24.07.2018

gLAWcal #290 Comment #290

CHINA AND THE WTO A DESIDER-ABLE OUTCOME?

Based on

Paolo D. Farah "Five Years of China WTO Membership: EU and US Perspectives About China's Compliance With Transparency Commitments and the Transitional Review Mechanism" Legal Issues of Economic Integration, Kluwer Law International, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 263-304, August 2006.



A gLAWcal comment on "Five Years of China WTO Membership: EU and US Perspectives About China's Compliance With Transparency Commitments and the Transitional Review Mechanism" Legal Issues of Economic Integration, Kluwer Law International, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 263-304, August 2006.

One of the initial premises of the chapter worth exploration is the idea that China's ascension, or joining, of the World Trade Organization is a wholly desirable outcome. As the chapter notes, the admission of the nation of China was a decades-long process, that exhausted a large amount of effort in negotiation between China and the partners of the WTO. Simply, it is understandable for China, or any nation for that matter, to be hesitant in handing over control of defining trade functions between it and other global partners. However, as China recognized like other before them, it is difficult to find proper arbetiers when agreements between nations are broken. The WTO provides such an arbitration stage, allowing the desires of all nations involved to be weighed against one another in a proper manner. And with the sheer volume of trade to and from China, an international trade organization without that nation as a partner would not be nearly as effective a player as others may have been. There is truly a need for the WTO as an arbiter with the very real and colossal force of globalization that

has taken ahold in contemporary markets. It is at this point only hypothetical to explore the idea of a modern WTO without the partnership of China, but it may not be a leap to assume that a China-less WTO would be less impactful as a liberalizing force.



Cite as: gLAWcal Comment #290 "China and the WTO a desiderable outcome?" (2018) based on Paolo Davide Farah "Five Years of China WTO Membership: EU and US Perspectives About China's Compliance With Transparency Commitments and the Transitional Review Mechanism" Legal Issues of Economic Integration, Kluwer Law International, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 263-304, August 2006. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=916768

The gLAWcal comments are insight and short analytical pieces written by the gLAWcal team. The gLAWcal comments are based on, and inspired by, the books and chapters published within one of the gLAWcal book series published by Routledge Publishing (New York/London) or by other gLAWcal publications.

gLAWcal is an independent non-profit research organization (think tank) that aims at providing a new focus on issues related to economic law, globalization and development, namely the relationship between international economy and trade, with special attention to a number of non-trade-related values and concerns. Through research and policy analysis, gLAWcal sheds a new light on issues such as good governance, human rights, right to water, rights to food, social, economic and cultural rights, labour rights, access to knowledge, public health, social welfare, consumer interests and animal welfare, climate change, energy, environmental protection and sustainable development, product safety, food safety and security.

OUR MISSION

To collaborate with Government, Civil society and business community to balance the excess of globalization with Non Trade Concerns.



To influence policymakers, to raise awareness over Non Trade Concerns, to encourage stakeholder participation, and to disseminate gLAWcal's publication results.



Email: research@glawcal.org.uk

Website: glawcal.org.uk

Facebook: gLAWcal - Global Law Initiatives for

Sustainable Development

Twitter: @gLAWcal LinkedIn: gLAWcal