John Currie, Chairman Vicky Gannon Nancy Gerbino Eugene Goldenberg Dennis McNamara Bruce A. Prince Christopher Zaberto

PLANNING BOARD

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y.

TOWN HOUSE
335 ROUTE 202
SOMERS, NY 10589
TEL (914) 277-5366
FAX (914) 277-4093
EMAIL:
PLANNINGBOARD@SOMERSNY.COM



ROLL: PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Chairman Currie, Mr. Prince, Mr. Goldenberg, Ms. Gerbino, Mr. McNamara, Ms. Gannon and Mr. Zaberto

12 ABSENT:

Consulting Town Engineer Joseph Barbagallo

ALSO PRESENT:

Director of Planning Syrette Dym Town Attorney, Joseph Eriole

Planning Board Secretary, Barbara J. Sherry

1617

18

19

15

2

3

4

5 6 7

8

9 10

11

13 14

The meeting commenced at 7:30pm. Planning Board Secretary Barbara J. Sherry called the roll and noted that the required quorum of four members was present in order to conduct the business of the Board.

202122

23

Chairman Currie welcomed Mr. Christopher Zaberto to the Planning Board and thanked Mr. Dennis McNamara for his acting as Chairman of the Planning Board at the March 13, 2019 meeting.

242526

TIME EXTENSIONS:

27

GRANITE POINTE RE-GRANT: TM: 27.05-1-2&5

28 29

Chairman Currie stated this is a request for the seventh (7th) 90 day time extension

31 for the Granite Pointe Subdivision Re-Grant of Final Subdivision Approval,

32 Wetland, Steep Slopes, Tree Preservation and Stormwater Management and

- Erosion and Sediment Control Permits, as per Resolution 2017-10, from May 3, 33
- 2019 up to and including July 31, 2019 pursuant to Town Law Section 276 (7) (c) 34
- and Town Code Section 50-13M. The property is located on the east side of 35
- Route 118/202, adjacent to the Amawalk Reservoir and is located in an R-40 36
- Zoning District for the development of 23 lots in a Cluster Subdivision. 37

Chairman Currie stated the Board is in receipt of a letter from the Syrette Dym, 39 40 Director of Planning.

41

Chairman Currie asked if there were any comments from the Board. There were 42 43 none.

44

- On a motion by Ms. Gannon, seconded by Mr. McNamara, and unanimously 45
- carried, the Board moved to approve the seventh (7th) 90 day time extension for 46
- the Granite Pointe Subdivision Re-Grant of Final Subdivision Approval, Wetland, 47
- Steep Slopes, Tree Preservation and Stormwater Management and Erosion and 48
- Sediment Control Permits, as per Resolution 2017-10, from May 3, 2019 up to 49
- and including July 31, 2019 pursuant to Town Law Section 276 (7) (c) and Town 50
- Code Section 50-13M. The property is located on the east side of Route 118/202, 51
- adjacent to the Amawalk Reservoir and is located in an R-40 Zoning District for 52
- the development of 23 lots in a Cluster Subdivision. 53

54 55

ARTIS SENIOR LIVING (TM: 4.20-2-22)

56

- Chairman Currie stated this is a request for a one (1) year extension of the 57 approval of Site Plan Approval, Stormwater Management and Erosion and 58
- Sediment Control Permit, Steep Slopes Permit, Tree Removal Permit and 59
- Wetlands Permit granted April 11, 2018 by Resolution # 2018-04 for the 60
- construction of a 72 bed Memory Care Assisted Living Facility for signature of 61
- the Site Plan, pursuant to Section170-114 H&K of the Code of the Town of 62
- Somers for one (1) year beginning April 12, 2019 up to and including April 12, 63
- 2020. The property is located within the Somers Realty Planned Hamlet on Lot 6 64
- of the Somers Realty Phase 3 Subdivision. 65

66 67

Chairman Currie stated the Board is in receipt of a letter from Director of Planning, Syrette Dym. 68

- Chairman Currie asked Director of Planning Dym if the Board can authorize a one 70
- 71 (1) year extension.

- 72 Director of Planning Dym stated yes, the applicant is entitled to a one (1) year
- extension to the Site Plan before requesting a ninety (90) day extension to meet
- 74 the conditions of the Site Plan pursuant to Sections 170-114 H&K.

Mr. Goldenberg asked what the plan is, do they have a lease, or did they buy the property.

78

Director of Planning Dym stated the Site Plan has been approved and will ask their representative of Artis to answer your questions. What Artis's relationship to the property owner is, can be answered by their Attorney.

82

- Ms. Diana Kolov from the firm of DelBello, Donnellan, Weingarten, Wise & Wiederkehr approached the Board and stated she is here for the extension of the
- approvals that were granted on April 11, 2018. She cannot speak to the issue of
- the relationship between Artis and Somers Realty in the Planned Hamlet, but they
- are diligently proceeding to complete the conditions that were required prior to
- signing of the Site Plan. A submission will be made next week to respond to the
- 89 Consulting Town Engineer's comments.

90

- 91 Ms. Gerbino asked, the submission next week, you will address the issue Mr.
- 92 Goldenberg raised.

93

94 Ms. Kolov stated the main issues that will be addressed are the Water and Engineering Report and any other outstanding comments.

96

97 Mr. Prince questioned, you have no knowledge of whether they have closed on a 98 deal or not. The company is doing all this work with no ownership.

99

- Ms. Kolov stated Mr. Peter Wise is the Attorney who usually comes before this Board on this matter and has more information regarding that, and I can look into
- that, but Mr. Wise was not available this evening.

103

104 Chairman Currie asked Ms. Kolov to relay the Board's concerns to Mr. Wise and to please respond to them in writing.

106

107 Ms. Kolov stated yes, definitely.

108

Mr. McNamara asked if Ms. Kolov stated she was making a submission next week.

112 Chairman Currie stated yes, that would be to the Engineer's questions/comments.

113

114 Ms. Kolov stated yes, to respond to the Engineers comments.

115

116 Chairman Currie asked Ms. Kolov to include responses of the Board's comments 117 and questions in that letter.

118

Mr. McNamara stated specifically the status of the contract between the two (2) parties.

121

- On a motion by Chairman Currie, seconded by Mr. McNamara and unanimously
- carried, the Board moved to approve a one (1) year time extension of Site Plan
- 124 Approval, Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Permit,
- 125 Steep Slopes Permit, Tree Removal Permit and Wetlands Permit pursuant to
- Section 170-114 H&K of the Code of the Town of Somers beginning April 12,
- 127 2019 up to and including April 12, 2020, subject to receipt of answers to all
- 128 questions asked by the Board.

129

- On a motion by Chairman Currie, seconded by Ms. Gerbino, and unanimously
- carried, the Board moved to approve the fourteenth (14th) 90-day time extension
- 132 for the Amended Conditional Final Subdivision Plan, Tree Preservation, Steep
- 133 Slopes and Wetland Permit from March 5, 2019 up to and including June 2, 2019
- pursuant to Town Law Section 276(7) (c) and Section 150-13.M of the Code of
- 135 the Town of Somers, with the condition that an easement map for water and sewer
- systems have a note that there will be no further subdivision and will identify the
- 137 Tax ID numbers for the lots and that a Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions
- be drafted to include the above two restrictions.

139140

PUBLIC HEARING:

141142

- TOWNE CENTRE AT SOMERS EXTENSION OF SEWER DISTRICT:
- 143 **TM: 17.15-1-13**

144

- 145 Chairman Currie stated this is a Public Hearing for the Town Centre at Somers
- and before the Hearing is opened, he asked Director of Planning Dym if she had any comments.

1.40

- 149 Director of Planning Dym stated the Town Board took action, reminding the
- Board that there are two (2) items going on at the same time, the first is the
- application for extension for the District which is a Town Board action and the

- 152 Site Plan approval which is in front of the Planning Board. The Town Board took
- action on April 4, 2019 making a SEQRA Determination and issued a Negative
- Declaration and accepted the resolution to extend the Sewer District. The Planning
- Board now is in a position to open the Public Hearing, take testimony and make a
- decision to either close or keep the Public Hearing open and then determine how
- to act on the Site Plan.

- 159 Ms. Jody Cross from the firm of Zarrin and Steinmetz, on behalf of Urstadt
- 160 Biddle, approached the Board stating she is here this evening in connection with
- the application to construct the infrastructure to connect to the Heritage Hills
- 162 Sewer Plant. They are seeking Site Plan Approval, Stormwater Management and
- 163 Erosion and Sediment Control Permit, Wetland Permit and Special Exception Use
- 164 Permit within the Groundwater Protection Overlay District.

165

- 166 Attorney Cross stated back in 2017 they were in front of the Board looking for
- 167 certain site improvements relating to façade and circulation. At that time was
- when DeCicco's and Somers Crossing were getting their approvals and they had
- sought to have the Sewer District extended and this Board suggested that it would
- be a good idea for us to do the same. We agreed, and it became a condition of the
- 171 Site Plan Approval in 2017. Since then agreements were negotiated, entered into
- agreements for sufficient capacity and made an application to the Town Board to
- extend the District and to this Board for the necessary infrastructure. As stated by
- Director Planning Dym, on April 4, 2019 the Town Board declared themselves
- 175 Lead Agency, adopted a Negative Declaration and accepted the Petition to Extend
- the Sewer District, and she understands that it has already been filed with the
- 177 Secretary of State and the Westchester County Clerk as required under law.

178

- 179 Attorney Cross added the last memos received from the Director of Planning and
- 180 Consulting Town Engineer, it appears aside from some technical issues, there
- seem to be items that could be conditions, she believes all substantive comments
- 182 have been addressed.

183

- 184 Attorney Cross informed the Board that they had asked for a representative of
- 185 Heritage Hills to be at this meeting, but were not able to attend. She also stated,
- 186 Town Consulting Engineer (CTE) Joseph Barbagallo was at the site and was given
- a full briefing of the status, it seems that everything is up and running and it could
- be as early as the end of this week that DeCicco's is granted permission to hook
- up. She said this is all hear say, but I am passing along the information that was
- 190 given to me.

Mr. Prince asked Attorney Cross who she meant when she said a representative from Heritage Hills.

194 195

Attorney Cross stated from Heritage Hills Sewer Company.

196 197

198

199

200

201

202

203

Mr. Rob Aiello, Engineer for Urstadt Biddle Properties, approached the Board and stated the Site Plan submitted to the Board included Route 202 on the right, Route 100 on the bottom and the DeCicco's Supermarket. The application includes placing all the existing buildings on the site into the Municipal Sewer System by way of three (3) pump stations. Mr. Aiello pointed out the locations of the pump stations stating all three (3) would be put into separate force mains which would connect into a manhole on the DeCicco's property. The mains have already been installed prior to the paving of the parking lot.

204 205

- 206 Engineer Aiello added with regard to the items we are here for the Site Plan which
- Ms. Cross spoke about. In terms of the Wetland Approval, Mr. Aiello pointed out
- 208 to the Board, on the adjacent property, Somers Crossing is a New York State
- 209 Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) Wetland and its
- associated one hundred (100) foot buffer or control area. This work which
- 211 includes the pump station and generator and the piping is partially within the
- 212 NYSDEC buffer. This requires a Wetland Permit from both the Town and
- NYSDEC. Because the project is within the New York City Watershed and there
- 214 is over five thousand (5,000) square feet of disturbance they are required to go to
- the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYC DEP) for
- approval of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), which is
- 217 essentially an Erosion Control Plan.

218

- 219 Engineer Aiello stated since the last Planning Board meeting it has been
- 220 confirmed that the two (2) generators will be propane fueled and details have been
- submitted, a generator has been relocated further away from the adjacent Town
- Houses on the Somers Crossing Site, and five hundred and fifty (550) gallon
- 223 propane tanks have been provided for both of the generators. The Consulting
- 224 Town Engineer's memo has been reviewed and we believe there are just minor
- erosion control details that need to be worked through, in addition to the permits
- and approvals that are needed from the Health Department, NYCDEP and
- NYSDEC. They will address the comments with the Consulting Town Engineer

228 going forward.

229

230 Chairman Currie asked if there were any Board member questions or comments.

- 232 Mr. Prince stated to Mr. Aiello, you mentioned in your statement "Municipal
- 233 Sewer System". This is not a Municipal Sewer System, this is in fact a private
- 234 Sewer System.

236 Mr. Prince also asked if he had any idea when this will be operable.

237

- Engineer Aiello stated there is probably another few months of permitting to go
- 239 through, we have been working with contractors for pricing, we are ready to start
- 240 construction as soon as we get our permits.

241

242 Attorney Cross asked approximately how long construction will take place.

243

244 Engineer Aiello stated three (3) to four (4) months.

245

- 246 Mr. Zaberto asked about the intent of the generators. Are they for emergency
- stand by or will they be running all the time to operate cooling equipment or
- 248 something.

249

250 Engineer Aiello stated they are emergency stand by generators.

251

- Mr. Zaberto said if the facility loses power then those would kick in to keep
- 253 merchandise cold or refrigerated or power on.

254

- 255 Engineer Aiello stated their purpose is to keep the pumps running. The proposed
- generators are solely for the purpose of the pumps. In addition, the generators will
- be exercised on a weekly basis for about an hour, but will only be used for an
- extended basis during a power outage.

259

260 Mr. Zaberto asked if the tanks are above or below ground.

261

262 Engineer Aiello responded they are above.

263

264 Chairman Currie asked about the publishing and notification of the Public Notice.

265

- Planning Board Secretary Barbara J. Sherry stated the notice was published in the
- Somers Record on March 28, 2019 and notices were mailed to the neighboring
- and abutting property owners on March 28, 2019.

269

270 Chairman Currie asked if there was any comments from the public.

272 There were none.

273

On a motion by Mr. McNamara, seconded by Ms. Gannon, and unanimously 274 275 carried, the Board moved to close the Public Hearing.

276

- Director of Planning Dym stated she spoke with Consulting Town Engineer 277
- (CTE) Joseph Barbagallo in his absence, about the outstanding items in his April 278
- 5, 2019 memorandum. There are a number of items that the applicant still has not 279
- fully addressed. CTE Barbagallo felt if the Board closed the Public Hearing and 280
- wanted to move forward with Site Plan approval he was ok with that, as long as 281
- there is a condition that all of the conditions of their April 5, 2019 memorandum 282
- be met prior to signing of the Site Plan. 283

284

Chairman Currie asked the Board if they were okay with that. All were in 285 286 agreement.

287

Ms. Gerbino stated there is an item that is not addressed. 288

289

290 Mr. McNamara stated there are several items that are not addressed.

291

Director of Planning Dym stated there are several items that are partially 292 addressed and two that are not addressed. 293

294

Attorney Cross stated they are fine with those being conditions of approval. 295 296

Chairman Currie asked if the Board is okay with this. 297

298

299 Mr. McNamara asked if the Resolution will be ready for the next meeting.

300

Director of Planning Dym stated no. 301

302

303 Chairman Currie stated for the June meeting.

304

Attorney Cross stated they are fine with that as long as they can move forward 305 with processing the approvals once they have the Negative Declarations. 306

307

Director of Planning Dym stated you still need to meet all the conditions of the 308 resolution. 309

- 311 Attorney Cross stated they did not want to be delayed with the outside agency
- approvals for two months but they can move forward with the SEQRA
- 313 designation.

Mr. McNamara asked if any of the unaddressed items that can be addressed in the interim cleans up the resolution.

317

Attorney Cross stated that some of the unaddressed items are getting the permits from outside agencies.

320

- 321 Mr. Timothy Allen, Engineer with Bibbo Associates, approached the Board and
- 322 stated that DeCicco's received approval today to start the operation of opening.
- Not the final operation of opening, all this blends into that and it is good news for
- 324 everybody in Town.

325

326 Ms. Gerbino asked if that means the Consent Order has been resolved.

327

- 328 Engineer Allen stated not on the plant, but the temporary bypass and all the
- infrastructure that has been put in has been accepted by the Westchester County
- Health Department (WCHD). So, therefore, we are proceeding on that note and
- DeCicco's should be at least getting the operations close to opening.

332

333 Mr. Prince asked if they have received approval from the WCHD.

334

- Engineer Allen stated they received verbal approval from the WCHD that the by-
- pass was ok, therefore all of our calculations and starting the process of opening
- 337 DeCicco's is ok. This is not to say that DeCicco's can open tomorrow. They are
- 338 going to need about five (5) weeks for opening.

339

340 Mr. Prince asked if they are still doing work on the sewer works.

341

- 342 Engineer Allen stated correct. Right now what is done is they have accepted the
- by-pass and everything else that is allowed. Today they stated there is no
- discourse to the by-pass and secondly, in a follow up to a conversation with the
- WCHD this morning, there should be no problem with DeCicco's starting to
- prepare getting water onto their site so that they can start to open.

- 348 Engineer Allen stated he believes the Sewage Treatment Plant is still in testing
- and that is the issue that needs to be resolved prior to the final opening of
- 350 DeCicco's and Somers Crossing.

- 351 Mr. Prince asked if WCDH will not give approval until the sewer company is
- 352 functional.

- Engineer Allen stated on Final Approval yes, you are correct. They can start their
- operations on a limited basis, but Final Approval will be subject to the sewage
- 356 treatment plant being signed off on.

357

358 Mr. Goldenberg asked if they have a Certificate of Occupancy once everything is 359 settled before they can open up.

360

361 Engineer Allen asked, on Somers Crossing or DeCicco's?

362

363 Mr. Goldenberg stated on the Supermarket.

364

Engineer Allen stated from the Town stand point, DeCicco's is ready to open.

366

367 Ms. Gerbino stated they already have their Certificate of Occupancy.

368

- Engineer Allen stated they have been ready for a year now. He added that
- obviously they are dealing with the issue of the sewage treatment plant, and as
- mentioned by Mr. Prince, that is ultimately the goal and has to be done.

372

- Chairman Currie asked if there were any other Board member comments. There
- were none.

375

376 **T-MOBILE – 2580 ROUTE 35:** TM: **37.13-2-3**

377

- 378 Chairman Currie stated this is an application of T-Mobile Northeast LLC to co-
- locate antennas, together with the installation of ancillary equipment onto the
- existing facility without any increase in height. The facility is located at 2580
- Route 35 and is in an R120 Zoning District.

382

- 383 Mr. David Kenny, Attorney from Snyder & Snyder approached the Board and
- stated he was representing T-Mobile Northeast LLC, for a co-location application
- at the existing facility at 2580 Route 35, Somers.

- 387 Attorney Kenny stated this is an existing one hundred and thirty five foot (135')
- monopine, which is a monopole that is designed to look like a tree. T-Mobile will
- be co-locating its equipment at the one hundred and seven foot (107') elevation as
- shown on the drawings. The antennae's will not increase the size of the facility,

- they will be located within the faux branches so there will be no changes to the
- 392 facility at all, other than the addition of T-Mobile's antennas and some equipment
- on the ground. All the equipment on the ground will be within the existing fenced
- in compound, so there will not be any change to the dimensions of the equipment
- 395 facility.

- 397 Attorney Kenny stated he is here this evening to see if there are any questions
- 398 from the Board. He added they have received comments from Director of
- 399 Planning Dym and CTE Barbagallo. This facility has its permit current and in
- 400 effect so there is no need for an additional renewal at this time, the only project
- 401 now is to see if there are any questions from the Board on this application.

402 403

Ms. Gerbino asked if there would be any visual change.

404

Attorney Kenny stated no, because the idea is to keep the monopine design and keep it within the faux branches.

407

408 Mr. Prince asked if there is any site work to be done.

409

- 410 Attorney Kenny stated no, everything will be done within the existing fenced in
- 411 equipment compound. There will be some ground equipment located within the
- compound, but nothing will change, there will be no bump out or an enlargement
- of the fenced compound at all.

414

Mr. Prince asked, when you say there is going to be equipment, will the equipment be sitting on an existing foundation.

417 418

Attorney Kenny responded that there will be a new concrete pad placed for the proposed T-Mobile equipment.

419 420

421 Chairman Currie read the following from a memo prepared by CTE Barbagallo:

422 423

424

425

426

427

"The applicant is proposing small site disturbance due to the construction of a new 8x13 foot concrete pad for the

proposed telecommunication equipment. The concrete pad

will be constructed within a fenced area on the property on the existing gravel surface. The applicant shall ensure that

erosion is controlled during construction to prevent sediment

428 mitigation offsite."

- Chairman Currie added that was CTE Barbagallo's only comment on this project 430
- and that it will go on a new 8x13 foot pad and wanted this placed in the record. 431

433 Mr. Prince stated he wanted that information out there, as Attorney Kenny did not seem to want to volunteer it. 434

435

- Attorney Kenny stated he understood, but did not know if it was highly important. 436
- It will be within the equipment compound, is a small impervious surface and silt 437
- fences will be used to minimize any sediment and erosion. 438

439

Mr. Zaberto asked if T-Mobile is currently on the tower. 440

441

- 442 Attorney Kenny stated no, they are not, which is why this is a co-location
- application to an existing facility. He believes Verizon & AT&T are already 443
- existing on the facility. 444

445

Mr. Zaberto asked if this would improve service for T-Mobile customers in the 446

447 area.

448

- Attorney Kenny stated yes, there is a need for this service in the area and rather 449
- than build their own facility, they found they could co-locate on an existing 450
- facility. 451

452

- Mr. Zaberto asked if the pad that is needed is to place T-Mobile's proprietary 453
- equipment on, so that you can run the equipment and improve the T-Mobile 454
- services of customers that live in the vicinity of the cell tower. 455

456

457 Attorney Kenny stated exactly, the function of the cell tower is the antennae on top, but there is ground equipment that powers the antennae. 458

459

Mr. Prince asked Attorney Kenny if he has any idea how far out this antennae will 460 go, how it will affect the carrier and the functional benefit of this to help T-Mobile 461 462 customers.

- 464 Attorney Kenny responded that he did not have those dimensions with him this
- evening, but as a co-location application this is essentially done for the need in the 465
- area instead of building their own tower. 466
- Ms. Gerbino stated, historically Route 35 near the dam is a dead area from there 467
- all the way to Whitehall Corners. Between that tower and the tower at Whitehall 468
- Corners, that will give cell phone coverage for the first time in this area. 469

470 Mr. McNamara stated he thought the range of service is dependent on the 471 topography of the area. 472 473 Attorney Kenny stated that is absolutely correct. 474 Mr. McNamara, Ms. Gerbino and Ms. Gannon briefly spoke about the service on 475 the opposite side of Lasdon Park. The people that not only live there but the 476 people that travel the corridor and how heavily traveled this road is. 477 478 Chairman Currie asked Director of Planning Dym if she had any comments. 479 480 Director of Planning Dym said, as stated in her memo, the Board has to determine 481 that both the pole, and in this case the carrier, as a new carrier, and the Board will 482 have to vote on, but the pole is in compliance because the approval of the pole 483 was done by a resolution of the Zoning Board of Appeals and was in compliance 484 as of 2016 so the Board can allow the co-location as the pole is in renewal 485 compliance. 486 487 Chairman Currie asked if there was any comments from the Board. There were 488 489 none. 490 Chairman Currie ask the Board their feelings on waiving the Site Plan and Public 491 492 Hearing. 493 Ms. Gannon stated she agreed to the waiving of the Site Plan and Public Hearing 494 as the site is minimal and is prepared for this and there will be no visual change of 495 significance and she sees no reason to prolong the process. 496 497 498 On a duel motion by Chairman Currie, seconded by Mr. Goldenberg, and unanimously carried, the Board moved to waive the Site Plan Approval and the 499 Public Hearing. 500 501 On a motion by Chairman Currie, seconded Mr. McNamara, and unanimously 502 carried, the Board moved to declare this a Type II Action under SEQRA for 503 504 approval for the co-location of the T-Mobile antennas. 505

- 509 NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS LLC "AT&T" (CROWN CASTLE):
- 510 **243 ROUTE 100: TM: 28.10-1-6.1**

- 512 Mr. Taylor Palmer with the law firm of Cuddy & Feder on behalf of New
- 513 Cingular Wireless PCS LLC, approached the Board and introduced Ms. Virginia
- Deralt, Site Acquisition Council for AT&T and an AT&T Engineer.

515

- 516 Attorney Palmer stated they are here in connection with AT&T's "Eligible
- 517 Facilities Request" to upgrade the existing concealment monopole at 243 Route
- 518 100, which is on the Majestech Site on the west side of Route 100, in the Office
- 519 and Light Industrial District.

520

- 521 Attorney Palmer stated the applicant is proposing to replace the canister of the
- 522 existing antennae and install new equipment at approximately eighty seven feet
- 523 (87') of the ninety seven feet (97') to one hundred feet (100') existing monopole.
- There are some minor changes to the existing compound, which will be fully
- 525 contained in the compound. This application does not increase the height of the
- structure and the changes will be internal to the monopole.

527

- 528 Attorney Palmer added they did receive and review comments from Director of
- 529 Planning Dym with respect to the application. This is another one of those
- applications where the tower was approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals and a
- renewal was granted for the property last year. A site inspection was held three
- 532 (3) years ago specific to a Zoning Board of Appeals Approval.

533

- Engineer Peter Ludis, Licensed Engineer in the State of New York, approached
- 535 the Board and stated this project is an existing one hundred foot (100°) monopole.
- On the elevation sheet of the construction drawings the base section is
- approximately sixty feet (60') in length, which is composed of steel tubular
- structure and the top is approximately forty feet (40') and is made up of some RF
- 539 transparent canisters which conceal the antennas. AT&T has two (2) existing
- RAD (radiation) centers at approximately eighty-seven feet (87') and ninety-seven
- feet (97') above grade.

- 543 Engineer Ludis added AT&T is proposing to swap the existing six (6) antennas
- with six (6) proposed new antennas and with that do a minor expansion of the
- existing canister from twenty eight inches (28") to thirty six inches (36") in
- 546 diameter to accommodate the larger antennas. In addition, there is some ground
- work that is proposed: 6 proposed radio heads to be mounted on existing steel
- frames that are mounted to the concrete pads within the compound.

- Chairman Curries asked if these are existing pads. 549 550 551 Attorney Ludis stated yes. 552 553 Mr. Prince asked if this was existing concrete. 554 Attorney Ludis responded yes, adding existing concrete pad and existing steel 555 framing. 556 557 558 Mr. Prince asked Mr. Ludis to review what he said about the width. 559 560 Attorney Ludis stated the first section, as shown on the plans, is a steel mast that is about eight inches (8") in diameter and six inches (6") in dimeter. There is a 561 562 twenty-eight (28") inch plastic ABS skin that conceals the antennas so that they are not visible. Because the new antennas are larger and also because of the 563 mounting system that attaches them to the spine that sticks out a little bit more, 564 they do need to slightly bump out the existing canisters, which is represented by 565 the GPD drawings that were prepared along with some modification drawings 566 567 also. 568 569 Mr. Prince asked what the twenty-eight inches (28") will become. 570 Attorney Ludis responded it will become thirty six inches (36"). 571 572 573 Chairman Currie asked if the firm that prepared this is licensed in the State of New York. 574 575 576 Attorney Ludis responded yes. 577 Ms. Gannon asked about Director of Planning Dym's memo that states under 578 "Structural Report and Status of Renewals, Item No. 4: Paint new existing 579 material in the modified region to match existing tower finish." 580 581 Ms. Gannon asked Attorney Ludis when the last time the tower was painted was. 582 583 Attorney Ludis responded that he believes the tower was constructed in 2016 and 584
- Ms. Gannon stated that this is the Majestech tower and that was constructed considerably earlier.

most likely it was painted then.

- Attorney Palmer stated the last on-site visit that took place was 2016 and a copy
- was provided to the Director of Planning Dym for the benefit of the Board. It
- looks in relatively good condition based on those visual conditions, but the
- 592 proposed canister addition will be paint matched to the color, which I believe is

593 green.

594

- Ms. Gannon stated the reason she asked is because, at the time, the monopole at
- 596 the Somers Town Centre was under discussion the applicant made the case that
- they wanted to be treated in the same way as the applicant for the Majestech
- 598 property. At that time she was a new member to the Board and went and
- researched the file and found, at that time, the color of the pole was to be Enviro

600 Green.

601 602

After further discussion about the color of the pole, Attorney Palmer stated a condition of approval can be added that the paint be matched to the existing color on the pole in the field.

604 605

606

603

Attorney Palmer stated the only other comment is that they are seeking a waiver of the Public Hearing requirements.

607 608 609

- Director of Planning Dym stated she thought they had this straightened out in their
- phone conversation about the status of the pole itself, what is known as the
- Majestech Pole, and it is my understanding from reviewing these, and I will tell
- the Board how painstaking it is to attempt to figure out the history of approvals
- and the status of each on one of these is and I have enlisted the assistance of some
- of the applicant's attorneys to make certain that we know where each one is and
- bring them up to date. It is my understanding that what we had determined was
- that the Planning Board Resolution of 2016 was issued on May 11, 2016 but
- somehow, even based on that date, because there had been years before there
- had been a gap that it was only three (3) years and for some reason expired on
- December 30, 2018 and therefore was no longer in compliance and you were
- going to provide to the Planning Board an updated renewal of Majestech.
- 621 Director of Planning Dym asked if this correct.

623

622

Attorney Palmer responded yes, that is correct.

624

Director of Planning Dym stated she thought the impression you gave the Board was that it was in compliance.

- Attorney Palmer stated the quandary of the process, as Director of Planning Dym stated, is we went through a list of all the approval resolutions, as there was a series of Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) Resolutions before there were any Planning Board Site Plan Resolutions, so the approval resolutions speak to the
- issue of Carrier v Tower. This once was an AT&T owned Tower, the Tower is
- 633 now owned by Crown Castle and the Tower is now requiring the renewal.
- Whereas the applicant has recently received a renewal from the ZBA last year,
- and we are here now for an upgrade and the Tower owner needs to come in for a
- renewal. Crown Castle has been in communication with the Town initially to put
- into motion their renewal application for the Tower. Ultimately we will be
- seeking an approval subject to the similar on-site inspection requirements as you
- 639 recently considered for the Somers Commons site, in which they had one month
- left on its existing five (5) year period. The reason why the renewal was granted
- in 2016 is no longer valid as it was only granted for three (3) years not five (5)
- years. Because it was backdated for two (2) years from the original date, because
- of some confusion of the Planning or the Zoning Board resolutions that applied to
- the site. We are coming together, Crown Castle will be in and our site acquisition
- counsel has been in communication with Crown Castles' counsel and believes
- they have been in contact with the Town Planner so that they can get this before

647 the Board.

Attorney Palmer stated it could be a conditioned approval on the owner and for

- 650 the benefit of the Board generally speaking these approvals are good for five (5)
- years when we renew an application before this Board. The on-site inspection that
- took place in 2016 is fewer that the five (5) years so we are not saying you would
- not require Crown Castle to do such an on-site inspection to renew it for five (5)
- years but it is still good for at least two (2) more years from the on-site inspection
- perspective, if you were to look at it as a new renewal.

656 657

648

Chairman Currie asked if you would have to come back before the five (5) years were up for another inspection.

658659660

661

662

Attorney Palmer stated Crown Castle would have to come back within two (2) years, it probably would be to their benefit to do another inspection and get the full five (5) years. For the benefit of the structural integrity of the Tower, we did submit a structural with our application.

663664665

Mr. McNamara opined that it is too bad they are not coordinated, the user and the owner and stated their renewals should come together at the same time.

Director of Planning Dym stated this is because the providers require different arrays, etc. at different times.

Mr. Prince asked what part of the towers come under the jurisdiction of the

Zoning Board of Appeals and what part come under the jurisdiction of the

673 Planning Board.

674

670

- Oirector of Planning Dym stated several of these did go to the Zoning Board of
- 676 Appeals (ZBA) unknown to the Planning Board and received approvals. In
- consultation with the Town Attorney, the division that has been made is the
- 678 following: if an application for either the tower or the provider initially occurred
- prior to the Wireless Telecommunication Section to the Zoning which was added
- in 2002 if it predated that and was originally approved by the ZBA, it goes back to
- the ZBA. Post 2002 and initially came to the Planning Board, then it comes back
- to the Planning Board. That is supposed to be what happens now, but it does not
- 683 necessarily happen that way.

684

Discussion ensued on this matter with Director of Planning Dym stating we are all doing our best to keep this as unconfused as possible, but I must say it is not easy.

687 688

689

- Mr. McNamara asked if we can change the rules so that any request to the ZBA be referred to the Planning Board for decision and or action. He also asked if this
- 690 should take Town Board action.

691

692 Town Attorney Eriole stated yes it would take Town Board action.

693

Director of Planning Dym stated this is a discussion for Attorneys Eriole and Baroni.

696

- 697 Mr. McNamara added this would be a way of streamlining the entire process.
- Then we could set up a database with every tower in Town.

699

700 Attorney Eriole added then only one Board would be tracking this.

701

- Chairman Currie asked Director of Planning Dym, how we can waive the Site
- Plan and Public Hearing, put conditions in subject to comments from yourself and Consulting Town Engineer Joseph Barbagallo.

- On a motion by Chairman Currie, seconded by Mr. McNamara and unanimously
- 707 carried, the Board moved to approve waiving the Site Plan Approval and the

Public Hearing for the New Cingular Wireless (Crown Castle Tower) application 708 709 located at 243 Route 100. 710 On a motion by Chairman Currie, seconded by Mr. McNamara and unanimously 711 carried the Board moved to determine this as a Type II Action under SEQRA and 712 that no further action from SEQRA is required. 713 714 On a motion by Chairman Currie, seconded by Ms. Gannon and unanimously 715 carried, the Board moved to approve the Special Use Permit under Section 170-716 129.6 and Section 129.9 of the Code of the Town of Somers with all the 717 conditions of Director of Planning Dym being satisfied. 718 719 A brief discussion ensued regarding the approving entity – whether it be the 720 Zoning Board of Appeals and the Planning Board. 721 722 Director of Planning Dym reminded the Board of the Westchester Municipal 723 Planning Federation (WMPF) event to be held on Thursday, April 18, 2019, 724 beginning at 6:30pm and the panel discussion begins at 7:00pm, at 360 Hamilton 725 Avenue, White Plains NY. The Keynote speakers on the changing economy, 726 transportation and on the retail environment, Mr. Sam Schwartz will be speaking 727 about congestion pricing and its impacts and also on autonomous vehicles and 728 Joan McDonald will be speaking about transportation and all the changes, i.e. 729 Uber, Lyft, Electronic Vehicle, etc. and then the changing in the economic 730 environment and how it is impacting retail environment, there will be two people 731 from consulting firms intimately involved and then the Mayor of Mt. Kisco will 732 be there to comment on the impacts at the local level. 733 734 735 Chairman Currie announced the next Planning Board meeting is on May 8, 2019. 736 On a motion by Chairman Currie, seconded by Mr. McNamara and unanimously 737 carried, the Board move to adjourn the meeting at 9:00pm. 738 739 740 Respectfully submitted, 741 Barbara J. Sherry 742 743 Barbara J. Sherry 744 Planning Board Secretary 745 746

Z:\PE\Planning Board meetings\Minutes\2019\April 10 2019 6.10.2019 draft.doc 6/19/2019 4:22 PM