F. LaGard Smith answers the question: What aspects of the gospel has the church lost its footing?

Discussion and study guides / questions / topics for your consideration.

There are two "broad brush" generalizations discussed in this video. However there is one question – that is almost hidden in the discussion - that almost certainly sums up this topic and can be asked two ways: "is this what we are about?" and "what are we about?" As we study this topic, try to tie back our follow up questions and answers to these questions.

- 1. How do you think the church loses its tether to scripture when the scriptures are used primarily to prove doctrinal points?
  - a. How is that 'cherry picking' or using the scripture as 'proof text'?
  - b. How is that losing our way?
  - c. Compare this with 2 Tim 2.2,14,15 and 3.14-17
  - d. Do you think this may be what is discussed Contrast the two illustrations: Raise the drawbridge, protect the gates! (Matt 23.13ff) vs. the gates of hell shall not prevail! (Matt 16.13-18)
  - e. Discuss what is temporal, carnal, or eternal.
  - f. What are we to be about? (Matt 5.13-16)
- 2. What is social justice? (historically and contemporary).
  - a. What do you think of the statement: the church is getting away from saving souls and trying to save society?
  - b. The video affirms there is a biblical basis for social justice. Do you agree? (Matt 5.45; Acts 10.34-36, 38-43; Ro 2.1-16; 2 Cor 5.14-17; Gal 3.26-4.7))
  - c. The video positions that observation in terms of what the prophets talked about in the old testament. Do you agree? (Deut 10.12-13; Is 1.16-19; Jer 22.1-4, 15-16; Mic 6.7-8; Zech 8.1-17)
  - d. If the prophets were discussing elements of social justice, what were the social, political, religious contexts?
  - e. Discuss what is temporal, carnal, or eternal. (John 17.1-3; 19.33-38; 1 Cor 2.2-3.4)
  - f. In terms of social justice, where is the primary emphasis placed?

- g. What are we to be about? (2 Tim 1.7-13; Titus 3.1-3, 8-11, 13-14).
- 3. What did Jesus teach or model regarding social justice?
  - a. Is that a fair question?
  - b. Did He tie that back to salvation? (Matt 5.21 6.15; 6.25-34; Luke 12.13-34)
  - c. What political, social and religious context did He live in?
  - d. Why didn't Jesus simply "fix everything"? He is the Son of God after all... (John 1.29; 20.30-31)
- 4. Matthew 10 is referred to as a distinguishing perspective between the teaching of salvation and social justice, in particular verse 28. Why?
  - a. Begin reading in Matt 9.35 and continue to Matt 10.42.
  - b. Matt 9.35 and 10.7 emphasis what?
  - c. Is there anything significant in Matt 9.36?
  - d. Who are the "shepherds" today? Or, a different way of asking would be, who are "the people" choosing to be led by? (2 Tim 3.1 4.4) Compare with John 10.1-30.
  - e. Are these temporal or eternal issues?
- 5. According to the video discussion, how does contemporary Christian rhetoric use the word "tribe"?
  - a. Is this perspective new or is it a new way to accept disunity?
  - b. One among Many. What do you think?
  - c. Is this a different word or phrase to replace the older term "denomination"?
  - d. How does the narrative employ the action of baptism in this discussion?
  - e. What do you think of this statement: This is another expression of moral and intellectual relativism? All tribes are equal whatever they may believe.
  - f. 3 questions are asked:
    - i. Does God have more than one tribe?
    - ii. How would we know that?

- iii. Does God approve of any and all tribes?
- g. Is this consistent with 1 Cor 1.10-11; Eph 4.1-6; Phil 1.27-28; and John 17.
- h. Is tribalism consistent with 2 Cor 13.11-14?
- 6. The church has allowed itself to see itself as just another Christian group. There really is no distinction or no exceptionalism. So, we all everyone are going to heaven.
  - a. What do you think?
  - b. How does this discussion make you feel?
  - c. Is this problematic? (Matt 7.13-23)
  - d. What are we supposed to be about?