CONTRIBUTION TO THE FACTI PANEL – RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary:
The initiative for Financial Accountability, Transparency and Integrity Panel was announced by the Presidents of ECOSOC & General Assembly during a joint briefing held on 28 January 2020. On that occasion, they announced the establishment of a high-level panel on international financial accountability, transparency and integrity (FACTI), a joint initiative which aims to enhance ongoing efforts to achieve the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable development.

Following a first contribution on Sherpa’s insights on the matter of illicit financial flows, Sherpa aims at providing to the Panel some recommendations to tackle this phenomenon.

SHERPA is a non-profit organisation based in Paris and created in 2001 in order “to protect and defend victims of economic crimes”. Our vision is to build a world where law is in service of a more mindful globalization. Being at forefront of the fight against illicit financial flows for twenty years led to significant advances to foster the implementation of the 2003 United Convention against Corruption, notably on ill-gotten gains and the return of proceeds of corruption. Corruption and illicit financial flows in general are indeed an indisputable threat to countries all over the world; it weakens democratic institutions, favours government instability and undermines the legitimate trust between civil society and their leaders.

We welcome with great enthusiasm the launch of the Financial Accountability, Transparency and Integrity Panel. This joint initiative aiming to enhance ongoing efforts to achieve the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable development is a suitable forum to put on the international agenda the fight against illicit financial flows and the urgent need for an efficient return of their proceeds.

We understand from the Terms of Reference that the Panel is expected to review challenges and trends related to illicit financial flows in an interim report to be published in July 2020, and offer new and creative solutions in a final report in January 2021 to make the systems for financial accountability, transparency and integrity more robust, effective and universal.

Based on Sherpa’s experience, the objective of this second note is to provide you some recommendations whose purpose is to enhance an improvement of the fight against illicit financial flows. As described below, the matter of illicit financial flows requires a holistic approach that endorses corruption, embezzlement, money laundering and tax and secrecy havens.

1. Corruption and embezzlement

Sherpa has been at the forefront of the global fight against corruption through strategic litigation and advocacy campaigns. In order to overcome several legal deadlocks, the following recommendations can be made:

- A comprehensive and inclusive implementation review and monitoring of the UNCAC: The Implementation Review Mechanism of the UNCAC is ensured by a subsidiary body of the Conference of State Parties. The review of State Parties legislation should give up the current chapter-by-chapter analysis and adopt a cross-cutting perspective of anti-corruption domestic legal regime. Moreover, civil society organisations should participate as observers or contributors in the meetings of UNCAC bodies, which would be more consistent with the
inherent ideals of transparency and multi-stakeholder collaboration of the fight against corruption.

- We recommend the development and extension of preventive obligations against corruption imposed to companies under national law. The mechanism is inspired by anti-money laundering legislation (AML). Some leading national law, such as French loi Sapin II, makes the establishment of an anticorruption compliance policy compulsory to companies. However, the under-financing and under-staffing of supervising authorities impede in-depth analysis on the anticorruption compliance process of companies. Its sanctions must also have a stronger deterrent effect, which they lack until now. In addition, banks and financial institutions involved in the financing of activities or markets tainted by corruption must be held accountable. Bank money should not be used to finance criminal activities.

- The need to reinforce cooperation with AML stakeholders\(^1\): AML benefits from strong and committed international stakeholders with leading institutions such as the Financial Action Task Force (FATF). The AML community has developed a wide set of standards and preventive measures and tools to suppress money-laundering such as public ultimate beneficial ownership, self-reporting or permanent review of the business relationships. Anticorruption experts are not always fully aware of them while they are of great help to detect, track, seize and return corruption and its proceeds. By adopting a holistic approach of illicit financial flows, the AML standards should be transposed to anti-corruption legislation. We therefore recommend an Addendum to the UNCAC expressly providing for the application of FATF measures as anticorruption tools\(^2\).

- Corruption is still hard to evidence: smoking guns, i.e. decisive evidence of corruption agreement, are hard to bring to court since corruption is by nature concealed from public scrutiny. The extension of the reversal of burden of proof on the origin of proceeds of corruption could facilitate its prosecution. It would be up to politically exposed persons with important assets to duly justify their origin.

- The role of financial intermediaries: so far, the suppression of corruption mainly targets bribers and bribed officials while leaving unpunished the detrimental behaviour of so-called gatekeepers that enable corruption scheme. The in-betweens shall become accountable by creating a distinct criminal offence or by a more frequent recourse to complicity (lawyer, accountants, auditors, tax advisors).

- The lack of independence of prosecuting authorities may block prosecution of corruption allegations. To overcome it, civil society organization working on IFF should file complaints with liberal admissibility conditions for their complaint.

- IFF scandals are revealed by journalists, whistleblowers and HR defenders, sometimes at the expense of their lives even in Europe (ex: Maltese journalist Daphné). We need an international minimum standard of protection for HR defenders and journalists.

---


2 We therefore recommend an Addendum to Article 14.4 to the UNCAC
“In establishing a domestic regulatory and supervisory regime under the terms of this article, and without prejudice to any other article of this Convention, States Parties are called upon to implement into their domestic legislation the relevant initiatives of regional, interregional and multilateral organizations against money-laundering, first and foremost the Financial Action Task Force recommendations.
2. The return of proceeds of corruption

The return of assets is one of the core principles of the UNCAC pursuant to its Article 51. Yet, the legal regime for restitution is still unclear. The return of proceeds of corruption is long and faces several obstacles (diplomatic immunity, identification of the victims of corruption, choice of the institution for proposing projects to the benefit of defrauded population funded with the proceeds of corruption and monitoring). The issue becomes urgent as national courts start to render their first decisions on conviction and confiscation of ill-gotten gains. Our recommendations are based on the core principles of efficiency, accountability, transparency and inclusion of civil society. The recommendations below are complemented by a specific document drafted on behalf of Sherpa and Transparency International France.

- Civil society organisations must be recognized more easily as admissible to file complaint or claim for the return of proceeds of corruption before civil or criminal courts.
- We call for a conceptual work on the notions of proceeds of corruption and victims of corruption. The former must consider the full range, including private illicit profits and companies' monetary and competitive value benefits obtained as a result of bribing public agents while the latter must receive an international and domestic recognition and definition. We recommend studying the different facets of the issue of compensation of damages for victims of corruption as well as their identification.
- Immunity of foreign State representative before criminal courts precludes criminal authorities to prosecution. We recommend measures to exclude the benefit of diplomatic immunity in corruption cases to avoid the misuse of this instrument of State sovereignty for personal ends.
- A clear legal framework for asset recovery must be established to guarantee the return of proceeds of corruption in reasonably short timeframes: strong and practical recommendations must be thinking and created and including the civil society especially from the spoiled countries.
- Transparency must be key in the return of assets. Banks must be scrutinized during the receipt and custody of proceeds of corruption by civil society organizations and States.
- If the corrupted officials are still ruling, claim for compensation of damages by States should be inadmissible to avoid return of funds to corrupted public agents, as exemplified by one of Sherpa’s strategic case regarding Uzbekistan.

3. Money laundering

The fight against illicit financial flows shall not be limited to corruption. It also includes money laundering, defined as the illegal process of concealing the origins of money obtained illegally by passing it through a complex sequence of banking transfers or commercial transactions to make it legal. The amount of money laundered globally in one year is 2–5% of global GDP, or $800 billion – $2 trillion in current US dollar – according to the UNODC³.

- AML benefits from a strong legal regime composed of FATF recommendations, EU directives and domestic legislation which did not impede large money-laundering scandals within the European Union, yet the most pro-active area on those matters. They underline the need to ensure a more stringent review by supervising authorities of their implementation by banks and financial actors. Broader investigative powers and adequate financial and human resources to conduct full review must be granted to the monitoring and supervising public authorities.
- Money laundering consists in concealing the origin of illicit funds to turn them legal. Thanks to complex corporate structures, the beneficial ownership of shell companies or trusts registered

in offshore jurisdictions is hidden. **A global beneficial ownership register of companies, trusts and any corporate vehicles should be established** with sanctions on companies that fail to provide adequate information on their ownership.

- The need to extend the application *ratione personae* of AML obligations to financial intermediaries (law firms, audit firms, accountants, tax advisors). The recent Luanda Leaks highlighted how leading audit firms helped Isabel dos Santos to launder the proceeds of embezzlement with investments in Europe⁴. It also shed some light upon **how audit firms, known as the Big Four, are largely under-regulated** compared to other financial services providers (banks). The regulation of those financial intermediaries must be strengthened to reach the level of banks.

### 4. Tax evasion and tax, banking and corporate havens

Despite the very opaque nature of tax evasion and avoidance, the Tax Justice Network estimated the global loss to governments from profit shifting by multinational companies to $500bn per year in 2017⁵. Those practices are detrimental to the full realisation of human rights as recognised by the UN Economic and Social Committee⁶. They are possible thanks to complicit jurisdictions providing utterly low tax rate and the use of concealment strategies thanks to banking and corporate secrecy. In the frame of the *Plateforme Paradis fiscaux et judiciaires* gathering 19 civil society organisations, 16 proposals were elaborated in 2017.

- The current scarcity of tax information calls for a **public country-by-country reporting of tax contribution by companies** with open access to corporate beneficial ownership registers, their turnover, profit, number of employees and taxes paid to governments.
- There must be **open access to the public beneficial ownership registers of companies, trusts and any corporate vehicles** for a better tracking of shell companies and other corporate structures registered in offshore jurisdictions. Lack or falsified data transmitted by companies should be punished with public sanctions on on the basis of a name-and-shame perspective.
- The lists of “official” tax havens omit to include some countries and are not efficient at all : we call for a **new methodology for identifying tax havens with common criteria for tax, banking secrecy, corporate and judicial havens**. Coercive measures against tax havens with taxation of financial flows from and heading to these States.
- Following the example of EU directive 2018/822 as regards mandatory automatic exchange of information in the field of taxation in relation to reportable cross-border arrangements, a self-reporting obligation of aggressive tax-avoiding mechanism should be established and extended with harsher and more systematic sanctions against intermediaires enabling tax avoidance.
- Finally, we emphasize the need for a more specific definition of tax offences, which recognizes the criminal nature of certain types of behaviour.
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⁵ [https://www.taxjustice.net/topics/more/estimates-of-tax-avoidance-and-evasion/](https://www.taxjustice.net/topics/more/estimates-of-tax-avoidance-and-evasion/)

⁶ Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights General comment No. 24 (2017) on State obligations under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights in the context of business activities, 10 August 2017, para. 37. [http://docstore.ohchr.org/SelfServices/FilesHandler.ashx?enc=4slQ6QSmlBEdzFEovLCwW1a0Sbab0oXTdlnnsJZVQclMuuG4TpS9jwihCjcxIux1yrkMD%2FSj8YF%2BSXo4mYx7Y%2F3L3zvM2rSUbw6ujInCawQrJx3hLK8Odka6D UWG3Y](http://docstore.ohchr.org/SelfServices/FilesHandler.ashx?enc=4slQ6QSmlBEdzFEovLCwW1a0Sbab0oXTdlnnsJZVQclMuuG4TpS9jwihCjcxIux1yrkMD%2FSj8YF%2BSXo4mYx7Y%2F3L3zvM2rSUbw6ujInCawQrJx3hLK8Odka6D UWG3Y)