

FY23 Central MS CoC New Project Scoring

Reviewer: _____

Program: _____

Amount Requested: _____

Total Score: _____



New Project Quality Threshold Review (Part 1)				
Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Yes/No	Notes
Project Quality Threshold Criteria	Are all proposed program participants eligible for the program component type selected	Project application		
	The information provided in the project application and proposed activities are eligible and consist with CoC Program regulations (24 CFR part 578)	Project application		
	Each program narrative is fully responsive to the question being asked and it meets all the criteria for that question as required by this NOFO (and the detailed instructions)	Project application		
	The data provided in various parts of the project application are consistent	Project application		
	All required attachments correspond to the list of attachments in e-snaps that must contain accurate and complete information that are dated between May 1, 2023 and September 1, 2023	Project Application		
<p>If project does not score "yes" in ALL of the above criteria- the project application does not meet minimum CoC and HUD threshold for funding and is not eligible for inclusion in the Central MS CoC 2023 application to HUD.</p>				
New Project Quality Threshold Review (Part 2)				
Rating Factor for New Project Type	Points Available	Criteria	Number of Points	Notes
Permanent Housing: Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing Expansion				

<p>New PH project MUST receive at least 3 out of 4 point available for this project type and must receive the point under the third criteria.</p> <p>Projects that do not receive at least 3 points and the point under the third criteria will be rejected.</p>	1	The type of housing proposed including the number of units, will fit to needs of the program participants		
	1	The type of supportive services that will be offered will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain PH		
	1	Project has a specific plan to ensuring participants will be individually assessed to obtain the benefits of MS health, social and employment programs, which they are eligible for		
	1	Participants are assisted to obtain and remain in PH in a manner that fits their needs		
Joint TH and PH-RRH* (first time project renewal project only)				
<p>New Joint TH and RRH-PH applications must receive at least 4 out of 6 points</p>	1	The type of housing proposed including the number of units, will fit the needs of the program participants		
	1	Project will		

		provide enough RRH that at any given time a participant may move from TH to PH (see NOFO for example of evidence pg. 56)		
	1	The type of supportive services that will be offered will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain PH		
	1	Project has a specific plan to ensuring participants will be individual assessed to obtain the benefits of MS health, social and employment programs, which they are eligible for		
	1	Participants are assisted to obtain and remain in PH in a manner that fits their needs		
	1	Projects adheres to a housing first model		
SSO-Coordinated Entry				
New SSO-Coordinated Entry project applications	1	The CE system is easily available/ reachable for all persons		

<p>must receive at least 3 out of the 5 points available and must receive the point under the fifth criteria for this project type.</p> <p>Projects that do not receive at least 3 of the 5 points available and the point under the fifth criteria will be rejected</p>		within who are seeking information regarding homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's		
	1	There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers within the CoC's geographic area.		
	1	There is a standardized assessment process Ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.		
	1	The proposed project has a specific plan to coordinate and integrate with other mainstream health, social services, and employment programs and ensure that		

		program participants are assisted to obtain benefits from the mainstream programs for which they may be eligible (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education)		
	1			
Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Points	Score
Agency Past Performance	1. The proposal demonstrates successful experience working with the eligible target population(s)	project application	5 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	2. The proposal demonstrates successful experience working with HUD funded projects including: leasing units, administering rental assistance, providing supportive services/case management, and utilizing HMIS, as applicable to the proposed project	project application	10 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	3. The agency has past experience operating similar types of programs and services, working with the subpopulation, and achieving 80% participants maintain PH housing for at least 12 months or from exiting RRH or TH, program provides evidence participants achieve increased income	project application, agency CoC program portfolio	10 pts: yes 0 pts: no If agency does not have CoC funds award	
	4. Linkages and collaborations	project	2 pts: yes	

	with other resources and providers are leveraged and discussed (evidenced by signed MOUs, contracts)	application	0 pts: no	
	5. Agency has given back a project/funds/subsidies to serve homeless households to DND without a budget management plan, in the last 5 years	DND monitoring and portfolio	5 pts: no 0 pts: yes	
Program Design	6. The project will practice a Housing First/Low Barriers model	project application	10 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	7. The proposal describes a management plan and reasonable plan for effective and timely start up of the proposed activities	project application	5 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	7a. If RRH expansion, PSH or Joint TH-RRH* the proposal describes how the sponsor will manage housing search challenges and quick identification and lease up of physical units for clients. Proposal references existing relationships with property owners, managers, existing units leased by sponsor, PBVs, etc.	project application	5 pts: yes 0 pt: no	
	7b. Does the PH project have specific housing units or properties identified for participants (i.e. proposing project/sponsor based model using with units already identified)?	project application	10 pts: yes 5 pts: no, but proposal describes detailed viable unit acquisition strategy	

			0 pts: no strategy	
	8. The proposed staffing level is adequate to support the project (i.e. for RRH, 1 FTE to max. 30 households; for CH 1 FTE for 15 - 20 households)	project application	2 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	9. The proposal includes performance measurements related to housing stability: a) The proposal describes how participants will be assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing for at least 12 months (if PSH program) b) The proposal describes how participants will be assisted to obtain permanent housing upon exiting a RRH program (RRH expansion program or Joint TH-RRH*)	project application	4 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	10. The proposal includes performance measurements related to how participants will be assisted to increase both their employment, access to mainstream resources and/or non-employment income and maximize their ability to live independently	project application	4 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
Subpopulations proposed to serve	11a. The proposal describes a viable plan for conducting outreach to identify and enroll chronically homeless/DedicatedPLUS program participants (for PSH and CE-SSO proposals)	project application	3 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	11b. The proposal describes a viable plan for conducting			

	outreach to identify and enroll homeless households living in on the street or in emergency shelter (for RRH expansion and TH-RRH Joint* and CE-SSO)			
	12. PH projects to serve families ONLY (individual projects add 5 pts): does proposal describe a detailed relationship and collaboration (past or future) with McKinney-Vento education providers/education liaisons		5 pts.-yes	
	13. PH projects to serve families ONLY (individual projects add 5 pts): does proposal describe how the program will partner with local education agencies and how they find / inform / connect homeless families to benefits they qualify for		5 pts.-yes	
	14. Other sub-populations/priorities: *Veterans * Chronically homeless *Families * Youth *DV	project application	1 pt for each sub-population	
Fiscal Planning and Agency Performance	15. The project shows a diverse mix of funding or primary reliance on CoC Program (supportive services, leasing, rental assistance etc.)	project application	10 pts: mix of funding 5 pts: reliance of CoC funds	

	16. The project demonstrates cost effectiveness; use industry standards for matrix= families \$4,000 per year, CH program 1 csmgr per 17	project application	3 pts: Within range 0 pts: Not in	
--	--	---------------------	--	--

	clients, RRH 1 csmgr per 40 - 50 clients per year		range	
	17. Funds requested are reasonable in relationship to stated goals and objectives (review if costs and direct assistance seem too high or too low to achieve proposed outcomes)	project application	4 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	18. Sponsor/applicant provides match commitments totaling 25% of the HUD funding requested (minus leasing dollars) and demonstrates effective use of the match resources	project application	3 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	19. Prioritizes households with the longest time homeless and highest barriers to housing	project application	2 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	20. Agency audit A-133 or supplement external audit has no major findings/"low risk"	project application, agency CoC program portfolio	2 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
CoC Needs/Gaps Analysis	21. The proposed project fills a need or gap in the existing homeless assistance system and is not duplicative of other mainstream resources	project application	3 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
	22. The proposed project has made a convincing rationale, backed by data and/or a CoC needs analysis, that the project will address identified system gaps, thereby furthering HUD and CoC goals of ending homelessness for the target population to be served	project application	3 pts: yes 0 pts: no	
The reviewer may assign partial points to each question if proposal semi/partially addressed question/scoring criteria. The maximum points total available is 120.				