets and the company's financial affairs otherwise are controlled in a reassuring manner. The Managing Director shall manage the ongoing administration according to the Board of Directors' guidelines and instructions and among other matters take measures that are necessary to fulfill the

company's accounting in accordance with law and handle the management of assets in a reassuring manner.

Auditor's responsibilities

Our objective concerning the audit of the administration, and thereby our opinion about discharge from liability, is to obtain audit evidence to assess with a reasonable degree of assurance whether any member of the Board of Directors or the Chief Executive Officer in any material respect:

- has undertaken any action or been guilty of any omission which can give rise to liability to the company, or
- in any other way has acted in contravention of the Swedish Companies Act, the Annual Accounts Act or the Articles of Association.

Our objective concerning the audit of the proposed appropriations of the company's profit or loss, and thereby our opinion about this, is to assess with reasonable degree of assurance whether the proposal is in accordance with the Companies Act.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in Sweden will always detect actions or omissions that can give rise to liability to the company, or that the proposed appropriations of the company's profit or loss are not in accordance with the Companies Act.

As part of an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards in Sweden, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. The examination of the administration and the proposed appropriations of the company's profit or loss is based primarily on the audit of the accounts. Additional audit procedures performed are based on our professional judgement with a starting point in risk and materiality. This means that we focus the examination on such actions, areas and relationships that are material for the operations and where deviations and violations would have particular importance for the company's circumstances. We examine and test decisions undertaken, support for decisions, actions taken and other circumstances that are relevant to our opinion concerning discharge from liability. As a basis for our opinion on the Board of Directors' proposed appropriations of the company's profit or loss we examined whether the proposal is in accordance with the Companies Act.

Gothenburg, March 18, 2021

RSM Göteborg AB

Kristofer Håkansson

Authorized Public Accountant

Forthcoming informational reports

Interim Report January–March 2021..... April 14, 2021
Interim Report January–June 2021August 19, 2021
Interim Report January–September 2021.....November 17, 2021
Year-end Report 2021 February 16, 2022

If you have questions, please contact:

Jamal El-Mosleh, CEO

Tel: +46 (0) 703 319 051

E-mail: jamal.elmosleh@elicera.com

Address

Elicera Therapeutics AB

World Trade Center Gothenburg

Mässans gata 10, Fl. 7

SE-412 51 Gothenburg, Sweden

www.elicera.com





elicera
THERAPEUTICS

www.elicera.se