

**05.10.2018**

---

**GLAWCAL #351**  
**COMMENT**

**SPHERE OF HUMAN THOUGHT**

---

*Based on*

**J. Mohorich “Scale, Noosphere Two,  
and the Anthropocene”**



A gLAWcal comment on J. Mohorich "Scale, Noosphere Two, and the Anthropocene", in Pasi Heikurinen (Ed.) **Sustainability and Peaceful Coexistence for the Anthropocene.**

tionism. This is ultimately the lynchpin in the plan for creating sustainable development, because they are often the more expensive option, and they create winners and losers, and the losers are often the people that helped get the policymaker into the position that they enjoy currently.

---

A reduction in choices because of circumstances is central to the idea of tyranny of circumstances. Yet, one of the best ways to reduce the speed at which these circumstances is to consciously decide to make that change itself. For example, nations that promote the more expensive renewable energy formats are providing greater opportunities for ensuring greater number of choices in the future. In the alternate scenario, a nation may choose to eschew the opportunity for renewable formats and exhaust all available fossil fuels before switching. While in the short term this may provide a lower-cost for the system, but the immediate change that will be needed to ensure that energy needs can be met by this novel system that hadn't been explored in this scenario may be incredibly expensive in the long term. Artificially reducing these options may provide the onus for innovation and improvements necessary to ensure that there is good improvement for those who must follow. Yet at the current moment, political will may not be at the point to push through these policies that provide structures for reduc-



Cite as: gLAWcal Comment #351 “Sphere of human thought” (2018) based on J. Mohorich “Scale, Noosphere Two, and the Anthropocene”, in Pasi Heikkurinen (Editor), Sustainability and Peaceful Coexistence for the Anthropocene, gLAWcal Book Series, Routledge Publishing (New-York/London), 2017.

The gLAWcal comments are insight and short analytical pieces written by the gLAWcal team. The gLAWcal comments are based on, and inspired by, the books and chapters published within one of the [gLAWcal book series](#) published by Routledge Publishing (New York/London).

gLAWcal is an independent non-profit research organization (think tank) that aims at providing a new focus on issues related to economic law, globalization and development, namely the relationship between international economy and trade, with special attention to a number of non-trade-related values and concerns. Through research and policy analysis, gLAWcal sheds a new light on issues such as good governance, human rights, right to water, rights to food, social, economic and cultural rights, labour rights, access to knowledge, public health, social welfare, consumer interests and animal welfare, climate change, energy, environmental protection and sustainable development, product safety, food safety and security.

## OUR MISSION

To collaborate with Government, Civil society and business community to balance the excess of globalization with Non Trade Concerns.

## OUR GOALS

To influence policymakers, to raise awareness over Non Trade Concerns, to encourage stakeholder participation, and to disseminate gLAWcal’s publication results.



**Email:** [research@glawcal.org.uk](mailto:research@glawcal.org.uk)

**Website:** [glawcal.org.uk](http://glawcal.org.uk)

**Facebook:** gLAWcal - Global Law Initiatives for Sustainable Development

**Twitter:** @gLAWcal

**LinkedIn:** gLAWcal