Central Mississippi Continuum of Care FY23 Renewal Project Scoring Tool

Project Sponsor:

Project Name:

First Reviewer Name: _____ First Reviewer Score: _____

Second Reviewer Name: _____ Second Reviewer Score: _____

Third Reviewer Name: _____ Third Reviewer Score: _____

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Pass/Fail	Notes
Project Quality Threshold Criteria; HUD will review information in eLOCCS, APRs, and information provided from the local HUD CPD field office; including monitoring reports and audit reports, and performance standards on prior grants, and will assess projects using the following criteria on a pass/fail basis	Applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application	Project application		
	Applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met	Project application		
	Applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success	Project application		
	Applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site	Project application		
If project does not have a "pass"" in ALL of the above criteria, the project application does not meet minimum CoC and HUD threshold for funding and is not eligible for inclusion in the Central Mississippi CoC 2023 application to HUD.				

Consensus (Pass/Fail): _____

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Point Scale	Weight	Score
Past Performance and Performance Measurements	1. Exits from RRH and Retention in PSH	HMIS, APR, SPM		43%	
	1.a. **RRH**: Exit RRH to PH (benchmark 80%)	HMIS, APR, SPM	30 pts: 100% - 80% 15 pts: 79% - 50% 5 pts: 49% - 25% 0 pts: below 24%		
	1.b. **PSH**: Stayed in PH for over 12 months (benchmark 80%)	HMIS, APR, SPM	30 pts: 100% - 80% 15 pts: 79% - 50% 5 pts: 49% - 25% 0 pts: below 24%		
	2. Jobs and income growth through **employment** by 20%	HMIS, APR, SPM	5.5 pts: 100-75% 3 pts: 74-55% 1.5 pts: 54-25% 0 pts: 24% - 0%		
	3. Income growth through other **(non-employment) income** by 20%	HMIS, APR, SPM	4.5 pts: 100-75% 3 pts: 74-55% 1.5 pts: 54-25% 0 pts: 24% - 0%		
	4. Program has low-threshold eligibility criteria and ensures it is not screening out for CORI issues (only screens for CORI for CM informational purposes)	Desk review	2 pts. if not screening out		
	5. Services emphasis engagement and problem solving over punitive actions that lead to terminations	Desk review	2 pts. if no terminations for punitive actions		

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Point Scale	Weight	Score
HMIS data quality	6. Required project descriptor HMIS elements accurately completed	HMIS	6 pts: yes 0 pts: no	16%	
	7. Below 10% in null or missing values	HMIS	5 pts: 10 - 0% 0 pts: above 11%		
	8. Below 10% in refused or unknown values	HMIS	5 pts: 10 - 0% 0 pts: above 11%		
	9. Does agency have any A-133/3rd party audit outstanding findings	CoC monitoring report/ Agency external audit	3 pts: no outstanding findings 0 pts: any findings		

	10. Invoicing- submit invoices- on time, with all back up materials, and for eligible activities	CoC monitoring report/ Agency external audit	5 pts: invoices always submitted on time, complete with no errors 2 pts: usually on time, complete with few errors 1 pt: if submit invoices seldom on time, incomplete and have errors		
Project financial performance based on CoC/DND monitoring and invoicing	11. Is project efficient with funds- do calculation of cost per person served in each project (include HUD funds and match total and compare standard practice: CH 1 FTE for 15-20 households, families $4,000 per year, RRH 1 FTE to 20-30 clients per yr)	APR, Project Application Budget	3 pts: if standard is met and funding is equal to or less than per person/family ratio 0 pts: if not met and more funds per person/family	16%	
	12. Required match based on project budget $_____ Was the match reported at end of operating year greater than required match?	Application and APR	3 pts: yes if greater than required match 0 pts: no- match is equal or below requirement		
	13. Project returns unobligated funds in FY19 and/or FY20	eLoccs/ DND budget tracking	1 pts: 0 - 10% return 0 pts: 11 - 20%		
Utilization rates	14. Project utilization rate from current project operating year APR		2 pts: 85 -over 100% 1 pt: 84 - 75% 0 pts: under 74%	2%	

Criteria Topic	Scored Criteria	Criteria Source	Point Scale	Weight	Score
Alignment with HUD Policy Priorities, Central MS CoC policy priorities	15. Serving sub-populations in line with HUD and Central MS CoC priorities: *Serving chronically homeless households, *Serving homeless unaccompanied youth; *Serving veterans; *Serving people fleeing domestic violence *Serving families with children	Project Application	Up to 10 pts: 2 pts for each sub-population served		
	16. Project practices a Housing First model/low barrier program	Project Application and cross reference with desk review	4 pts: yes 0 pts: no		
	17. Does project serve participants who have the following vulnerabilities and severity of needs that may prevent them to enter housing due to the following barriers: *Vulnerability to victimization (history of DV); * Criminal histories *Current or past substance abuse *Very little or no income at entry * Chronic homelessness *Only project of its kind in the CoC, serving a special homeless population/sub-population	APR	Up to 6 pts: 1 pts for each sub-population served	23%	
	18. Prioritizes households with the longest time homeless and highest barriers to housing, through the CoCs CE system, when applicable	Pathways, application	3 pts: yes 0 pts: no		
			Total Available 100	TOTAL	

*= system performance measurements