

Telephone
(914) 277-5582

FAX
(914) 277-3790

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y.

TOWN HOUSE
ANNEX
337 ROUTE 202
SOMERS, NY 10589



AGENDA

September 17, 2019
7:30 PM

SOMERS TOWN HOUSE MEETING ROOM

1. PAUL AND JENNIE
ANTONIUK

2019:ZB25

An application to renew a Special Exception Use Permit for an existing accessory apartment in a detached garage in an R-80 Residential District at **20 Elmer Galloway Road, Katonah**. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 38.06, Block: 1, Lot: 10**. RE: Section Schedule 170-70.

2. CLAUDIO AND GABRIELA
CONTI

2019:ZB26

An application to renew a Special Exception Use Permit for an existing accessory apartment in a detached cottage in an R-40 Residential District at **193 Route 118, Yorktown Heights**. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 16.09, Block: 1, Lot: 15**. RE: Section Schedule 170-70.

3. CHRISTINE GUERCI AND
ZORAN MILOJEVIC

2019:ZB27

An application to renew a Special Exception Use Permit for an existing accessory apartment in the basement of a one family

dwelling in an R-40 Residential District at **4 Elisha Purdy Road, Amawalk**. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 26.20, Block: 2, Lot: 8**. RE: Section Schedule 170-70.

**4. ROBERT AND ELLEN
HANSEN**

2019:ZB28

An application to renew a Special Exception Use Permit for an existing accessory apartment in the basement of a one family dwelling in an R-40 Residential District at **3 Gwynne Drive, Yorktown Heights**. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 27.05, Block: 2, Lot:25**. RE: Section Schedule 170-70.

**5. ROBERT & BARBARA ANN
WADE, AND JOHN &
LOUISE JACOB**

2019:ZB29

An application to renew a Special Exception Use Permit for an existing accessory apartment in a detached barn in an R-40 Residential District at **10 Lovell Street, Mahopac**. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 16.12, Block: 1, Lot:38**. RE: Section Schedule 170-70.

6. OTHER BUSINESS

Approval of July 16, 2019 Meeting Minutes.



ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE
SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL
OF

Paul and Jennie Antoniuk

B Z NUMBER 2019:2B25
DATE: 7/23/19

TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.:

1. Paul Antoniuk

(Name of appellant)

whose post office address is 20 Elmer Galloway Road, Katonah, NY
(Post office address) 10536

through
(Name of attorney or representative if any)

whose post office address is
(Post office address)

does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers.

2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of
(Name of officer)

made on
(Office held)

which ruling was filed on, and notice of such ruling was
first received by appellant on; such ruling

(Give summary of ruling)

An application to renew a special exception
use permit for an existing accessory
apartment in a detached garage in
an R-80 Residential District

3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

20 Elmer Galloway Road, and is shown on the
(Street and number or distance from and names of nearest intersecting streets)

Town Tax Map as Section: 38.06, Block: 1, Lot: 10

The interest of the appellant is that of owner
(Owner, tenant, etc.)

4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain
variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.)

(OVER)

5.

(Fill out (a) or (b) or both if applicable)

(a) The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

20 Elmer Galloway Road

(b) A variance, permit or special permit is sought because of practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship to the property as indicated below:

a Special Exception Use Permit
is required for an accessory
apartment

and such may be granted pursuant to 170-70

(Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Somers)

Both applicant and owner must sign
if they are different persons.

I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The
Papers Submitted Herewith Are True.

SWORN TO ME BEFORE THIS 23rd DAY July 20 19
Denise Schirmer
NOTARY SIGNATURE
also Paul Antunovich
OWNER SIGNATURE

DENISE SCHIRMER
Notary Public State of New York
No. 01SC6298242
Qualified in Dutchess County
Commission Expires March 10, 2022
NOTARY SIGNATURE

APPLICANT SIGNATURE



ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE
SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL
OF

Claudio + Gabriela Conti

B Z NUMBER 2019: ZB26

DATE: 6/12/19

TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.:

1. Claudio Conti

(Name of appellant)

whose post office address is 193 Route 118, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

(Post office address)

through (Name of attorney or representative if any)

whose post office address is (Post office address)

does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers.

2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of (Name of officer)

made on (Office held)

which ruling was filed on and notice of such ruling was
first received by appellant on; such ruling

(Give summary of ruling)

Renewal of a Special Exception Use
Permit for an existing accessory
apartment in a detached cottage
in an R-40 Residential Zone

3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

193 Route 118 and is shown on the
(Street and number or distance from and names of nearest intersecting streets)

Town Tax Map as Section: 11.09, Block: 1, Lot: 15

The interest of the appellant is that of owner C. Conti
(Owner, tenant, etc.)

4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain
variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.)

(OVER)

5.

(Fill out (a) or (b) or both if applicable)

(a) The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

193 Route 118

(b) A variance, permit or special permit is sought because of practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship to the property as indicated below:

A Special Exception Use Permit is required for an accessory apartment

and such may be granted pursuant to..... 170-70

(Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Somers)

Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons.

I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True.

SWORN TO ME BEFORE THIS 12th DAY June 20 19

Denise Schirmer
NOTARY SIGNATURE

A. Conti
OWNER SIGNATURE

DENISE SCHIRMER
Notary Public State of New York
No. 01SC6298242

NOTARY SIGNATURE
Qualified in Dutchess County
Commission Expires March 10, 2022

APPLICANT SIGNATURE



ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE
SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL
OF

Christine Guerci and
Zoran Milojevic

B Z NUMBER

2019:ZB27

DATE:

5/30/19

TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.:

1. Christine Guerci

(Name of appellant)

whose post office address is

4 Eliza Purdy Road, Ardenwood, NY
10501

(Post office address)

through

(Name of attorney or representative if any)

whose post office address is

(Post office address)

does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers.

2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of

(Name of officer)

made on

(Office held)

which ruling was filed on

first received by appellant on; such ruling

(Give summary of ruling)

A renewal for a Special Exception Use
Permit for an existing accessory
apartment in the basement of
an existing one family dwelling in
an R-40 district

3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

4 Eliza Purdy Road

(Street and number or distance from and names of nearest intersecting streets)

Town Tax Map as Section:

26.20

Block:

2

Lot:

8

The interest of the appellant is that of

owner

(Owner, tenant, etc.)

4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain
variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.)

(OVER)

5.

(Fill out (a) or (b) or both if applicable)

(a) The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

4 Eliza Purdy Road

(b) A variance, permit or special permit is sought because of practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship to the property as indicated below:

A special exception Use Permit is required for an accessory apartment

and such may be granted pursuant to 170-70

[Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Somers]

Both applicant and owner must sign if they are different persons.

I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The Papers Submitted Herewith Are True.

SWORN TO ME BEFORE THIS

30th

DAY

May

20

19

NOTARY SIGNATURE

OWNER SIGNATURE

DENISE SCHIRMER
Notary Public State of New York
No. 01SC6298242
Qualified in Dutchess County
Commission Expires March 10, 2022

NOTARY SIGNATURE

APPLICANT SIGNATURE



ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE
SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL
OF

Robert + Ellen Hansen

B Z NUMBER

2019: ZB28

DATE:

7/24/19

TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.:

1.

Robert Hansen

(Name of appellant)

whose post office address is

3 Gwynne Drive, Yorktown Heights, NY
10598

(Post office address)

through

(Name of attorney or representative if any)

whose post office address is

(Post office address)

does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers.

2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of

(Name of officer)

made on

(Office held)

which ruling was filed on

and notice of such ruling was

first received by appellant on

; such ruling

(Give summary of ruling)

An application to renew a Special
Exception Use Permit for an existing
accessory apartment in the
basement of an existing one
family dwelling in an R-40
Residential District

3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

3 Gwynne Drive

(Street and number or distance from and names of nearest intersecting streets)

and is shown on the

Town Tax Map as Section:

27.05

Block:

2

Lot:

25

The interest of the appellant is that of

owner

(Owner, tenant, etc.)

4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain
variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.)

(OVER)

5.

(Fill out (a) or (b) or both if applicable)

(a) The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

3 Gwynne Drive

(b) A variance, permit or special permit is sought because of practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship to the property as indicated below:

A Special Exception Use Permit
is required for an accessory
apartment

and such may be granted pursuant to.....

170 - 70

(Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Somers)

Both applicant and owner must sign
if they are different persons.

I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The
Papers Submitted Herewith Are True.

SWORN TO ME BEFORE THIS

24th

DAY

July

20 19

NOTARY SIGNATURE

OWNER SIGNATURE

DENISE SCHIRMER
Notary Public State of New York
No. 01SC6298242
Qualified in Dutchess County

NOTARY SIGNATURE

APPLICANT SIGNATURE



ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N. Y.

TOWN HOUSE
SOMERS, NEW YORK 10589

(914) 277-5582

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL

OF
Barbara Ann + Robert Wade
John + Louise Wade Jacob

B Z NUMBER 2019:ZB29

DATE: 7/25/19

TO THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE TOWN OF SOMERS, N. Y.:

1. Louise Jacob

(Name of appellant)

whose post office address is P.O. Box 38, Lincolndale, NY 10540

(Post office address)

through

(Name of attorney or representative if any)

whose post office address is

(Post office address)

does hereby appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals at the Town of Somers.

2. Such appeal is taken from a ruling of

(Name of officer)

made on

(Office held)

which ruling was filed on, and notice of such ruling was
first received by appellant on; such ruling

(Give summary of ruling)

An application for a renewal of an ^{a Special Exception} use permit for
accessory apartment in an existing
detached barn in an R-40
Residential District

3. The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

10 Lovell Street

(Street and number or distance from and names of nearest intersecting streets)

Town Tax Map as Section: 11.12, Block: 1, Lot: 38

The interest of the appellant is that of owner

(Owner, tenant, etc.)

4. The appeal is taken (on the ground that the ruling or decision was erroneous) to obtain
variance, permit or special permit. (Strike out wording not applicable.)

(OVER)

5.

(Fill out (a) or (b) or both if applicable)

(a) The property which is the subject of the appeal is located at or known as

10 Lovell Street

(b) A variance, permit or special permit is sought because of practical difficulties or unnecessary hardship to the property as indicated below:

A Special Exception Use Permit
is required for an accessory
apartment

and such may be granted pursuant to 170-70

(Refer to applicable Provisions of the Zoning Ordinance of the Town of Somers)

Both applicant and owner must sign
if they are different persons.

I Hereby Dispose And Say That All The Above Statements And The Statements Contained In The
Papers Submitted Herewith Are True.

SWORN TO ME BEFORE THIS 25th DAY July 20 19

Denise Schirmer
NOTARY SIGNATURE

Louise Jacob
OWNER SIGNATURE

DENISE SCHIRMER
Notary Public State of New York
No. 01SC62242
Qualified in Dutchess County
Commission Expires March 10, 2022

NOTARY SIGNATURE

APPLICANT SIGNATURE

(914)-277-5582
Telephone

(914)-277-3790
Facsimile

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Town of Somers

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, N.Y.

TOWN HOUSE
ANNEX
337 ROUTE 202
SOMERS, NY 10589



Meeting Minutes

July 16, 2019

The members present were: Chairman Cannistra, Mr. Carpaneto, Ms. D'Ippolito, Mr. Harden, and Mr. Newman.

Mr. Guyot was absent.

Building Inspector Tom Tooma and interested residents were also present.

Chairman Cannistra opened the meeting at 7:30 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPLICANTS

MARGARET GEMBECKI AND MADELEINE MINNUCCI – 2019:ZB22 – 26.07-1-5

An application for an Area Variance for less than the required lot size for a pre-existing non-conforming two-family dwelling for a 2-story addition in an R-40 Residential District at 15 Stonewall Drive, Granite Springs. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as Section: 26.07, Block: 1, Lot: 5. RE: Section Schedule 170:A1 Zoning Schedule Part 1.

Chris Sciarra owner of CS Construction addressed the Board. The legal two-family house is owned by two sisters who have been using it as a weekend home. After the construction they will live there year-round. Plans for the project were shared and reviewed. The roof is being raised and the dormers removed. An addition consisting of a one car garage with a bedroom over it is being added. The existing bedroom will be used as a living room. The bedroom count will remain the same. A screened back porch will be added and the deck off the 1st floor will be removed. There is a separate access to each side of the house from the front porch. The addition will blend in with the existing stone work and stucco. All set backs will be met and there are no issues with the addition being too close to the septic or well heads.

Nancy Gerbino of 14 Raemont Road and a 56-year resident of the Town addressed the Board. She has no opposition to the application. Architecturally the addition will fit in quite nicely.

Mr. Harden made a motion for a Type II action. Mr. Carpaneto seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

Mr. Carpaneto	Aye
Ms. D'Ippolito	Aye
Mr. Harden	Aye
Mr. Newman	Aye
Chairman Cannistra	Aye

Mr. Harden made a motion to grant an Area Variance for less than the required lot size for a pre-existing non-conforming two-family dwelling for a 2-story addition. Mr. Carpaneto seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

Mr. Carpaneto	Aye
Ms. D'Ippolito	Aye
Mr. Harden	Aye
Mr. Newman	Aye
Chairman Cannistra	Aye

The Area Variance was approved.

LORI ENSINGER AND WILLIAM KUEBLER – 2019:ZB23 – 37.10-1-13.1

An application to appeal the Building Inspector's interpretation that the enclosed tennis courts are not an office or studio and, therefore, the use of the enclosed tennis courts on the property by a resident tennis professional teaching lessons and providing instruction and training to private students is not permitted by the Town's Zoning Ordinance. As a related matter, the applicant seeks to eliminate a condition in a 1973 Variance that states the enclosed tennis courts are private not commercial since the condition potentially conflicts with the home occupation use in an R-120 Residential District at **24 Orchard Hill Road, Katonah**. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 37.10, Block: 1, Lot: 13.1**. RE: Section Schedule 170-82(D).

Chairman Cannistra informed the audience that he asked Town Attorney Gerald Reilly to attend the meeting this evening with regard to this application.

Attorney Geraldine Tortorella addressed the Board. There is a 100' by 120' tennis building on the applicant's property that houses two full sized tennis courts. It was constructed in 1973 after being issued a variance for the height from the Zoning Board of Appeals. Two conditions were attached, the building could only be used for tennis that is private use not commercial. The applicant bought the property in 2005 and continued its historical use of private tennis instruction as well as third-party tennis practice and play. Currently the property is on the market and a tennis pro wants to buy it, continue the historical use as well as use it as his principal residence. Ms. Tortorella believes the use would qualify as a home occupation despite Building Inspector Tom Tooma stating in June that this use is not an office or studio by definition. She went on to say that the applicant is seeking a reversal of the determination of the Building Inspector as the tennis offered is selective and limited, as it is by invitation only. In addition, they would like an amendment to the 1973 conditions as they are creating ambiguity.

Lori Ensinger, owner of the property addressed the Board and explained the historical tennis use. After discussions with Town officials prior to purchasing the property, she was told that she could continue the use. Fees collected help defray the taxes and maintenance. Most of the use is by Somers residents, many of which live in Heritage Hills and neighbors. The courts are not open to the public, although in operation from 7:00 a.m. to 9:30 p.m., from September to May, they are never fully occupied. They may be used by reservation only and average 2 to 3 cars per reservation of a maximum of ten per day. When Ms. Ensinger purchased the property the neighbor to the north was concerned about the rusted roof, which was replaced. The exterior was redone in stone and Hardie Plank siding. Barn doors were added in an effort for it to replicate an equestrian structure. The planting of evergreens as a buffer was offered to another neighbor. They never accepted the invitation, but the offer still stands. There is no signage, exterior lights or amenities. The parking area has not changed and three of the four sides of the building are screened with 25' evergreens. Since she purchased the house and property in 2005, she has never received a complaint about the tennis use. Ms. Ensinger went on to say that in 2006 she and her husband donated a conservation easement to the Westchester Land Trust which runs with the land and has stipulations, which were shared.

Ms. Tortorella addressed the Board. In her opinion, this use qualifies and meets Section 170-3 of the Code if one takes the definition apart and looks at various terms that the Code doesn't address such as the definition of teacher. After reading aloud Merriam Webster's definition of teacher, she stated that in her opinion a tennis instructor may use tennis courts as their office. With regard to the 1973 condition of private use not commercial, Ms. Tortorella feels private does not mean personal. She is also not sure if the conditions are legal as they have nothing to do with the height of the building.

Chairman Cannistra cited Section 170-11 (6) (b) of the Code that says a home occupation cannot take place in more than ½ of the area of the floor of the dwelling unit and then shared the definition of a dwelling unit. Ms. Tortorella said it only applies to a home occupation that is in the dwelling unit. Chairman Cannistra went on to say that

his interpretation of an office or studio is when one person works in their home like a lawyer, accountant, etc. In his opinion, it is a stretch to say teaching tennis in a 13,000 square foot building is a home occupation.

Town Attorney Gerald Reilly does not think the Building Inspector's determination is unreasonable as tennis is not taught customarily in an office or studio of a residence. He also went on to say that historical use cannot be challenged. In addition, it is too late to appeal a condition set forth in 1973.

Chairman Cannistra stated that clearly what has been going on in the tennis building is a violation and cannot continue. In addition, accepting fees for a service is a commercial business. If this application is approved, what would prevent an electrician, plumber or contractor from wanting to do the same in a residential district.

Mr. Carpaneto feels that this application is a change of use or a use variance.

Mr. Reilly said there is a difference between private and commercial, as well as a profession and a skill, which is not for the Zoning Board of Appeals to determine. In addition, the Board cannot set a precedence. There is also no case law supporting this application.

Ms. D'Ippolito stated this is about occupations that can normally be conducted from a residence. If this application is approved, then residents can use their pools for swimming lessons and clinics. Where does one draw the line?

Chairman Cannistra opined that the request is not in line with the intent of the Code and an approval would change the character of the neighborhood. In the 15 years that he has been on the Board, there has never been a request to have a home occupation in an accessory structure.

Mr. Newman said given the size of the building and scope of use, if approved, it could easily turn into a commercial business.

Ms. Tortorella expressed concern over selling the property if the courts cannot continue to be used as they have been. Mr. Newman responding by saying someone bought the property to build the courts for their private use.

Ms. Ensinger asked if the tennis building could be turned into an equestrian facility and Mr. Reilly responded that is not a decision for the Zoning Board to make.

Attorney Arthur Morrison addressed the Board. He is representing neighbors Dennis and Paula Pastena and did send a letter electronically which was shared with all members of the Board. He complemented Town Attorney Gerald Reilly and said Mr. Reilly said all that he was going to say. There have been long established zoning laws against these types of applications and in his opinion ambiguity doesn't exist.

Maureen Devine of 10 Susan Drive addressed the Board. As a former Councilwoman she knew all the members of the Zoning Board of Appeals in 1973 and is quite sure they put forth the conditions on the variance to prevent something just like this from happening. She agrees with the decision of the Building Inspector. Mrs. Devine went on to read a letter she crafted out loud. Copies were provided for all members of the Board.

Gerald Messuri of 20 Orchard Hill Road addressed the Board. He has lived next door to the property for 34 years and has been quite friendly with all of the neighbors including the applicant. Mr. Messuri stated that he has been very tolerant of the traffic, but is afraid that this new proposal will evolve into a big business considering there is an inground pool on the property, hiking trails, and guest houses. No one has mentioned that there is a building with lockers, toilets, showers and sinks.

Neil Feinberg of 177 Main Street, East Hampton addressed the Board. He is the perspective buyer, which has been his dream since he first visited the property in 1998. He teaches tennis full-time to the children of two very wealthy clients. His vision is to immediately reduce the amount of traffic and use by 50 to 70%. Mr. Feinberg wants the neighbors to know who he is and what he wants to do. He is a professional and a member of the USPTA. His plans will be consistent with the original use. He will be the only instructor living on the property and there will be no camps or buses.

Steve Pietropaolo of 4 Hilltop Road addressed the Board. He has been a neighbor for 20 years. Mr. Pietropaolo stated he is an engineer as well as a code expert and has no objections to the application.

Romaine Gunther of 15 Orchard Hill Road addressed the Board. She has kept quiet for a long time, but has been annoyed by the number of cars that come in and out of the property every hour and a half, as well as by the car lights at night. Every owner of that property said they would not use it the way it has been used and that certainly has not been the case. Ms. Gunther thinks the application should be rejected.

James Rieger of 2 Catherine Place addressed the Board. In his opinion, this type of activity does not belong in a residential area.

Dennis Pastena owner of 23, 25 and 34 Orchard Hill Road addressed the Board. He can see the tennis building from his deck. Mr. Pastena would like to know who is going to monitor all these promises being made.

Robert Segal of 13 Orchard Hill Road addressed the Board. He has been a neighbor for 13 years. Before he purchased the property, he did a lot of research to be sure that there were no commercial businesses in the area and feels the potential could now come to fruition. In his opinion the Board needs to protect the interest of the Town by denying this application.

Ms. Tortorella addressed the Board. The applicant fully understands the sense of the Board, Counsel as well as the audience, and therefore withdraws her application. She also asked that the Board not render a decision.

CROSSROADS AT BALDWIN PLACE – 2019:ZB24 – 4.20-1-3.1-01

An application for an Area Variance for a sign that exceeds the maximum size in a Neighborhood Shopping District at **57 Route 6, Baldwin Place**. The property is shown on the Town Tax Map as **Section: 4.20, Block: 1, Lot: 3.1-01**. RE: Section Schedule 170-126-A(3).

Jim Polinsky of Signs Ink addressed the Board. The applicant is seeking a variance for the size of their double-sided sign as the allowable 20 square feet will not be able to accommodate 14 (6 retail and 8 offices) panels, the maximum number of tenants that could possibly exist. The proposed sign is 40 square feet, stencil cut with white letters on a dark brown background. The letters only are internally lit. The sign will be perpendicular to the new commercial building, with retail on the ground level and offices on the 2nd floor. The Architectural Review Board did meet with the applicant and had no objections to the sign itself, but will meet with them again to further the discussion about the size of the brick base.

Mr. Newman would prefer a smaller sign.

Although Ms. D'Ippolito thinks the sign is tastefully done, she would like to stipulate that the individual panels all have a uniform font and logos not be allowed.

Mr. Carpaneto made a motion for a Type II action. Ms. D'Ippolito seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

Mr. Carpaneto	Aye
Ms. D'Ippolito	Aye
Mr. Harden	Aye
Mr. Newman	Aye
Chairman Cannistra	Aye

Mr. Harden made a motion to grant an Area Variance for a sign that exceeds the maximum size with the stipulation that the panels all have a uniform font and logos not be allowed. Mr. Carpaneto seconded the motion.

A vote was then taken by the Board as follows...

POLL OF THE BOARD

Mr. Carpaneto	Aye
---------------	-----

Ms. D'Ippolito	Aye
Mr. Harden	Aye
Mr. Newman	Nay
Chairman Cannistra	Aye

The Area Variance was approved.

OTHER BUSINESS:

The minutes of the June 11, 2019 meeting were approved as submitted.

The next monthly meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals will be held on September 17, 2019 at 7:30 p.m. There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 10:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Denise Schirmer, Secretary
Zoning Board of Appeals

cc: Town Board
Town Clerk
Planning and Engineering
Planning Board