



CPCoE Rating Scale

**Relevance**

**/8**

Is the research relevant to the Funding Opportunity?

COMMENTS:

---

**Innovation (Adapted from [NIH](#))**

**/8**

Does the research challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice by utilizing novel concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions?

Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad sense?

Is a refinement, improvement, or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions proposed?

COMMENTS:

---

**Background and Rationale**

**/4**

Is the rationale of the project idea sound?

Is the project rationale based on a logical integration of concepts?

COMMENTS:

---

**Objectives**

**/5**

Are the overall goals and objectives of the project well-defined?

Do the goals state the purpose of the project and what it is ultimately expected to achieve?

Are the objectives clearly defining the proposed lines of inquiry and/or activities required to meet the goal?

COMMENTS:

---

**Methodology**

**/25**

Is the research methodology clear, practical, and logical?

Are the proposed project outputs (i.e., the anticipated results of the project) clearly described, aligned to the objectives, and realistic?

COMMENTS:



---

**Sex & Gender-Based Analysis Plus (SGBA+)**

**/6**

To what extent has SGBA+ been considered?

Is the approach to SGBA+ appropriate for the overall research project?

COMMENTS:

---

**Research Team**

**/6**

Do the members of the research team involved have the necessary expertise to conduct the research?

Is the level of engagement (e.g., time and other commitments) of each member of the research team appropriate for the roles and responsibilities described?

Is the environment (academic institution, research center, and/or other organization) appropriate to enable the conduct and success of the project?

COMMENTS:

---

**Veteran Engagement**

**/10**

To what extent does the research incorporate meaningful and active collaboration with Veterans and/or their family members?

To what extent was the uniqueness of Veterans, their families, and their military culture considered?

COMMENTS:

---

**Feasibility**

**/10**

Are the research objectives, timeline, and budget appropriate?

Are there any potential threats to research that have been identified? If so, is it clearly identified in the application how these will be prevented/mitigated?

COMMENTS:

---

**Knowledge Mobilization**

**/8**

Does the proposal identify key Knowledge Users, clear KM goals, and specific KM strategies and products that will be created to promote dissemination of the research findings?

Is the approach to KM appropriate for the overall research project and budget?

Does the proposal identify how Veteran/family Partners, or members of other Knowledge User groups, will be involved in KM planning and/or products?

COMMENTS:

---



**Likely Overall Impact**

**/10**

To what extent will the research improve the well-being of Veterans, and their families, living with chronic pain?

Are the anticipated project contributions likely to advance basic health-related knowledge, health care, health systems, or health outcomes for Canadian Veterans, and their families, living with chronic pain?

COMMENTS:

---

**TOTAL SCORE**

**/100**

SUMMARY/OVERALL COMMENTS:

