TOWN HOUSE ANNEX 337 ROUTE 202 SOMERS, NY 10589

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y.



AGENDA

July 18, 2023 MEETING AT 7:30 PM

1. JASON LONG

2023:ZB18

An application for a rear yard area variance for an addition to an existing dwelling in an R-10 Residential District at 20 Lakeview Drive, Yorktown Heights. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as Section: 16.09, Block: 3, Lot: 46. RE: Section Schedule: 170:A1 Zoning Schedule Part 1.

2. NICHOLAS FOSTER

2023:ZB19

An application for an accessory apartment alteration to an existing recreation area above a detached structure to an existing dwelling in an R-80 Residential District at 4 North Lane, Katonah. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as Section: 48.13, Block: 1, Lot: 9. RE: Section Schedule: 170-70.

3. RICHARD AND DIANE BOURQUE

2023:ZB20

An application for a front yard area variance for an addition to an existing dwelling in an R-10 Residential District at 14 Overlook Way, Purdys. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as Section: 18.13, Block: 2, Lot: 32.5. RE: Section Schedule: 170:A1 Zoning Schedule Part 1.

4. 39 Cypress Lane, LLC

2022:ZB33 (CARRYOVER) (POSTPONED)

An application for a variance to raise the perimeter grade of a dwelling to make it conforming in height in an R-10 Residential District at **52 Lake Way, Purdys.** The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 18.13, Block: 2, Lot: 16.** RE: Section Schedule: 170: A1 Zoning Schedule Part 1.

5. OTHER BUSINESS

June 13, 2023 Meeting Minutes

Next Meeting – August 15, 2023



Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL

B 2 NUMBER 2023! ZB 18 DATE: JONE 29, 2023

TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

| OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.: | |
|--|------|
| JASON D. LONG | |
| whose post office address is 1680 Mogul Dive, Mo began hake (Post office address) | , 19 |
| through | , |
| whose post office address is | |
| does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers. | |
| 2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of Thomas 1. Too way Iv. (Name of officer) | |
| [Office held] made on December 17, 20 21 | |
| which ruling was filed on | |
| first received by appellant on; such ruling | |
| (Give summary of ruling) | |
| | |
| An application for a rear yard | |
| area variance for an addition | |
| to an existing one tankly duseling | |
| Mark-10 Zorle | |
| | |
| 3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as | |
| LAKEVERN DR. YOUTOWN HEIGHTS NY 10598 and is shown on the | |
| (Street and number or distance from and names of nearest intersecting streets) | |
| Town Tax Map as Section: Block: Block: Lot: 46 | |
| The interest of the appellant is that of | |
| 4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain | |
| variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.) | |
| (OVER) | |

| 5. | (Fill out (a) or (t | or both if applicable) |
|----------------------|--|--|
| (a) | The property which is the subject of the a | ppeal is located at or known as |
| 2 | o Lakeview Dr | Ne |
| | | |
| ******** | • | |
| (b) hardsh | A variance, permit or special permit is soughing to the property as indicated below: | tht because of practical difficulties or unnecessary |
| 25 ha | n < 12 0' | |
| *********** | | |
| and suc | ch may be granted pursuant to 170: | 71 Zourig Schedule |
| ********** | (Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zon | ning Ordinance of the Town of Somers) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Both app | plicant and owner must sign re different persons. | |
| I Hereby Papers S | Dispose And Say That All The Above State Submitted Herewith Are True. | ments And The Statements Contained In The |
| De | TO ME BEFORE THIS 29 4k | DAY June 2023 |
| MILLION | | OWNER STENATURE |
| NOTARY | DENISE SCHIRMER Notary Public State of New York No. 01SC6298242 SIGN Commission Expires March 10, 20 | APPLICANT SIGNATURE |
| | WITHINGSTON EXPIRES MAICH 10, 20 | AL A DACKET ORTHITIES |



Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL OF

nicholas Foster

B Z NUMBER 2023; ZB19

DATE: June 29, 2023

(OVER)

| (11 cho las 108 to |
|--|
| O THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.: |
| 1 Nicholas Fosser |
| whose post office address is. H. North hand, Katruan, M. 10536 (Post office address) |
| hrough |
| whose post office address is(Post office address) |
| does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers. |
| 2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of Thomas J. Tourian In (Name of officer) Building Merceting, made on June 39, 3033 |
| (Office held) |
| which ruling was filed on, and notice of such ruling was |
| first received by appellant on; such ruling |
| An application for an Accessory Apartment to be created for an worstmany of ruling) recreation errea over a three car garage |
| 3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as |
| 4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain |

variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.)

| 5. | (Fill out (a) of 10) of both it applicable) |
|-------------|---|
| (a |) The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as |
| ****** | 4 north here |
| ****** | |
| ****** | |
| ****** | |
| (t hards | o) A variance, permit or special permit is sought because of practical difficulties or unnecessary ship to the property as indicated below: |
| A | Special Exception Use Reinis I Brequired order to have as Acressory Apartment |
| ****** | |
| ****** | |
| and s | such may be granted pursuant to 170-70 |
| ****** | (Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Somers) |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | applicant and owner must sign by are different persons. |
| | eby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The rs Submitted Herewith Are True. |
| \$wo | RN TO ME BEFORE THIS 29 th DAY DAY 20 23 |
| | exise Ishirved Offitee |
| NOTA | ARY SIGNATURE OWNER SIGNATURE |
| | DENISE SCHIRMER Notary Public State of New York No. 01SC6298247 |
| NOT | ARY SIGNATURE ARY SIGNATURE APPLICANT SIGNATURE |



Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL

OF

Richard and Diane Bourque

variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.)

BZ NUMBER 2023; 2780C DATE: July 3, 2023

TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.: Richard Bourque (Name of appellant) 14 Overlook Way, Purdys, NY 10578 whose post office address is..... (Post office address) (Name of attorney or representative if any) 110 Market Plz, Somers, NY 10589 whose post office address is..... (Post office address) does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers. Thomas Tooma 2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of (Name of officer) **Building Inspector** April 20, 2023, made on (Office held) March 30, 2023 which ruling was filed on, and notice of such ruling was April 20, 2023 first received by appellant on (Give summary of ruling) "This proposal is denied as the setbacks proposed for the addition does not meet the required minimum setbacks for this zoning district." 3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as..... 14 Overlook Way, Purdys, NY 10578 (Street and number or distance from and names of nearest intersecting streets) Town Tax Map as Section: Block: Lot: Richard G. Bourque, II The interest of the appellant is that of..... (Owner, tenant, etc.) 4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain

(OVER)

| | (a) The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as | |
|--|--|---------|
| | | |
| | 14 Overlook Way, Purdys, NY 10578 | |
| | 11 0 101000 11 013 2 010 01 11 010 010 010 010 010 010 010 | |
| | | |
| | *************************************** | |
| | | |
| | *************************************** | |
| | *************************************** | |
| | (b) A variance, permit or special permit is sought because of practical difficulties or unnecessary | |
| | hardship to the property as indicated below: | |
| | See below | |
| | | |
| | *************************************** | |
| | *************************************** | |
| | ,.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| | | |
| | *************************************** | |
| | and such may be granted pursuant to | |
| | *************************************** | |
| | (Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Somers) | _ |
| | b: A variance is sought because of practical difficulties at the property. As much of the property is sloping | ĸ |
| and the level | b: A variance is sought because of practical difficulties at the property. As much of the property is sloping awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the | g |
| and the level | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the | |
| and the level south side of | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult | |
| and the level south side of to access with | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than i | |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it coning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the | |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses. | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it coning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our | |
| and the level is south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed pro | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully | is |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed pro request a vari | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than is a zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the | is |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variationing code s | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the action 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be | is |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed prorequest a variazoning code swhichever is to south side of the south side | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than is exoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our sect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The | is |
| and the level is south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variation of the contraction of the contrac | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than is a zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variationing code swhichever is the average depth (3) if there be | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than is exoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our sect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variationing code swhichever is the average depth (3) if there be | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is a zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". Both applicant and owner must sign | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variationing code swhichever is the average depth (3) if there be | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than is exoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our sect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variationing code swhichever is the average depth (3) if there be | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our ect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling if they are different persons. | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variationing code swhichever is the average depth (3) if there be | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our sect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling if they are different persons. 1 Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements and The Statements Contained to The | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variationing code swhichever is the average depth (3) if there be | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our ect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling if they are different persons. | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proprequest a variation zoning code swhichever is average depth (3) if there be on the same s | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling if they are different persons. 1 Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proprequest a variation zoning code swhichever is average depth (3) if there be on the same s | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling if they are different persons. I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True. | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proprequest a variation zoning code swhichever is average depth (3) if there be on the same s | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is a zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons. I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True. SWORN TO ME BEFORE THIS DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DA | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proprequest a variation zoning code swhichever is average depth (3) if there be on the same s | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our lect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling if they are different persons. 1 Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proprequest a variation zoning code swhichever is average depth (3) if there be on the same s | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is coning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our ect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons. I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True. DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DA | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proprequest a variation zoning code swhichever is average depth (3) if there be on the same s | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is coning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our ect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons. I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True. DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DA | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variation of the control o | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than is a coning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our eet, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons. I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True. Sworn To ME BEFORE THIS OWNER SIGNATURE OWNER SIGNATURE OWNER SIGNATURE | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variation of the control o | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the the house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is coning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our ect, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons. I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True. DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DAY DA | is e |
| and the level south side of to access with allowed by the other houses proposed proposed proposed a variation of the control o | awn area contains the septic tank and field, there are limited options for an addition. The level area at the he house is the best location for the clients' needs without building on a steep area that would be difficult construction equipment. An addition at this proposed location would be closer to the property line than it is zoning code, because the property line near the house is set back 18-25' more from the street than the on Overlook Way. Additionally, there are four (4) houses along the same side of Overlook Way as our eet, and all four are closer to the front property line than is allowed by the zoning code. We respectfully ance to the front property line set back requirement and seek to establish the required setback by using the ection 170-48 which states that "the depth of the required front yard in any residence district shall be the least of the following: (1) the number of feet specified in Column 8 of the Schedule - 25'-0"; (2) The of the front yards of the dwellings on adjoining lots on each side - no house at adjoining lot to the west; no such adjoining dwellings on each side, the average depth of the front yards of the four nearest dwelling ide of the street - 16'-6". Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons. I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained in The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True. SWORN TO ME BEFORE THIS DAY OWNER SIGNATURE OWNER SIGNATURE OWNER SIGNATURE OWNER SIGNATURE | is e |

No. 01CH6145186

Qualified in Dutchess County
My Comm. Expires May 1, 2026

(914) 277-5582

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

TOWN HOUSE ANNEX 337 ROUTE 202 SOMERS, NY 10589

Victor Cannistra Chairman

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y.



Meeting Minutes June 13, 2023

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Cannistra at 7:40 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance.

The members present were: Mr. Cannistra, Mr. Guyot, Mr. Harden, Mr. Lansky, Mr. Newman, and Mr. Padovani.

Ms. D'Ippolito joined the meeting via Zoom due to extraordinary circumstances.

Building Inspector, Tom Tooma and interested residents were also present.

APPLICANTS

JOSEPH AND JENNIFER WITTMANN - 2023:ZB16 - 37.15-1-29

An application to renew a Special Exception Use Permit as a new owner for an existing accessory apartment above an existing garage attached to an existing one family dwelling in an R-80 Residential District at 9 Dr. Tony's Road, Katonah. The property is on the Town Tax Map as Section: 37.15, Block: 1, Lot: 29. RE: Section Schedule 170-70.

Joseph and Jennifer Wittman addressed the Board. The apartment is vacant. Building Inspector Tom Tooma inspected the apartment on May 26th, no changes have been made and it is identical to the plan on file. There have been no complaints or issues.

Mr. Harden made a motion for a Type II action. Mr. Guyot seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

Ms. D'Ippolito Aye
Mr. Guyot Aye
Mr. Harden Aye
Mr. Lansky Aye
Mr. Newman Aye
Mr. Padovani Aye
Chairman Cannistra Aye

Mr. Guyot made a motion to approve the Special Exception Use Permit for an accessory apartment above an existing garage attached to an existing one family dwelling for the next 7 years. Mr. Harden seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

| Ms. D'Ippolito | Aye |
|--------------------|-----|
| Mr. Guyot | Aye |
| Mr. Harden | Aye |
| Mr. Lansky | Aye |
| Mr. Newman | Aye |
| Mr. Padovani | Aye |
| Chairman Cannistra | Aye |

The Special Exception Use Permit was approved.

UB SOMERS, INC. - 2023:ZB17 - 4.20-1-11.6

An application for a height variance for a new business (Tractor Supply) in a Community Shopping District at 80 Route 6, Baldwin Place. The property is on the Town Tax Map as Section: 4.20, Block: 1, Lot: 11.6. RE: Section Schedule 170-126.

Matt Kusewich addressed the Board. He met with the Architectural Review Board at their meeting on April 27, 2023 to discuss a proposed sign for Tractor Supply located at 80 Route 6. The height of the sign is $4' - 4 \frac{1}{2}$ " high by $16' - 6 \frac{3}{8}$ " long. The Tractor Supply logo is at the beginning of the sign followed by Tractor on the top line and Supply on the second line in black lettering on a white background.

Although the Board did not object to the design and colors of the sign proposed, they could not approve the sign as it is 2' higher than what is allowable as per the Code of the Town of Somers. The Board does however understand the need for the height variance and agreed the area on the façade of the building where it will be placed can absolutely accommodate it.

A memo in support of this application was submitted to the Zoning Board members and read aloud by Chairman Cannistra.

Mr. Harden made a motion for a Type II action. Mr. Guyot seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

| Ms. D'Ippolito | Aye |
|--------------------|-----|
| Mr. Guyot | Aye |
| Mr. Harden | Aye |
| Mr. Lansky | Aye |
| Mr. Newman | Aye |
| Mr. Padovani | Aye |
| Chairman Cannistra | Aye |

Mr. Guyot made a motion to approve a 2' height variance for a new business sign for Tractor Supply. Mr. Harden seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

| Ms. D'Ippolito | Aye |
|--------------------|-----|
| Mr. Guyot | Aye |
| Mr. Harden | Aye |
| Mr. Lansky | Aye |
| Mr. Newman | Aye |
| Mr. Padovani | Aye |
| Chairman Cannistra | Aye |

The Variance was approved.

VICTOR AND DEBORAH WU - 2023:ZB04 - 58.12-1-10

A rehearing of an application for a side yard area variance for an existing inground pool accessory to an existing one family dwelling in an R-80 Residential District at 8 Silver Springs Court, Katonah. The property is on the Town Tax Map as Section: 58.12, Block: 1, Lot: 10. RE: Section Schedule 170-70:A1 Zoning Schedule Part 1.

A decision was made at the May 16, 2023 by a 5 to 2 vote to rehear this application with the understanding that only new information will be accepted by the Wu's attorney, Mike Caruso or the neighbor's attorney, Whitney Singleton.

Chairman Cannistra reminded everyone that the Board has already heard extensive testament and has received substantial materials. Key points to date are the timeliness of the application; whether it is materially different than what was submitted prior; and

whether the Board has jurisdiction over the application. If yes, then a decision can be made to vote on the merits of the application regarding the variance request.

Mr. Caruso did not submit any new information, but was asked to summarize the application. Prior to doing so, he wanted the Board to know that an Article 78 has been filed regarding the April 18, 2023 action and vote by the Board.

The summary is as follows:

In 2021 a violation was issued to the Wus. Their inground pool was too close to the property line and part of their pool barrier on the neighbor's property. The violation ended up in Town Court, and on October 31st they were given a year to remove the encroachments on their neighbor's property. The only thing left to do is to remove the cut fence posts still in the ground. Four attempts have been made for the Wus to go onto their neighbor's property to do so without a response. At that time, the Town Court resolved the violation regarding the encroachments. Mr. Cannistra noted that he spoke earlier today to Town attorney Gerry Reilly to get confirmation of the same.

In the meantime, also in 2021, attorney Dan Tota submitted an application to the Zoning Board seeking a side yard setback variance for the pool. Mr. Tota withdrew the application.

A request was made of Building Inspector Tom Tooma to issue a Certificate of Occupancy for the pool, which was denied in writing on October 25, 2022.

On December 23, 2022, attorney Mike Caruso submitted an application to the Zoning Board on behalf of the Wus, seeking a variance for the side yard setback for the pool. Before the Board heard the application, it was amended in February 203 to include engineering schematics in the supporting documentation.

In closing, Mr. Caruso commented that votes have taken place on the timeliness of the application and it was determined it was timely, as well as whether the current application was materially different from the application submitted by Mr. Tota, which ended in a tie vote with only six members present that evening. In his opinion, that application was withdrawn, therefore it and the vote are null and void. Mr. Caruso would like the Board to consider the merits of the variance and take a vote this evening.

Chairman Cannistra asked the neighbor's attorney, Whitney Singleton, if he had any comments to make.

In Mr. Singleton's opinion, the current application before the Board is untimely and as per the Code of the Town of Somers (Chapter 67-5) the Zoning Board has no jurisdiction to hear the application as the violation of the inground pool being too close to the property line still exists, even if there is a conditional discharge. In addition, the application should have included a notification of the violation and it did not. In his opinion, the Building and Planning and Engineering Departments should not have let

this situation happen. It should have been discovered when they started digging for the pool that there was an issue. He went on to say that if the Board votes in favor of issuing a variance, he will sue the Town. In his opinion, the Town should be protecting his clients as a result of all that has been done to them. He also felt that in particular, Mr. Lansky is being biased about this application. Mr. Lansky agreed he is, but wants to hear the application in full and not just jurisdictionally.

Mr. Caruso rebutted that the Board can waive the conditions of Chapter 67-5. Mr. Singleton said that is incorrect because they can't have jurisdiction. Mr. Caruso feels as though the Board is well versed and capable of making a decision. He shared an aerial view of the property with the Board indicating where the pool is compared to having to have it moved 12' if a variance is not granted.

Further comments were made by the Board:

Mr. Harden opined that the bottom line is that the pool has not moved.

Mr. Lansky reminded everyone that they voted unanimously that the application was timely; the vote on being materially different was a tie vote and the very first application for a variance was withdrawn, therefore it is null and void and shouldn't be part of the discussion any longer. In his opinion, they Board has the right to vote again on whether the application is materially different. Mr. Cannistra commented that since the very first application was withdrawn, they have nothing to compare the current application to.

Mr. Cannistra reminded everyone that an opinion can be sought from legal counsel. Mr. Caruso said that he feels confident that the Board knows what to do. He said in order for the application to be materially different, something has to factually transpire between two different events, which did not happen, therefore the application can be heard.

Mr. Singleton highly recommends that the Board seek legal counsel, as once again in his opinion, the Board cannot hear the application, which is all about a side yard variance for the pool, not the encroachments on the neighbor's property.

The applicant Deborah Wu addressed the Board. Since they were issued the violation for the pool being too close to the property line and part of the fence being on the neighbor's property, they have done a lot of work on their property to resolve the encroachment. In her opinion, a lot of misinformation has been given to the Board about her and her husband and she would very much appreciate if the Board would listen to the entire situation from both parties, and not just one side.

The neighbor, Panta Stanciu addressed the Board. He said that in May there was a lot of rain and water is coming from the Wus property and flooding his. In his opinion, it is all due to the Wu's pool. He also thinks the Town is at fault regarding this situation.

Mr. Lansky made a motion that the application is materially different and the application should be heard. Mr. Cannistra seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

| Ms. D'Ippolito | Nay |
|--------------------|-----|
| Mr. Guyot | Nay |
| Mr. Harden | Nay |
| Mr. Lansky | Aye |
| Mr. Newman | Nay |
| Mr. Padovani | Nay |
| Chairman Cannistra | Aye |

The variance application will not be heard.

Mr. Singleton thanked the Board for their time and consideration. He also apologized for his temperament, which is due to his compassion for what he does.

<u>39 CYPRESS LANE, LLC - 2022:ZB33 – 18.13-2-16 (CARRYOVER – POSTPONED)</u>

An application for a variance to raise the perimeter grade of an existing one family dwelling to make it conforming in height in an R-10 Residential District at 52 Lake Way, Purdys. The property is on the Town Tax Map as Section: 18.13, Block: 2, Lot: 16. RE: Section Schedule 170:A1 Zoning Schedule Part 1.

Chairman Cannistra announced that there has been no update as to when or even if the 39 Cypress Lane, LLC application will be before the Board again.

Minutes – The minutes of the May 16, 2023 meeting were approved as submitted.

The next monthly meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals will be held on July 18, 2023 at 7:30 p.m. With there being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Denise Schirmer, Secretary Zoning Board of Appeals

cc: Town Board Town Clerk Planning and Engineering Planning Board